



# Quality of frozen-thawed semen in brown bear is not affected by timing of glycerol addition

M. Alvarez-Rodríguez<sup>a,b</sup>, M. Alvarez<sup>a,b</sup>, S. Gomes-Alves<sup>a,b</sup>, S. Borragan<sup>d</sup>,  
F. Martinez-Pastor<sup>a,c</sup>, P. de Paz<sup>a,c,\*</sup>, L. Anel<sup>a,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> ITRA-ULE, INDEGSAL, University of León, 24071 León, Spain

<sup>b</sup> Animal Reproduction and Obstetrics, University of León, 24071 León, Spain

<sup>c</sup> Molecular Biology (Cell Biology), University of León, 24071 León, Spain

<sup>d</sup> Cabárceno Park, Cantabria, Spain

Received 10 September 2010; received in revised form 29 November 2010; accepted 6 December 2010

## Abstract

We have tested several freezing protocols for brown bear semen, modifying the time when glycerol was added (before and after cooling to 5 °C). No differences were found among protocols, indicating a good tolerance of brown bear semen to glycerol. This finding indicates that freezing protocols for brown bear semen could be modified to fit practical solutions which would facilitate preparation of the seminal samples in the field with the addition of glycerol at ambient temperature.

© 2010 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

**Keywords:** Brown bear; Semen; Glycerol; Freezing

## 1. Introduction

Genome Resource Banks (GRB) are a valuable support for wild species conservation, but their application requires the optimization of cooling and freezing protocols. We are currently working on the conservation of Cantabrian brown bear (*Ursus arctos*) in Spain, which is seriously endangered due to habitat loss and population fragmentation, and is a suitable target for the application of GRBs [1].

One of the critical steps in the cryopreservation of a semen sample is the choice of an adequate cryoprotectant, its optimal concentration and the method of adding it during the freezing protocol to minimize the osmotic and toxic effects induced by cryoprotectant on

the cells. Glycerol has been one of the most widely applied cryoprotectant additives for mammalian spermatozoa and it has been used successfully to freeze bear spermatozoa (giant panda [2], Hokkaido brown bears [3] and Japanese black bears [4–6]). Different protocols of glycerol addition have been reported in these studies. Thus, giant panda spermatozoa were cryopreserved adding glycerol at room temperature, immediately before slow cooling [2]. Ishikawa et al [3] reported freezing semen from Hokkaido brown bears using serial dilutions, adding 1/10, 2/10, 3/10 and 4/10 of 14% extender at 4 °C, achieving a final concentration of 4.7%. Okano et al [4–6] cryopreserved semen from Japanese black bears at different final concentrations, diluting extended semen at 4 °C, adding the same volume of extender with twice the final glycerol concentration. However, these results do not show which is the most effective method of addition in the manage-

\* Corresponding author: Tel.: +34 987291320.

E-mail address: ppazc@unileon.es (P. de Paz).

46 ment of bear semen. To our knowledge, there are no  
47 previous studies on when or the method to be used for  
48 adding glycerol to the extended semen of brown bear.

49 In other species, many studies have been carried out  
50 on the protocol of addition of glycerol, some conclud-  
51 ing that glycerol should be added after cooling (4–5  
52 °C), for example in sheep [7], pig [8] and dog [9],  
53 whereas others recommend adding it at room temper-  
54 ature, before cooling the samples (stallion [10], red deer  
55 [11] and human [12]). Other authors have obtained the  
56 same quality regardless of when glycerol was added  
57 (stallion [13], red deer [14] and dog: [15]).

58 Work with endangered population often has to be  
59 carried out in the field. This includes collecting and  
60 freezing semen, therefore, the protocols are conditioned  
61 by this need. Thus, the aim of the present study was to  
62 find the most suitable protocol of glycerol addition at a  
63 final concentration of 8% for freezing brown bear semen  
64 to facilitate the preparation of the semen in the field,  
65 keeping the semen quality in these difficult conditions.

66 We tested three variants: 1) reaching half of the final  
67 concentration at ambient temperature, completing to  
68 final concentration after cooling to 5 °C; 2) adding all  
69 the glycerol at ambient temperature, reaching the final  
70 concentration [2]; and 3) adding all the glycerol after  
71 cooling to 5 °C [3–6]. Our starting hypothesis was that  
72 if the method of glycerol addition does not affect the  
73 sperm quality, it could be added at ambient tempera-  
74 ture, thus making preparation of the seminal sample in  
75 the field easier.

## 76 2. Materials and methods

77 All the chemicals were obtained from Sigma (Ma-  
78 drid, Spain), except Equex STM Paste (Minitüb, Tief-  
79 enbach, Germany). Animal manipulations were per-  
80 formed in accordance with Spanish Animal Protection  
81 Regulation RD223/1998 (European Union Regulation  
82 86/609).

### 83 2.1. Animals and sample collection

84 We used 17 fresh semen samples, collected from 16  
85 adult brown bears (between 7 and 20 years old) in  
86 seventeen electroejaculation sessions, during the breed-  
87 ing season (late April to early July). The animals were  
88 housed in a half-freedom regimen in Cabárceno Park  
89 (Cantabria, Spain; 43° 21' N, 3° 50' W, altitude: 142  
90 metres), and fed with a varied diet.

91 The animals were immobilized by intramuscular  
92 administration of Zolacepan HCl, Tiletamine HCl

(Zoletil1001; Virbac, Carros, France) 7 mg/kg and  
ketamine (Imalgene 10001; Rhone-Mérieux, Lyon,  
France) 2 mg/kg applied by teleanaesthesia and were  
monitored in order to control their general status under  
anaesthesia. The pubic region and the penis were  
cleaned, the rectum was emptied of stools and the  
bladder was emptied by catheterization and electro-  
ejaculation was carried out with a PT Electronics elec-  
troejaculator (PT Electronics, Boring, OR, USA) using  
a transrectal probe 320 mm in length and 26 mm in  
diameter. Electric stimuli were given until ejaculation  
(10 V and 250 mA, in average) and ejaculates were  
collected in 15-mL glass tubes at 30–32 °C.

### 93 2.2. Experimental design and semen evaluation

94 Samples obtained by electroejaculation were centri-  
95 fugal immediately to remove seminal plasma (600×g,  
96 6 min). The pellet was divided in three aliquots de-  
97 pending on the moment of glycerol addition: PRE  
(before cooling to 5 °C), POST (after cooling to 5  
°C) and HALF (half of the final concentration at  
ambient temperature, completing to final concentra-  
tion after cooling to 5 °C). First, each aliquot was  
diluted with the same volume of TTF extender (TES-  
Tris–Fructose 300 mOsm/kg, pH 7.1, with 20% egg  
yolk, 2% EDTA and 1% Equex STM paste) at ambi-  
ent temperature with 16% glycerol (PRE), 8%  
glycerol (HALF) and 0% glycerol (POST) according  
to treatment. The tubes with extended sample were  
put in glass vessels containing 100 mL of water at  
ambient temperature and transferred to a 5 °C refrig-  
erator. After reaching 5 °C, the same volume of  
extender was added to the POST and HALF tubes  
(16% and 8% glycerol, respectively) to reach the  
final glycerol concentration (8%). Final sperm con-  
centration was achieved by adding the appropriate  
volume of extender to achieve a final glycerol con-  
tent of 8%). After packaging into 0.25 mL plastic  
straws, the sample is immediately cooled for 1 h at 5  
°C, samples were frozen in a programmable biof-  
reezer (Kryo 560-16; PLANER plc, Sunbury, UK) at  
-20 °C/min down to -100 °C, and then transferred to  
liquid nitrogen containers. The cryopreserved sam-  
ples remained in liquid nitrogen for a minimum of 1  
week. Thawing was performed by plunging the  
straws in water at 65 °C for 6 s. After thawing, the  
samples were subjected to 4 h incubation at 37 °C.  
The semen samples were evaluated before freezing  
and after thawing (at 0 h and 4 h post-incubation to  
check for latent damage to the sperm).

98 Motility parameters were evaluated using a com-

Table 1

Pre-freezing (PRE-F) and post-thawing parameters (Sperm motility and viability) of seventeen ejaculates from Cantabrian Brown Bears for the three groups of glycerol dilution PRE, HALF and POST (mean  $\pm$  standard error). PRE (at room temperature before refrigeration), HALF (up to half the final concentration at room temperature and the final concentration at 5 °C) and POST (5 °C, after refrigeration). Frozen-thawed sample was assessed immediately after thawing (POST 0 h) and after 4 h of incubation (POST 4 h).

Parameter	Sample	Post	Half	Pre
TM (%)	PRE-F	77.4 $\pm$ 3.8	79.4 $\pm$ 3.7	77.4 $\pm$ 4.1
	POST 0 h	53.0 $\pm$ 3.2	49.9 $\pm$ 4.2	48.0 $\pm$ 4.4
	POST 4 h	27.4 $\pm$ 7.3	23.1 $\pm$ 8.0	25.6 $\pm$ 4.8
PM (%)	PRE-F	38.2 $\pm$ 3.2	32.8 $\pm$ 3.6	36.2 $\pm$ 4.2
	POST 0 h	22.1 $\pm$ 2.5	18.0 $\pm$ 3.3	18.7 $\pm$ 3.4
	POST 4 h	9.1 $\pm$ 2.3	7.8 $\pm$ 3.0	9.8 $\pm$ 2.0
VAP ( $\mu$ m/s)	PRE-F	77.0 $\pm$ 2.7	70.8 $\pm$ 2.8	76.6 $\pm$ 1.8
	POST 0 h	63.8 $\pm$ 2.5	57.4 $\pm$ 4.0	60.3 $\pm$ 5.2
	POST 4 h	30.2 $\pm$ 3.0 <sup>a,b</sup>	27.6 $\pm$ 3.5 <sup>a,b</sup>	26.5 $\pm$ 3.4 <sup>a,b</sup>
LIN (%)	PRE-F	46.8 $\pm$ 2.5	45.9 $\pm$ 2.2	46.8 $\pm$ 2.1
	POST 0 h	37.4 $\pm$ 0.9	36.8 $\pm$ 1.2	36.0 $\pm$ 1.4
	POST 4 h	31.8 $\pm$ 1.3	33.8 $\pm$ 1.4	35.8 $\pm$ 2.6
iACR (%)	PRE-F	74.3 $\pm$ 5.6	77.3 $\pm$ 5.1	74.3 $\pm$ 5.3
	POST 0 h	62.9 $\pm$ 3.0	63.5 $\pm$ 3.3	64.2 $\pm$ 3.4
	POST 4 h	49.1 $\pm$ 8.9	43.8 $\pm$ 9.9	44.9 $\pm$ 9.7
dACR (%)	PRE-F	3.0 $\pm$ 0.6	3.3 $\pm$ 0.9	4.2 $\pm$ 1.1
	POST 0 h	10.3 $\pm$ 2.3	10.2 $\pm$ 1.8	10.5 $\pm$ 2.1
	POST 4 h	19.2 $\pm$ 3.9	17.5 $\pm$ 3.2	18.8 $\pm$ 2.9

CASA Analyses: Total motility (TM; %), Progressive motility (PM; %), Average velocity (VAP;  $\mu$ m/s), Linearity (LIN; %).

Flow Cytometric Analyses: Viable spermatozoa with intact acrosomes (iACR: PNA-/PI-) and spermatozoa with damaged acrosomes (dACR: PNA+/PI- and PNA+/PI+).

<sup>a,b</sup> Superscript letters indicate significant differences among groups of glycerol dilution ( $P < 0.05$ ).

puter assisted sperm analysis system (CASA) (Sperm Class Analyzer; Microptic, Barcelona, Spain). Samples were diluted ( $10\text{--}20 \times 10^6$  cells/mL) in buffered medium (HEPES 20 mm/L, 197 mmol/L NaCl, 2.5 mmol/L KOH, 10 mmol/L glucose, 1% egg yolk; pH 7; 300 mOsm/kg), and warmed on a 37 °C plate for 5 min. Then, 5  $\mu$ L were placed in a Makler counting cell chamber (10  $\mu$ m deep; Sefi Medical Instruments, Haifa, Israel). The sample was examined at  $\times 10$  (negative phase contrast) in a microscope with a warmed stage (38 °C). The standard parameter settings were set at 25 frames/s, 20 to 90  $\mu\text{m}^2$  for head area and VCL  $> 10$   $\mu\text{m/s}$  to classify a spermatozoon as motile. At least five sequences or 200 spermatozoa were saved and analyzed afterwards.

Sperm viability and acrosomal status were assessed using the double stain PNA-FITC/PI (peanut agglutinin/propidium iodide) and flow cytometry. Sperm samples were diluted with PBS down to  $5 \times 10^6$  spermatozoa/mL in conical polypropylene tubes (300  $\mu$ L/tube), staining with PI and 0.8  $\mu\text{g/mL}$  PNA-FITC. Samples were read with a FACScalibur flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson Immunochemistry Systems, San Jose, CA, USA), equipped with standard optics and a tuned (488 nm) argon-ion laser, obtaining the percentage of viable spermatozoa with intact acrosomes (PI-

and PNA-, termed iACR) and the percentage of spermatozoa with damaged acrosomes (PNA+, termed dACR).

#### 2.4. Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed using SAS <sup>TM</sup> v .9.1 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA), by linear mixed-effects models (MIXED procedure) considering samples as a random effect. Results were expressed as the mean  $\pm$  SEM (standard error of the mean).

### 3. Results and discussion

The fresh semen samples of the brown bears studied in this experiment had a volume of  $1.6 \pm 0.8$  mL (mean  $\pm$  SD), a pH of  $8.5 \pm 0.5$ , an osmotic pressure of  $315.7 \pm 86.3$  and a sperm concentration of  $296.3 \pm 76.4 \times 10^6$  spermatozoa/mL.

Studies in dog, a carnivore like the brown bear, have indicated that glycerol should be added at 4 °C after cooling [16,17]. This procedure avoids the exposure of sperm to the cryoprotectant at ambient temperature, decreasing the damage sperm undergo before freezing. Conversely, Silva et al [15] concluded that glycerol could be added both at room temperature (27 °C) and at

4 °C, without detrimental effects. These results are supported by studies showing that, although canine spermatozoa are sensitive to dehydration, they were able to tolerate shrinking and swelling (osmotic stress) during exposure to hypertonic solutions of glycerol [18].

The results of our study showed no significant differences between the three protocols at 0 h, both pre-freezing and post-thawing (Table 1). The incubation of the samples at 37 °C post-thawing for 4 h did not show any differences among protocols, except for sperm velocity (VAP), which was decreased when the final concentration of glycerol was achieved before refrigeration (PRE), in comparison with POST treatment, but no differences were observed between PRE and HALF. This finding suggests a possible negative effect of glycerol, due to a longer exposure of cells to the cryoprotectants during post-thaw incubation.

Most spermatozoa freezing protocols that have been tested for sperm of Ursidae mammalian family, glycerol has been added after cooling and thus, spermatozoa are in contact with glycerol only during the equilibration time at 4 °C [5–8]. The implications of species variability in both the beneficial and detrimental effects of glycerol are worth considering in this respect [19]. The cryoprotective action of glycerol depends on its permeability coefficient that is likely to be different among species, since it depends on the structure and composition of the membrane. No studies have been carried out to evaluate the permeability of glycerol in brown bear spermatozoa and therefore we can not assess the extent of osmotic stress in these cells. In this sense, we speculate that low VAP of spermatozoa exposed to glycerol at room temperature may be due to toxic effects rather than osmotic effects.

In conclusion, we did not detect any significant effect on sperm motility or viability in post-thawing analysis regarding the protocol of glycerol addition, suggesting that bear spermatozoa are resistant to the addition of glycerol at different temperatures. Therefore, freezing protocols for brown bear semen may be flexible in this step, which may be convenient in field conditions, when appropriate infrastructure might not be available.

## Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by CICYT (CGL2007-63748/BOS) and CANTUR S.A.

## References

- [1] Anel L, Alvarez M, Martinez-Pastor F, Gomes S, Nicolas M, Mata M, Martinez AF, Borragan S, Anel E, de PP. Sperm cryopreservation in brown bear (*Ursus arctos*): preliminary aspects. *Reprod Domest Anim* 2008;43 Suppl 4:9–17.
- [2] Spindler RE, Huang Y, Howard JG, Wang P, Zhang H, Zhang G, Wildt DE. Acrosomal integrity and capacitation are not influenced by sperm cryopreservation in the giant panda. *Reproduction* 2004;127:547–56.
- [3] Ishikawa A, Matsu M, Sakamoto H, Katagiri S, Takahashi Y. Cryopreservation of the semen collected by electroejaculation from the Hokkaido brown bear (*Ursus arctos yesoensis*). *J Vet Med Sci* 2002;64:373–6.
- [4] Okano T, Murase T, Tsubota T. Electroejaculation and semen cryopreservation of free-ranging Japanese black bears (*Ursus thibetanus japonicus*). *J Vet Med Sci* 2004;66[11]:1371–6.
- [5] Okano T, Murase T, Yayota C, Komatsu T, Miyazawa K, Asano M, Tsubota T. Characteristics of captive Japanese black bears (*Ursus thibetanus japonicus*) semen collected by electroejaculation with different voltages for stimulation and frozen-thawed under different conditions. *Anim Reprod Sci* 2006;95:134–43.
- [6] Okano T, Nakamura S, Komatsu T, Murase T, Miyazawa K, Asano M, Tsubota T. Characteristics of frozen-thawed spermatozoa cryopreserved with different concentrations of glycerol in captive Japanese black bears (*Ursus thibetanus japonicus*). *J Vet Med Sci* 2006;68[10]:1101–4.
- [7] Gil J, Lundeheim N, Soderquist L, Rodriuez-Martinez H. Influence of extender, temperature, and addition of glycerol on post-thaw sperm parameters in ram semen. *Theriogenology* 2003;59[5–6]:1241–55.
- [8] Almlid T, Johnson LA. Effects of glycerol concentration, equilibration time and temperature of glycerol addition on post-thaw viability of boar spermatozoa frozen in straws. *J Anim Sci* 1988;66[11]:2899–905.
- [9] Pena AI, Barrio F, Quintela LA, Herradon PG. Effect of different glycerol treatments on frozen-thawed dog sperm longevity and acrosomal integrity. *Theriogenology* 1998;50:163–74.
- [10] Vidament M. French field results (1985–2005) on factors affecting fertility of frozen stallion semen. *Anim Reprod Sci* 2005;89:115–36.
- [11] Fernandez-Santos MR, Estes MC, Soler AJ, Montoro V, Garde JJ. The effects of different cryoprotectants and the temperature of addition on the survival of red deer epididymal spermatozoa. *Cryo Letters* 2005;26:25–32.
- [12] Deppe M, Orloff C, Salinas G, Bravo D, Sanchez R. Effect of temperature incubation and glycerol addition on the acrosome preservation in human spermatozoa. *Rev Invest Clin* 2004;56:477–82.
- [13] Knop K, Hoffmann N, Rath D, Sieme H. Evaluation of slow cooling after centrifugation and glycerol addition at 22 degrees C versus direct freezing of semen in stallions with good and poor sperm longevity. *Anim Reprod Sci* 2005;89:299–302.
- [14] Fernandez-Santos MR, Estes MC, Montoro V, Soler AJ, Garde JJ. Influence of various permeating cryoprotectants on freezability of Iberian red deer (*Cervus elaphus hispanicus*) epididymal spermatozoa: effects of concentration and temperature of addition. *J Androl* 2006;27:734–45.
- [15] Silva AR, Cardoso RC, Silva LD. Influence of temperature during glycerol addition and post-thaw dilution on the quality of canine frozen semen. *Reprod Domest Anim* 2006;41:74–78.

150  
151  
152  
153  
154  
155  
156  
157  
158  
159  
160  
161  
162  
163  
164  
165  
166  
167  
168  
169  
170  
171  
172  
173  
174  
175  
176  
177  
178  
179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191  
192  
193  
194  
195  
196  
197  
198  
199  
200  
201

202	[16] Silva AR, Cardoso RC, Uchoa DC, Silva LD. Quality of canine semen submitted to single or fractionated glycerol addition during the freezing process. <i>Theriogenology</i> 2003;59:821–9.	[18] Songsasen N, Yu I, Murton S, Paccamonti DL, Eilts BE, Godke RA, Leibo SP. Osmotic sensitivity of canine spermatozoa. <i>Cryobiology</i> 2002;44:79–90.	202
203			203
204	[17] Rota A, Rota A, Martini M, Milani C, Romagnoli S. Evaluation of dog semen quality after slow (biological freezer) or rapid (nitrogen vapours) freezing. <i>Reprod Nutr Dev</i> 2005;45:29–37.	[19] Holt WV. Fundamental aspects of sperm cryobiology: the importance of species and individual differences. <i>Theriogenology</i> 2000;53:47–58.	204
205			205
206			206
207			207
208			208
209			209
210			210
211			211
212			212
213			213
214			214
215			215
216			216
217			217
218			218
219			219
220			220
221			221
222			222
223			223
224			224
225			225
226			226
227			227
228			228
229			229
230			230
231			231
232			232
233			233
234			234
235			235
236			236
237			237
238			238
239			239
240			240
241			241
242			242
243			243
244			244
245			245
246			246
247			247
248			248
249			249
250			250
251			251
252			252
253			253