Abcg2 transporter affects plasma, milk and tissue levels of meloxicam
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ABSTRACT

ATP-binding cassette (ABCG2) is an efflux transporter that extrudes xenotoxins from cells in liver, intestine, mammary gland, brain and other organs, affecting the pharmacokinetics, brain accumulation and secretion into milk of several compounds, including antitumoral, antimicrobial and anti-inflammatory drugs. The aim of this study was to investigate whether the widely used anti-inflammatory drug meloxicam is an Abcg2 sustrate, and how this transporter affects its systemic distribution. Using polarized ABCG2-transduced cell lines, we found that meloxicam is efficiently transported by murine Abcg2 and human ABCG2. After oral administration of meloxicam, the area under the plasma concentration-time curve in Abcg2<sup>−/−</sup> mice was 2-fold higher than in wild type mice (146.06 ± 10.57 µg·h/ml versus 73.80 ± 10.00 µg·h/ml). Differences in meloxicam distribution were reported for several tissues, with a 20-fold higher concentration in the brain of Abcg2<sup>−/−</sup> compared to wild-type mice. Meloxicam secretion into milk was also affected by the transporter, with a 2.5-fold higher milk-to-plasma ratio in wild-type compared with Abcg2<sup>−/−</sup> lactating female mice (0.58 ± 0.08 versus 0.23 ± 0.06). We conclude that Abcg2 is an important determinant of the plasma and brain distribution of meloxicam and is clearly involved in its secretion into milk.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) are widely used due to their analgesic, anti-inflammatory and antipyretic properties by inhibition of cyclooxygenase enzymes (COX) [1,2]. Meloxicam is a NSAID of the acidic enolcarboxamide class [3], with preferential selectivity towards COX-2 relative to COX-1 [4]. Furthermore, meloxicam has a second mechanism of action which activates the nitric oxic-cyclic GMP pathway and plays an important role in its analgesic effect. In this way, meloxicam opens potassium channels activated by calcium channels, which generates a peripheral antinociceptive effect [5]. The use of meloxicam is increasing due to its high intrinsic activity. It is widely used in the treatment of osteoarthritis, rheumatoid arthritis and neuropathic pain in humans [6,7]. Its efficacy in sciatica and lumbago has also been reported [8,9]. Moreover, meloxicam is also used in veterinary therapy, including treatments for lactating cattle [10] in which a withdrawal period is established. The unintended presence of drugs in milk, including NSAIDs, may also imply a risk to newborns and dairy product consumers [11,12].

The main route of administration of meloxicam is oral, but it can be also administered by intravenous or intramuscular route. However, regardless of the route, absorption of this compound is almost complete [13]. After oral administration, meloxicam, as with the majority of NSAIDs, is absorbed in stomach and small intestine mucosa and metabolized in the liver by cytochrome P-450 2C [14,15] to 4 pharmacologically inactive metabolites which are excreted in both urine and faeces [13]. In this metabolic pathway, meloxicam may interact with drug transporters, including ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporters that may affect its pharmacokinetics and efficacy. In fact, interaction between some NSAIDs drugs and ABCG2, a described
member of the ABC transporter family [16], has been reported. Several NSAIDs such as piroxicam (also belonging to the family of oxicam), ibuprofen, naproxen, salicylate, among others, have been previously described as ABCG2 inhibitors, affecting the renal excretion of methotrexate [17]. In addition, diclofenac has been described as an efficient in vitro substrate for both murine and human ABCG2 [18]. Furthermore, ABCG2 is also involved in the distribution and elimination of diclofenac glucuronides in mice [19]. Recent studies have demonstrated that ABCG2 is involved in the secretion of flunixin and its main metabolite, 5OH- flunixin, into milk [20]. Although meloxicam is a widely used drug in the medical and veterinary field, there are no studies of its interaction with ABC transporters which might influence its drug pharmacokinetics.

The ABCG2 protein, localized in the apical membrane of epithelial cells, extrudes a wide range of xenotoxins from cells in several organs such as intestine, kidney and liver, among others. As a consequence, ABCG2 restricts the uptake of its transported substrates in the gut, thereby limiting their absorption, and mediating their distribution, hepatobiliary excretion and intestinal elimination [21,22]. Several in vivo studies demonstrated that ABCG2 also limits the foetal and brain penetration of its substrates [21,23]. This protein also contributes to drug-drug interactions, and therefore affects drug efficacy and drug adverse effects [24,25].

Moreover, ABCG2 is highly expressed in the lactating mammary gland and participates in the active secretion of several natural compounds [26,27] and xenobiotics, such as antibiotics [28,29], carcinogens [30] and antiparasitics [31], into milk. In the case of lactating animals it is a determinant factor in the presence of several compounds in dairy consumed milk [11] due to potential adverse effects in the consumer.
Our aim was to investigate the role of ABCG2 in oral and intravenous pharmacokinetics, tissue distribution and secretion into milk of meloxicam, using *in vitro* and *in vivo* tools, including Abcg2 knock-out mice.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Standards and Chemicals

Reference standards of meloxicam and flunixin were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Luis, MO). Ko143 was purchased from Tocris (Bristol, United Kingdom). For the pharmacokinetic studies, meloxicam solutions (Metacam® 2mg/ml i.v. solution and Metacam® 1.5 mg/ml oral solution) were obtained from Boehringer (Ingelheim, Germany). All the other chemicals were analytical grade and obtained from commercial sources.

2.2. Cell Cultures

Madin-Darby Canine Kidney (MDCKII) cells and their murine Abcg2 and human ABCG2 transduced subclones were provided by Dr. A.H. Schinkel, Netherlands Cancer Institute, Amsterdam. Culture conditions have been previously described [32].

2.3. Transport studies

Transepithelial transport assays using Transwell plates were carried out as described elsewhere [33] with minor modifications. Cells (passage 20-35) were grown for 3 days after seeding on microporous polycarbonate membrane filters at a density of 1.0 x 10^6 cells per well. To check the tightness of the monolayer, transepithelial resistance was measured in each well using a Millicell ERS ohmmeter (Millipore,
Burlington, MA). Two hours before the start of the experiment, medium at both the apical and basolateral sides of the monolayer was replaced with 2 ml of OptiMEM medium (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), and either with or without the specific ABCG2 inhibitor Ko143 (1 µM). The experiment was started (t= 0) by replacing the medium in either the apical or basolateral compartment with fresh OptiMEM medium, either with or without 1 µM Ko143 and containing 30 µM meloxicam. Cells were incubated at 37°C in 5% CO₂ and aliquots of 100 µl of culture media were taken at t= 1, 2, 3 and 4 h in the opposite compartment and this volume was replaced with fresh medium. At the end of the experiment confluence of the monolayer was checked with Lucifer Yellow permeability assays [31] with minor modifications. The presence of meloxicam in the opposite compartment was measured by HPLC. Active transport across MDCKII monolayers was expressed by the relative transport ratio, defined as the apically directed transport percentage divided by the basolaterally directed translocation percentage, after 4 hours.

2.4. Animals

Animals were housed and handled according to institutional guidelines complying with European legislation (2010/63/EU). Experimental procedures were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of León and the Junta de Castilla y Leon (ULE_011_2016). Animals used were male or lactating female Abcg2<sup>−/−</sup> and wild-type mice, all of >99% FVB genetic background and between 8 and 12 weeks of age in the case of males, and between 9 and 17 weeks of age of the lactating females. The animals, kindly provided by A. H. Schinkel (The Netherlands Cancer Institute, Amsterdam, The Netherlands), were kept in a temperature-controlled environment with 12 h light/12 h dark cycle, and received a standard diet and water <i>ad libitum</i>. 
2.5. Pharmacokinetic Experiment

For i.v. administration of 10 mg/kg meloxicam, 5 µl of Metacam® (2 mg/ml) commercial solution/g body weight was injected into the tail of mice lightly anesthetized with isoflurane. Blood samples were collected at different time points over 5 h by cardiac puncture under anesthesia with isoflurane. For oral administration of 15 mg/kg meloxicam, 10 µl of Metacam® (1.5 mg/ml) commercial solution/g body weight was dosed by gavage into the stomach. Blood samples were collected at different time points over 24 h by cardiac puncture under anesthesia with isoflurane. Organs were harvested after euthanasia by cervical dislocation at the 4 h time point. Heparinized blood samples were centrifuged immediately at 3000 g for 15 min and stored at -20 ºC until HPLC analysis. Three to six animals were used for each time point.

2.6. Milk Secretion Experiments

For milk secretion experiments, pups approximately 10 days old were separated from their mother approximately 4 h before milk collection. For administration of meloxicam 10 mg/kg, 5 µl of Metacam® (2 mg/ml) commercial solution/g body weight was injected into the tail of mice 30 minutes before milk and blood collection. To stimulate milk secretion, oxytocin (200 µl of 1 IU/ml solution) was administered subcutaneously to lactating mothers 10 min before sample collection. At the indicated time, milk was collected from the mammary glands by gentle vacuum suction after anesthesia with isoflurane. Blood samples were collected by cardiac puncture under anesthesia with isoflurane. At the end of the experiment mice were killed by cervical
dislocation. Heparinized blood samples were centrifuged immediately at 3000 g for 15 min to obtain plasma. Milk and plasma were stored at -20 °C until HPLC analysis.

2.7. High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) Analysis

The chromatographic system used in samples analysis consisted of a Waters 2695 separation module and a Waters 2998 UV photodiode array detector.

The methodology for the extraction of the samples was adapted from Chen et al. [34] and is based on the use of an organic solvent, such as acetonitrile, for protein precipitation. Tissue samples were homogenized with potassium phosphate buffer (pH 3) at 0.1 g tissue/1 ml. To each 100 μl aliquot of sample (homogenized tissue, plasma or milk), 10 μl of a flunixin solution (100 μl/ml) was added as an internal standard. The mixture was vortexed vigorously and 400 μl of acetonitrile was added for protein precipitation. After vortexing for 1 min and centrifuging at 6000 g for 5 min, the supernatant was evaporated with N₂ and the dry residue was re-dissolved in methanol (100 μl). After centrifugation at 10000 g for 1 min the samples were analysed into the HPLC system. Samples from the transport assays were not processed, and 50 μl of the culture media was directly injected into the HPLC system. Separation of the samples was performed on a reverse-phase column (Phenomenex® Synergi 4u Hydro – RP 80A, 250 x 4.60 mm). The composition of mobile phase was 10 mM potassium phosphate buffer, pH 2.1: acetonitrile (33:67) for animal samples and 4 % glacial acetic acid:acetonitrile (50:50) for culture samples. The flow rate of the mobile phase was set to 1.2 ml/min. UV absorbance was measured at 365 nm. Standard samples in the appropriate drug-free matrix were prepared yielding a concentration range from 0.019 to 15 μg/ml, with correlation coefficients > 0.99. The limit of quantification (LOQ) was 0.01 μg/ml and the limit of
detection (LOD) was 0.005 µg/ml for cell culture samples; LOQ 0.03 µg/ml and LOD 0.01 µg/ml for plasma samples; LOQ 0.02 µg/ml and LOD 0.007 µg/ml for milk samples and for tissues LOQ 0.001 - 0.02 µg/ml and LOD 0.001-0.01 µg/ml. LOD and LOQ calculations were performed by the method described by Taverniers et al. [35].

2.8. Statistical analysis

Comparisons between groups were made using the Student’s t-test (normal variables) and the Mann-Whitney U test (not normally distributed variables). All analyses were carried out on the assumed significance level of p ≤ 0.05 using SPSS Statistics software (v. 24.0; IBM, Armonk, New York, NY, USA). The results are shown as mean ± standard deviation (SD).

3. RESULTS

3.1. In Vitro Transport of Meloxicam

To determine whether murine Abcg2 and human ABCG2 were involved in meloxicam in vitro transport, MDCKII and its subclones transduced with murine Abcg2 and human ABCG2 cDNAs were used in transepithelial transport studies.

In the parental MDCKII cells, apical to basal directed translocation was equal to basal to apical translocation of meloxicam (Fig. 1A). Nevertheless, apically directed translocation highly increased and basolaterally directed translocation drastically decreased in the Abcg2 transduced cells compared with the MDCKII parental cell line (Fig. 1A). Relative efflux transport ratio at 4 hours was significantly higher in MDCKII-Abcg2 (32.46 ± 9.02) compared to parental cells (1.11 ± 0.15). When the cells transduced with human ABCG2 were used, the difference with the parental cells in
the apical directional transport was lower than in the case of the murine Abcg2-transduced cell line. Even so, apically directed translocation increased and basolaterally directed translocation decreased in these cells compared with the MDCKII parental cell line (Fig. 1A), and a significant difference between transport ratio obtained for human ABCG2 transduced cells and parental cells was found (4.10 ± 1.05 vs 1.11 ± 0.15, respectively). Furthermore, when the selective ABCG2 inhibitor Ko143 was used, this ABCG2-mediated transport was inhibited (Fig. 1B) for both types of transduced cells, resulting in a vectorial translocation pattern equal to that of the MDCKII parental cell line. These results show highly efficient *in vitro* transport of meloxicam by murine Abcg2 and human ABCG2.

### 3.2. Plasma Pharmacokinetics of Meloxicam in Abcg2<sup>−/−</sup> and Wild-Type Mice

To assess whether *in vitro* ABCG2-mediated transport of meloxicam was also relevant *in vivo*, plasma pharmacokinetics of meloxicam in Abcg2<sup>−/−</sup> and wild-type mice was studied. Plasma concentration of meloxicam was determined as a function of time, after i.v. and oral administration of meloxicam in both types of mice (Fig. 2A and Fig. 2B, respectively). For i.v. administration (10 mg/kg), no significant differences between the two types of mice were found at any time tested (Fig. 2A). Nor were significant differences found in the area under the plasma concentration-time curve (AUC) between wild type and Abcg2<sup>−/−</sup> mice (44.01 ± 1.94 µg·h/ml vs 45.07 ± 2.10 µg·h/ml). Nevertheless, after oral administration of 15 mg/kg meloxicam (Fig. 2B), AUC of Abcg2<sup>−/−</sup> mice was significantly higher compared with the wild-type mice (146.03 ± 10.57 µg·h/ml vs 73.80 ± 10.00 µg·h/ml, respectively). Significant differences in plasma concentration of meloxicam between both types of mice were
also found at several time points (1, 2, 3, 5, 6 and 8 hours). These results clearly show that Abcg2 affects the oral pharmacokinetics of meloxicam.

3.3. Effect of Abcg2 on Tissue Distribution of Meloxicam

To investigate the role of Abcg2 in tissue distribution of meloxicam, meloxicam concentration was measured in several tissues and small intestinal content at 4 h after oral administration, when the variability between samples was lowest. Meloxicam concentration in brain, liver and testis from Abcg2−/− mice was significantly higher compared to wild-type mice (Fig. 3), which indicates that the accumulation of meloxicam in these tissues is affected by Abcg2. A relative effect of Abcg2 was also found in the small intestine and in the small intestinal content of Abcg2−/−, although differences were not statistically significant. Finally, no differences between Abcg2−/− and wild-type mice were observed in concentration of meloxicam in the kidney, which indicates that Abcg2 does not affect the elimination of meloxicam in this organ.

This differential tissue distribution of meloxicam further substantiates that meloxicam is an in vivo substrate of Abcg2 and that Abcg2 affects systemic exposure to this drug.

3.4. Secretion of Meloxicam into Milk in Abcg2−/− and Wild-type Mice

To test whether Abcg2 plays a role in the secretion of meloxicam into milk, 10 mg/kg meloxicam was administered i.v. to lactating Abcg2−/− and wild-type female mice, and milk and blood samples were collected 30 min after administration and analysed (Fig. 4). A similar concentration of meloxicam in plasma was obtained in both types of animals (11.78 ± 1.16 µg/ml vs. 10.04 ± 2.31 µg/ml). Conversely, the concentration of meloxicam was more than 3-fold lower in the milk of Abcg2−/− mice compared with wild-type mice (6.74 ± 0.63 µg/ml vs 2.36 ± 0.81 µg/ml). Therefore, milk-to-plasma
ratio of meloxicam in wild-type was almost 3-fold higher compared to Abcg2−/− lactating mice (0.58 ± 0.08 µg/ml vs 0.23 ± 0.07 µg/ml). These results clearly show that Abcg2 plays an important role in the active secretion of meloxicam into milk.

4. DISCUSSION

In this work, we demonstrate that the NSAID meloxicam is transported in vitro by murine Abcg2 and human ABCG2, and that murine Abcg2 affects the oral pharmacokinetics and milk secretion of this drug. Efficient in vitro transport of meloxicam by murine Abcg2 and more moderate transport by human ABCG2 is demonstrated (Fig. 1). Different efficiency in the expression between murine and human ABCG2 construct may cause interspecies differences. However, differences in affinity/selectivity of ABCG2 and Abcg2 substrates cannot be discarded. This hypothesis has been also proposed for other ABCG2 substrates [36,37]. Previous studies have demonstrated that other NSAIDs were also in vitro substrates for the ABCG2 transporter. For instance, diclofenac was identified as an efficiently transported substrate for murine and human ABCG2, with estimated transport ratios clearly lower (between 2 and 4) [38] than those obtained in our assay (Fig. 1A). Therefore, meloxicam is transported in vitro more efficiently than diclofenac, for both variants.

To extrapolate in vitro results to the in vivo situation, pharmacokinetics studies of meloxicam were performed using Abcg2−/− mice in which the two most common routes of administration of the drug were tested at the therapeutic doses. The first step in the study of in vivo interaction between drugs and transporters, such us P-glycoprotein or ABCG2, is usually the use of knock-out mice [39]. This is a widely used model in drug pharmacokinetics and secretion into milk studies [40].
For oral administration, wild-type mice showed lower plasma levels and AUC compared with Abcg2−/− (Fig. 2A). This finding indicates that intestinal Abcg2 may restrict meloxicam oral bioavailability by reducing its intestinal absorption. However, we observed no significant differences in small intestinal tissue between wild-type and Abcg2−/− mice, probably due to the high interindividual variability. Hepatic elimination seems not to be affected by this transporter since no significant differences between wild-type and Abcg2−/− mice in plasma concentration were observed after intravenous administration of meloxicam (Fig. 2A). In fact, although a significantly higher accumulation of meloxicam was observed in liver of Abcg2−/− mice (Fig. 3) after oral administration, these differences could be attributable to higher plasma levels in Abcg2−/− up to this point (Fig. 2B). Toxic effects of meloxicam have been shown in liver [41]. Regardless of whether the differences observed were caused by local or systemic effects of Abcg2, an accumulation of meloxicam in liver is affected by the expression of this transporter and may be relevant in the assessment of hepatotoxicity of meloxicam. Something similar occurred in testis. However, no differences between wild-type and Abcg2−/− mice were observed in kidney, so Abcg2 does not influence renal elimination of meloxicam.

Our results obtained from the brain are clinically relevant, since an almost 20-fold higher accumulation in this organ was observed in Abcg2−/− compared with wild-type mice (Fig. 3). This difference cannot be attributable to the plasma difference, which was only 2-fold at this time point (4 h). This result reveals that Abcg2 restricts brain accumulation of meloxicam in mice. Several studies provide a protective role for meloxicam in neuroinflammation processes [42,43]. In addition, a recent study suggests that meloxicam may prevent the development of neuropathic pain by reducing neuroinflammation and oxidative stress in the spinal cord [44] and in the
brain [45]. Considering that an association between brain degenerative diseases, such as Alzheimer’s disease, Parkinson’s disease and Huntington’s diseases, with oxidative stress exists [46], meloxicam could be a promising treatment for them. In fact, an improvement in symptoms of Alzheimer’s disease has been reported in a mouse model with this drug [47]. Nevertheless, meloxicam has difficulty in crossing the blood-brain barrier (BBB) [48]. In this work, we have shown that ABCG2 plays an important role in the passage of meloxicam through BBB and its accumulation in the central nervous system. Several studies have demonstrated the limiting role of ABCG2 in the brain penetration of many drugs [49,50] and how transporter inhibition [51,52] or reduced expression due to genetic variants [24] can improve drug therapies related to the central nervous system. We therefore hypothesized that inhibition of ABCG2 could increase brain accumulation of meloxicam, and consequently, improve the potential treatment or prevention of neurodegenerative diseases with this drug.

Our results show Abcg2 affects systemic and tissue distribution of meloxicam. Therefore, the potential presence of Abcg2 inhibitors or genetic variants may affect its therapeutic role or side effects.

The influence of Abcg2 in meloxicam secretion into milk was also studied. Our data undoubtedly show that Abcg2 plays a major role in the secretion of meloxicam into the milk, as indicated by the 3-fold difference in milk-to-plasma ratio between Abcg2⁻/⁻ and wild-type mice (Fig. 4). This difference is similar to the values obtained previously in our group for another substrate belonging to the NSAID family, flunixin [20]. NSAID transport in the mammary gland can have clinical, toxicological and nutritional implications. The FDA does not advise administration of meloxicam in nursing mothers, due to its milk secretion and potential side effects [53]. Moreover,
this drug is regulated for its veterinary use in food animals with an established maximum limit of residues in milk [54,55], although the role of ruminant ABCG2 in the presence of milk residues of meloxicam needs further studies to be elucidated. Note that differences in ABCG2 activity or genetic ABCG2 polymorphism [56], not only in the mother but also in the infant, may vary effective exposure of the infant.

In conclusion, this study has demonstrated that ABCG2 is clearly involved in the active *in vitro* transport of meloxicam by both human and murine variants. Furthermore, our results support the fact that ABCG2 is an important determinant in the oral pharmacokinetics, tissue distribution and milk secretion of meloxicam.
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Figure legends

Fig. 1. Transepithelial transport assay of meloxicam at 30 µM in parental MDCKII cells and its subclones transduced with murine Abcg2 and human ABCG2 in the absence (A) or presence (B) of Ko143 1 µM (ABCG2 inhibitor). The experiment was started (t=0) by replacing the medium in either the apical or basolateral compartment with fresh culture medium containing 30 µM of meloxicam with or without ABCG2 inhibitor Ko143 1 µM. Aliquots of 100 µl were taken from the opposite compartment at 1, 2, 3 and 4 h and measured by HPLC. The fraction of meloxicam transported to the acceptor compartment was presented as a percentage of total meloxicam added to the donor compartment at the beginning of the experiment. Results are represented as mean ± SD. Ratio represents relative efflux transport ratio, apical directed translocation divided by basolateral directed translocation, at 4h. (●) basolateral to apical transport; (○) apical to basolateral transport. (n=3-6).

Fig. 2. Plasma concentration of meloxicam after IV administration of Metacam® (10 mg/kg b.w.) (A) and oral administration (15 mg/kg b.w.) (B) to wild-type and Abcg2−/− mice. Plasma samples were collected at various time points over 5 h (IV) and 24 h (oral). Concentration of meloxicam in plasma were determined by HPLC. The results are presented as means ± SDs. (*) P<0.05 significant differences between both groups of mice. (n=3–6).

Fig. 3 Concentration of meloxicam in brain, small intestinal content, liver, small intestine (tissue), kidney and testis in wild-type and Abcg2−/− male mice at 4 h after oral administration of a single dose of Metacam® at 15 mg/kg b.w. were determinant by HPLC. Results are means ± SDs. (*) P<0.05 significant differences between both groups of mice (n=4).
Fig. 4. Plasma and milk concentration and milk-to-plasma ratio of meloxicam in wild-type and Abcg2−/− mice after intravenous administration of Metacam® at a dose of 10 mg/kg b.w. Plasma and milk were collected 30 min after administration and meloxicam concentration were determined by HPLC. Results are means ± SDs. (*) P<0.05 significant differences between both groups of mice. (n=4-6).
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- Meloxicam is efficiently in vitro transported by murine Abcg2 and human ABCG2
- Abcg2 transporter affects the oral pharmacokinetics of meloxicam in mice
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- Abcg2 transporter plays a role in the secretion of meloxicam into milk in mice
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