

Accepted Manuscript

EVALUATION OF TWO ANTIMICROBIAL PACKAGING FILMS AGAINST *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 STRAINS *IN VITRO* AND DURING STORAGE OF A SPANISH RIPENED SHEEP CHEESE (ZAMORANO)

Verónica Otero, Raquel Becerril, Jesús Santos, José M. Rodríguez-Calleja, Cristina Nerín, María-Luisa García-López

PII: S0956-7135(14)00091-7

DOI: [10.1016/j.foodcont.2014.02.022](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2014.02.022)

Reference: JFCO 3702

To appear in: *Food Control*

Received Date: 5 September 2013

Revised Date: 4 February 2014

Accepted Date: 11 February 2014

Please cite this article as: OteroV., BecerrilR., SantosJ., Rodríguez-CallejaJ.M., NerínC. & García-LópezM.-L., EVALUATION OF TWO ANTIMICROBIAL PACKAGING FILMS AGAINST *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 STRAINS *IN VITRO* AND DURING STORAGE OF A SPANISH RIPENED SHEEP CHEESE (ZAMORANO), *Food Control* (2014), doi: 10.1016/j.foodcont.2014.02.022.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



1 **EVALUATION OF TWO ANTIMICROBIAL PACKAGING FILMS AGAINST**
2 ***Escherichia coli* O157:H7 STRAINS *IN VITRO* AND DURING STORAGE**
3 **OF A SPANISH RIPENED SHEEP CHEESE (ZAMORANO)**

4 Verónica Otero^a, Raquel Becerril^b, Jesús Santos^a, José M. Rodríguez-Calleja^a, Cristina
5 Nerín^b, María-Luisa García-López^a

6 ^aDepartment of Food Hygiene and Food Technology, Veterinary Faculty, University of
7 León, Spain

8 ^bDepartment of Analytical Chemistry I3A, University of Zaragoza, Campus Rio Ebro,
9 Maria de Luna 3, 50018 Zaragoza, Spain

10 Corresponding author:

11 María-Luisa García-López

12 Email: mlgarl@unileon.es

13 Departamento de Higiene y Tecnología de los Alimentos

14 Facultad de Veterinaria, Universidad de León

15 E-24071-León, Spain

16 Phone: +34 987 291 119

17 Fax: +34 987 291 284

18

19 **Abstract**

20 The antimicrobial activity of two packaging films (polypropylene –PP- and
21 polyethylene terephthalate –PET-) coated with different concentrations (0, 4, 6 and 8%)
22 of essential oil from *Origanum vulgare* (OR) and Ethyl Lauroyl Arginate HCl (LAE)
23 was tested against two *E. coli* O157:H7 strains using *in vitro* systems and a raw milk
24 sheep cheese model (Zamorano). The influence of the antimicrobials on the sensory
25 attributes of cheese was also evaluated. For both strains, the MBC (minimum
26 bactericidal concentration) values were identical to their respective MIC (minimum
27 inhibitory concentration) values and lower for LAE (25 mg/l) than for OR (200-400
28 mg/l). PP and PET films coated with OR were tested by a vapour phase assay and the
29 Japanese Standard method (JIS Z 2801:2000). Both films coated with LAE were tested
30 by an agar diffusion method. Overall, *in vitro* tests were effective against both strains.
31 The inhibitory activity depended on the active compound concentration, the target strain
32 and the packaging material, PET being more effective than PP. For inoculated cheese
33 slices, OR and LAE PP films did not effectively decrease *E. coli* O157:H7 counts after
34 7-days cold storage. PET films incorporating 6 and 8 % of OR and LAE significantly
35 ($p < 0.05$) decreased the numbers of both strains and also did 4% for the reference and
36 wild strain depending on the antimicrobial. LAE PP, OR PET and LAE PET did not
37 significantly ($p > 0.05$) affect sensorial characteristics of Zamorano cheese. Packaging
38 with PET films coated with $\geq 6\%$ LAE concentrations might be useful in reducing *E.*
39 *coli* O157:H7 numbers in sheep cheese.

40 **Keywords:** Antimicrobial active food packaging; *E. coli* O157:H7; sheep cheese;
41 oregano essential oil; Ethyl Lauroyl Arginate; LAE

43 1. Introduction

44 Pathogenic *E. coli* strains are categorized into six specific groups or pathotypes
45 based on their virulence determinants. One of them is enterohaemorrhagic *E. coli*
46 (EHEC), which is considered a subset of Shiga-toxin producing *E. coli* (STEC) also
47 named verocytotoxic-producing *E. coli* (VTEC) (Nataro & Kaper, 1998). Although
48 several STEC serotypes can cause serious human illness and are recognized as EHEC,
49 serotype O157:H7 is an important food borne pathogen and the predominant cause of
50 EHEC-associated disease worldwide. In humans, low numbers (10-100 cells) of
51 serotype O157:H7 strains can cause severe illnesses such as diarrhoea and haemorrhagic
52 colitis (HC) that may progress into a life-threatening sequel called haemolytic uremic
53 syndrome (HUS), especially in young children and the elderly. HUS is the most
54 common cause of acute renal failure in young children and an important cause of
55 morbidity and mortality in the elderly (Meng, Lejeune, Zhao, & Doyle, 2013).

56 The main reservoir of this pathogen appears to be wild and domestic ruminants
57 such as deer, cattle, goats and sheep (Ferens & Hovde, 2011). In addition to
58 undercooked beef hamburgers and other meat products, as well as water, fruits and
59 vegetables, cheeses made from raw milk have been implicated in infections of *E. coli*
60 O157:H7 (Espíe et al., 2006; Farrokh et al., 2013). The occurrence of EHEC strains in
61 sheep cheeses and raw sheep milk dedicated to cheesemaking has been reported by a
62 number of authors in Spain and also in other countries (Caro, Mateo, Rúa, & del
63 Rosario García-Armesto, 2011; Caro & García-Armesto, 2007; Farrokh et al., 2013;
64 Rey et al., 2006). Ewes' breeding is an important activity in Spain (*ca.* 22 % of the EU),
65 which is concentrated particularly in the inner regions. According to the Spanish
66 Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Environment (<http://www.magrama.gob.es>; last
67 accessed 30 July 2013), the Castilla y León region is the first producer of ewes' milk,

68 with more than 50 % of the Spanish production, most of this production being dedicated
69 to manufacturing of raw sheep milk cheeses such as Zamorano cheese.

70 Active packaging has been defined as packaging which performs some desired
71 functions other than merely providing a barrier to the external environment (Rooney,
72 2005). According to Commission Regulation (EC) No 450/2009 (European
73 Commission, 2009), “active materials and articles means materials and articles that are
74 intended to extend the shelf-life or to maintain or improve the condition of packaged
75 food; they are designed to deliberately incorporate components that would release or
76 absorb substances into or from the packaged food or the environment surrounding the
77 food.”

78 Antimicrobial packaging is a form of active food packaging which is beneficial
79 to the consumers as well as to the food industry since it can extend product shelf life
80 and/or maintain food safety by killing or reducing the growth rate of target
81 microorganisms. Several antimicrobial agents could be incorporated into conventional
82 food packaging systems (Cha & Chinnan, 2004; López, Sánchez, Batlle, & Nerín,
83 2007a; Nerin, 2012; Suppakul, Miltz, Sonneveld, & Bigger, 2003) including chemical
84 agents, natural agents and probiotics (Han, 2005). A number of these compounds have
85 been proposed and tested for antimicrobial activity in food packaging including oregano
86 essential oil (OR) and Ethyl Lauroyl Arginate HCl (LAE). Antimicrobial films with OR
87 and LAE have been tested against some *Salmonella* serovars, *Listeria monocytogenes*
88 and sometimes against a non pathogenic *E. coli* strain in an infant milk formula (Muriel-
89 Galet, López-Carballo, Gavara, & Hernández-Muñoz, 2012a), packaged salads (Muriel-
90 Galet et al., 2012b) and cooked sliced ham (Theinsathid, Visessanguan, Krueate,
91 Kingcha, & Keeratipibul, 2012). However, information on the effect of packaging films

92 coated with OR or LAE on the behaviour of strains belonging to the pathogenic
93 serotype *E. coli* O157:H7 is not available.

94 This study was undertaken to evaluate the anti-*E. coli* O157:H7 activity of two
95 packaging films coated with different concentrations of OR and LAE. The activity was
96 studied by *in vitro* tests and also by direct contact with artificially contaminated samples
97 of a Spanish ripened sheep cheese (Zamorano cheese). The effects of the antimicrobials
98 on the sensory attributes of cheese were also studied.

99 **2. Materials and methods**

100 *2.1. Bacterial strains*

101 Two strains of *E. coli* O157:H7 were used: non pathogenic strain CECT
102 (Spanish Type Culture Collection) 5947 and strain M364VO isolated by us from a tank
103 sheep milk farm in Castilla y León (Spain). The latter strain carried the *stx2* and *eae*
104 genes.

105 Both strains were stored at -18°C in Nutrient Broth (NB, Scharlab, Barcelona,
106 Spain) with 40% Glycerol. Subcultures were grown overnight in Tryptone Soya Broth
107 (TSB, Scharlab) at 37°C.

108 *2.2. Antimicrobial compounds*

109 Oregano essential oil obtained from *Origanum vulgare* L (OR; Chemical
110 Abstracts Service (CAS) Registry Number 8007-11-2), supplied by Argolide Química
111 SL. (Barcelona, Spain), and Ethyl Lauroyl Arginate HCl (LAE; CAS Registry Number
112 60372-77-2), supplied by Lamirsa (Barcelona, Spain), were used.

113 *2.3. Active films*

114 The coating technology used to produce the active materials was a process
115 protected by the European Patent EP1657181 (Nerin & Garcés, 2006). The active films
116 were manufactured and supplied by the Spanish company ARTIBAL S.A. (Sabiñánigo,

117 Spain). They consisted of coating layers with known concentrations of OR (4, 6 and
118 8%) and LAE (4, 6 and 8%). The plastic film PET (polyethylene terephthalate) was 23
119 μm thick with a density of $18.73\pm 0.02\text{ g/m}^2$. The PP (polypropylene) film was 40 μm
120 thick. The active films contained the antimicrobial compounds expressed as a
121 percentage of weight active agent/weight wet active layer. ATOX (active coating) and
122 ACRIL (acrylic antimicrobial coating) are the industrial denominations of varnishes.
123 The gramage of the coating was in all cases 4 g/m^2

124 *2.4. Antimicrobial susceptibility assays*

125 *2.4.1. Broth dilution assays*

126 A broth dilution assay was used to determine the minimum inhibitory
127 concentration (MIC) and the minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) for both OR
128 and LAE (Becerril, Gomez-Lus, Goni, Lopez, & Nerin, 2007).

129 **OR:** Serial twofold dilutions, between 2.5 and 160 mg/ml, were made in
130 dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (Sigma-Aldrich Química, S.A., Madrid, Spain) (Sacchetti
131 et al., 2005). Then, 10 μl aliquots were added to 890 μl of TSB (Scharlab) plus 0.6%
132 Yeast Extract (Scharlab) (TSBYE), and inoculated with 100 μl of the bacterial
133 suspensions (*ca.* 10^6cfu/ml) in TSBYE. The OR final concentrations ranged from 0.025
134 to 1.6 mg/ml. To determine whether DMSO would be inhibitory to the bacteria, controls
135 with 10 μl of the solvent instead of OR dilutions were performed for both strains. The
136 cultures were incubated at 37°C for 24 h while shaking. The bacterial growth was
137 determined by measuring the optical density at 625 nm. Samples (100 μl) were also
138 taken and serial dilutions were plated on Tryptone Soya Agar (TSA, Scharlab) and
139 incubated at 37°C for 24 hours.

140 **LAE:** Serial twofold dilutions, between 1.25 and 160 mg/ml were made in
141 sterile distilled water and tested as above (LAE final concentrations ranged from 0.0125
142 to 1.6 mg/ml), cultures being incubated without shaking.

143 MIC was defined as the lowest concentration of antimicrobials at which bacterial
144 growth was not detected. The MBC was the lowest concentration of antimicrobials at
145 which bacteria failed to grow in TSBYE and were not cultured after plating onto TSA.
146 Both were expressed as mg of antimicrobials per litre. Tests were performed in
147 triplicate.

148 *2.4.2. Vapour phase assay*

149 The vapour phase activity between OR incorporated in the packaging materials
150 and both *E. coli* strains was tested. Tests were carried out in triplicate by inoculating
151 TSA plates with 100 µl of TSBYE containing 10^6 cfu/ml of each organism. The Petri
152 dish covers were replaced by the active films as described by López et al. (2007b) and
153 the growth examined after incubation at 37 °C for 24 h. Controls with PP and PET
154 without active compounds were also tested. When the growth of the target strains
155 covered by the active films was compared with that of the controls, the antimicrobial
156 effect of the atmosphere derived from the different concentrations of OR was
157 categorised as: -, no inhibition; +, partial inhibition and ++, total inhibition.

158 *2.4.3. Direct contact assays*

159 **OR:** The Japanese Industrial Standard method JIS Z 2801:2000 (Anonymous,
160 2000) was used to evaluate the antibacterial activity of the active films by direct contact.
161 Briefly, three pieces (50 x 50 mm) of each test film coated with 4, 6 and 8% of OR were
162 placed, with the active surface up, on Petri dishes, inoculated with 400 µl of bacterial
163 suspensions (*ca.* 10^5 cfu/ml) and covered with 40x40 mm pieces of uncoated sterile PP
164 and PET-films. Six untreated subsamples of each film (coated only with the varnish)

165 were processed as above. Half of them were used for the determination of the initial cell
166 count directly after inoculation. After incubation for 24 h at 37 °C, the bacteria were
167 harvested from the films surfaces using 10 ml of Buffered Peptone (Scharlab), which
168 was serially diluted and plated on TSA. After 24 h at 37 °C, the antimicrobial activity
169 was expressed as the R value. The R value was calculated using the formula $R = \log$
170 (B/C) where, B: average of colony forming units (cfu) on the untreated films and C:
171 average of the cfu found on the treated ones.

172 **LAE:** Since the JIS method could not be used for LAE, the evaluation of the
173 antibacterial activity of this compound by direct contact was carried out using samples
174 of coated PP and PET films (4, 6 and 8% of LAE) and an agar diffusion method as
175 described by Iseppi et al. (2008). The varnish (ACRIL) coat weight was 4g/m^2 . The
176 coated films (40×40 mm) were placed onto TSA (Oxoid) plates seeded with 10^8 cfu/ml
177 from overnight TSBYE (Scharlab) cultures. The plates were incubated at 37 °C for 24 h
178 and the antagonistic activity was quantified by a clear zone of inhibition in the indicator
179 lawn around and in contact with the coated plastic film. Films coated with varnish not
180 containing LAE were also tested as negative controls

181 *2.5. Antimicrobial activity in Zamorano sheep cheese*

182 Because of the source of strain ECVT M364VO, wedges of commercial Spanish
183 Zamorano cheese were used. Zamorano cheese is a hard cheese, made with the milk of
184 the Churra and Castilian sheep breeds.

185 Commercial cheese wedges, prepared for an estimated domestic consumption of
186 a week, were purchased and sliced. The slices were contaminated on the surface by
187 dipping in a 10^6 cfu/ml TSBYE broth to achieve final levels of *ca.* 10^4 cfu/g. Control
188 and contaminated cheese slices were placed between two pieces of PP or PET films
189 coated with the varnish alone and three different concentrations of each antimicrobial

190 (4, 6, and 8%). Slices were then placed in uncoated PP bags and stored at 3 °C to reflect
191 domestic storage conditions. Numbers of the *E. coli* O157:H7 strains were counted, just
192 after inoculation, and after one and seven storage days on MacConkey Agar with
193 Sorbitol (SMAC, Scharlab) after 24 h incubation at 37 °C. Each experiment was
194 performed six times

195 2.6. Statistical analysis of data on Zamorano sheep cheese

196 *E. coli* counts were transformed and expressed as log cfu/g. Basic descriptive
197 statistics of each parameter (mean and standard deviation) were calculated and linear
198 regression analysis was used to determine the relationship between parameters. The
199 potential influence of strain, film, antimicrobial and storage day was analyzed by using
200 multi-factor analysis of variance (ANOVA). Subsequently, *post-hoc* pairwise
201 comparisons were performed through the Fisher Least Significant Difference (Fisher-
202 LSD) test. Data analysis was carried out with the “Statistica for Windows release 7.0”
203 software (Statsoft Inc.,Tulsa, OK, USA).

204 2.7. Sensory analysis

205 A panel of ten members was recruited for evaluating the effect of the coated
206 films on the smell and taste of non contaminated Zamorano cheese. All were selected on
207 the basis of commitment and motivation. Panellists were asked to rank the samples in
208 descending order based on overall acceptability. Control and wrapped cheese samples
209 (PP with 4, 6 and 8% OR; PP with 4, 6 and 8% LAE; PET with 4, 6 and 8%OR; and
210 PET with 4, 6 and 8% LAE) were analysed after 1 and 7 days of chilled storage in four
211 different sampling days. Data were analysed and interpreted using the Friedman test
212 according to ISO standard 8587:2006 (Anonymous, 2006; Anonymous, 2013).

213 3. Results and discussion

214 3.1. Determination of MIC and MBC values against *E. coli* O157:H7

215 The MIC and MBC values of OR and LAE for both *E. coli* O157:H7 strains are
216 given in Table 1. MBC values of each compound were identical to their respective
217 MICs. Similar data were reported by Becerril et al. (2007) for OR against the non-STE
218 strain *E. coli* ATCC 25922 (MIC and MBC values of 190 mg/l). For one *E. coli*
219 O157:H7 strain, the OR MIC and MBC values were 625 µl/l (Burt & Reinders, 2003).
220 In this study, LAE showed stronger antibacterial activity than OR, the latter being two-
221 fold more efficient against the reference culture than against the sheep milk strain. For
222 LAE, the MIC and MCB values found by Muriel-Galet et al. (2012a) against the non-
223 STEC strain *E. coli* ATCC 25922 were 20 ppm and 32 ppm, respectively.

224 The high antimicrobial activity of LAE on Gram-negative bacteria has been
225 attributed to alterations which involve both the cytoplasm membrane and the external
226 membrane without causing cellular lysis (Rodriguez, Seguer, Rocabayera, & Manresa,
227 2004). Among a number of plant essential oils, essential oil from *O. vulgare* has been
228 found to exhibit the strongest *in vitro* bacteriostatic and bactericidal activities against
229 non-verotoxigenic and verotoxigenic *E. coli* O157:H7 strains (Burt & Reinders, 2003;
230 Marino, Bersani, & Comi, 2001).

231 3.2. Vapour phase assay

232 Table 2 shows the inhibitory effect of the atmosphere derived from OR
233 incorporated in the packaging materials (PP and PET). Oregano essential oil did not
234 inhibit the growth of both *E. coli* O157:H7 strains under 4%, but did under 6% (partial
235 inhibition) and 8% (partial or total inhibition). A number of studies (Becerril et al.,
236 2007; Gutiérrez, Batlle, Sánchez, & Nerín, 2010; López et al., 2007b; Rodriguez, Nerin,
237 & Batlle, 2008) have reported that, in the vapour phase assays, the antimicrobial activity
238 of active packaging containing essential oils (EOs) is produced by the volatile
239 compounds present in the headspace of the Petri dish and the amount of active

240 compounds present in the agar. For oregano essential oil, these compounds have been
241 mainly identified as the phenolic compounds carvacrol and thymol although minor
242 components appear to play a significant role (Burt, 2004; Gutiérrez et al., 2010).

243 The effectiveness of EOs incorporated into packaging materials tested by vapour
244 phase assay has been related to the concentration of the active compound, the packaging
245 material and the target microorganism (Gutiérrez et al., 2010; López et al., 2007b;
246 Rodríguez, Nerin, & Batlle, 2008). In this study, higher concentrations of OR in the
247 active coatings resulted in higher antimicrobial activity, 6% OR being the minimum
248 concentration showing inhibition against both *E. coli* O157:H7 strains. At 8% OR,
249 differences were observed between PP and PET. Thus, total inhibition by 8% OR PP
250 was observed against both *E. coli* O157:H7 strains while 8% OR PET completely
251 inhibited only *E. coli* O157:H7 reference strain. Testing the behaviour of different films,
252 Gutiérrez et al. (2010) concluded that a critical point in the design of an active
253 packaging is the material used because not only the concentration of the active
254 compound, but also the kinetic of release and the polymer play an important role since it
255 is necessary to reach a minimum concentration of the active compounds in the solid
256 medium during the lag phase of the microorganisms to inhibit their growth, and this
257 occurs faster with certain active polymers. Overall, EOs are more effective against
258 moulds and yeasts than against bacteria and among the latter, they appear to be more
259 efficient against Gram-positive species (Burt, 2004). In addition, it has been reported
260 that target microorganisms have a clear influence on the composition of the atmospheres
261 generated by EOs. This finding has been attributed to diverse interactions and/or
262 biotransformation routes (López et al., 2007b).

263 *3.3. Direct contact assays*

264 Table 3 gives the quantitative antimicrobial activity of PP and PET films coated
265 with ATOX varnish containing different concentrations of OR and tested under the JIS
266 Z 2801:2000 method (Anonymous, 2000). This standard was developed to measure the
267 antibacterial activity of antibacterial-treated plastic products and other non-porous
268 materials. In this study, the OR PET film coated with 4%, 6% and 8% of the
269 antimicrobial compound did not allow recovering of cultivable cells from both *E. coli*
270 O157:H7 strains after 24h incubation at 37 °C. These results demonstrate that the OR-
271 containing PET films had a strong antibacterial *in vitro* direct contact activity against
272 both *E. coli* O157:H7 strains. Evaluation of PP films with ATOX varnish coating
273 containing OR showed that 6% and 8% OR also completely inhibited the *E. coli*
274 O157:H7 type strain, which under 4% OR, had a R value of 0.20 (a reduction of 38%).
275 However, for the milk strain *E. coli* O157:H7 M364VO, the PP films containing 4% and
276 6% OR allowed *E. coli* O157:H7 growth (R values of -0.41 and -0.23, respectively)
277 although under OR concentrations of 8% produced a reduction of 91.7% (R value
278 =1.08).

279 Our results show that by using the JIS Z 2801:2000 method, the OR PET films
280 were more efficient than the OR PP films, the behaviour of the latter depending on the
281 origin of the tested strain and the concentration of the active compound.

282 Table 4 shows the antimicrobial activity of LAE incorporated in the ACRIL
283 varnish coating PP and PET films. No activity was observed against the tested strains at
284 0 and 4% LAE. Results obtained with 0% LAE PP and 0% LAE PET films suggest that,
285 under the conditions of this test (incubation at 37 °C), manipulations required to prepare
286 coated films without LAE did not affect the antibacterial activity of the coated films.
287 The activity, which was revealed by a clear zone of inhibition under and around the
288 active films (between 1 and 2.5 mm) demonstrated that 6% LAE PET films were more

289 efficient than 6% LAE PP films although 8% LAE PET and 8% LAE PP films gave
290 identical results (2.5mm of inhibition zone).

291 As observed with the vapour phase assay, results obtained with the direct contact
292 assays appear to depend on the packaging materials, the concentration of the active
293 compound and the target microorganism.

294 3.4. Antimicrobial activity in Zamorano sheep cheese

295 The change in numbers of the *E. coli* O157:H7 strains during cold storage of
296 inoculated Zamorano cheese slices placed between two pieces of PP or PET films
297 coated with the varnishes alone and three different concentrations of each antimicrobial
298 (4, 6, and 8%) is given in Table 5. Statistical analysis demonstrated that counts were
299 significantly ($p < 0.05$) affected by the film, the antimicrobial compound, the storage day
300 and the tested strain.

301 After seven days of cold storage, 4% OR PP films significantly ($p < 0.05$)
302 decreased numbers of both *E. coli* O157:H7 strains. PP films coated with 6% and 8%
303 OR did not significantly ($p > 0.05$) reduce the counts. Overall, these results are not in
304 agreement with data obtained with the *in vitro* tests (vapour phase assay and JIS Z
305 2801:2000 method) where the inhibition of the PP films increased with increasing OR
306 concentrations (Tables 2 and 3).

307 In the cheese model, LAE PP films were only statistically significantly efficient
308 ($p < 0.05$) in reducing *E. coli* O157:H7 numbers when coated with 6% although the
309 highest inhibition zones in the *in vitro* direct contact assay was obtained with 8% LAE
310 PP films. The slight statistically significant decreases in cheese models (Table 5) when
311 stored under 4% OR PP and 6% LAE PP films at 3° C may be regarded as so small that
312 they may not reflect meaningful inhibition. These data suggest that using PP
313 antimicrobials food packaging films based on the release of OR and LAE, do not appear

314 to be efficient against the target *E. coli* O157:H7 strains inoculated in Zamorano cheese.
315 When the application of packaging films based on the release of LAE and OR from a
316 number of films were studied against other Gram-negative pathogenic mesophilic
317 strains (*Salmonella*) inoculated in food models, the reduction reported was much higher
318 (Muriel-Galet et al., 2012a; Muriel-Galet et al., 2012b).

319 Data obtained with PET films coated with OR show that they significantly ($p <$
320 0.05) reduced levels of the tested strains when coated with 4% (type strain), and 6% and
321 8% (both strains). Similar results were observed for PET films coated with LAE
322 although for 4% LAE, the significant ($p < 0.05$) reduction was for the milk strain. It
323 should be noted that 6% OR and 6% LAE PET were more efficient than 8% OR and
324 8% LAE PET. Overall, in the Zamorano cheese model, the antimicrobial capacity of
325 PET films was greater than that of PP films.

326 When studying the effectiveness of antimicrobial food packaging films, many
327 antimicrobial systems that have shown strong activity when tested on model systems, do
328 not demonstrate similar activity when tested in real food products. This, which is very
329 common, is due not only to the target microorganisms but also to the interactive effects
330 of a number of factors (Han, 2005). Amongst them are characteristics of the food such
331 as pH, a_w , fat and protein content, antioxidants, preservatives, salt and other additives
332 and also extrinsic determinants such as the storage temperature and the atmosphere
333 composition. Moreover, each food has its own characteristic microflora (Burt, 2004;
334 Han, 2005; Quintavalla & Vicini, 2002). The average physicochemical composition of
335 Zamorano cheese wedges was: fat over dry matter, 45%; protein, 25%; pH 5.3; a_w , 0.95
336 and NaCl content, 1.94%. The dominant microflora, which is lactic acid bacteria, was
337 over 9 log units cfu/g. It should be noted that although *E. coli* O157:H7 strains are
338 mesophilic bacteria unable to grow under 7 °C some strains appear to possess unique

339 genetic mechanisms enabling survival and proliferation under low temperature
340 conditions (Vidovic, Mangalappalli-Illathu, & Korber, 2011).

341 3.5. Sensory analysis

342 The value of the correlated Friedman statistic was $F'=12.59$. For OR PP films, at
343 5% level of significance, the Friedman's test showed significant differences among
344 samples ($F'=48.58$). The pairwise comparison for control samples and those packaged
345 with OR-PP films showed significant differences ($p<0.05$) after 24h storage and also
346 after seven days storage under 6% OR. No significant differences ($p>0.05$) were
347 established between OR PP packages of cold stored cheese slices kept for 24 h and
348 seven days. The results indicate that for OR PP, panellists detected the OR flavour after
349 24h and to a lesser extent after seven days storage. Panellists did not find significant
350 differences ($p>0.05$) for cheese slices packaged with LAE PP ($F'=7.21$) neither for OR
351 PET ($F'=9.70$) and LAE PET ($F'=12.3$). Our data suggest that LAE and/or PET did
352 not significantly affect sensorial characteristics of Zamorano cheese.

353 In conclusion, overall, the *in vitro* tests demonstrated the antimicrobial activity
354 of PP and PET films coated with OR and LAE when tested against a wild and a
355 reference *E. coli* O157:H7 strains although the effect on the food model (Zamorano
356 cheese) was moderately effective and most depend on the film and also on the
357 antimicrobial agent. Our data suggest that PET films coated with $\geq 6\%$ LAE
358 concentrations might be useful in reducing *E. coli* O157:H7 numbers in sheep cheese.

359 Acknowledgements

360 Verónica Otero was beneficiary of a grant from the FPU program of the Spanish
361 Government. This work was financed by Junta de Castilla y León (Ayuda Grupo de
362 Excelencia GR155) and partially by the Junta project LE331A12-2 and also by the
363 project AGL2011-26118/ALI of the Spanish R&D program. We are grateful for the

364 valuable collaboration of Artibal S.A. (Sabiñánigo, Huesca, Spain). Thanks are also
365 given to the Gobierno de Aragón and Fondo Social Europeo for financial help given to
366 GUIA research Group T-10.

367

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

368 **References**

- 369 Anonymous (2013). ISO 8587:2006/Amd 1:2013. Sensory analysis. Methodology.
370 Ranking. *International Organization for Standardization*.
- 371 Anonymous (2006). ISO 8587:2006. Sensory analysis. Methodology. Ranking.
372 *International Organization for Standardization*.
- 373 Anonymous (2000). JIS Z 2801:2000. Antimicrobial products - test for antimicrobial
374 activity and efficacy. *Japanese Standards Association*.
- 375 Becerril, R., Gomez-Lus, R., Goni, P., Lopez, P., & Nerin, C. (2007). Combination of
376 analytical and microbiological techniques to study the antimicrobial activity of a new
377 active food packaging containing cinnamon or oregano against *E. coli* and *S. aureus*.
378 *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry*, 388(5-6), 1003-1011.
- 379 Burt, S. A., & Reinders, R. D. (2003). Antibacterial activity of selected plant essential
380 oils against *Escherichia coli* O157: H7. *Letters in Applied Microbiology*, 36(3), 162-
381 167.
- 382 Burt, S. (2004). Essential oils: their antibacterial properties and potential applications in
383 foods—a review. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 94(3), 223-253.
- 384 Caro, I., Mateo, J., Rúa, J., & del Rosario García-Armesto, M. (2011). Occurrence of
385 *Escherichia coli* O157, O111 and O26 in raw ewe's milk and performance of two
386 enrichment broths and two plating media used for its assessment. *International Journal*
387 *of Food Microbiology*, 146(1), 84-87.

- 388 Caro, I., & García-Armesto, M. R. (2007). Occurrence of Shiga toxin-producing
389 *Escherichia coli* in a Spanish raw ewe's milk cheese. *International Journal of Food*
390 *Microbiology*, 116(3), 410-413.
- 391 Cha, D. S., & Chinnan, M. S. (2004). Biopolymer-based antimicrobial packaging: a
392 review. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 44(4), 223-237.
- 393 Espié, E., Vaillant, V., Mariani-Kurkdjian, P., Grimont, F., Martin-Schaller, R., De
394 Valk, H., et al. (2006). *Escherichia coli* O157 outbreak associated with fresh
395 unpasteurized goats' cheese. *Epidemiology and Infection*, 134(1), 143-146.
- 396 European Commission (2009). Commission Regulation (EC) No 450/2009 of 29 May
397 2009 on active and intelligent materials and articles intended to come into contact with
398 food. *Official Journal of the European Union*, L135 3-11.
- 399 Farrokh, C., Jordan, K., Auvray, F., Glass, K., Oppegaard, H., Raynaud, S., et al.
400 (2013). Review of Shiga-toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) and their
401 significance in dairy production. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 162(2),
402 190-212.
- 403 Ferens, W. A., & Hovde, C. J. (2011). *Escherichia coli* O157: H7: animal reservoir and
404 sources of human infection. *Foodborne Pathogens and Disease*, 8(4), 465-487.
- 405 Gutiérrez, L., Batlle, R., Sánchez, C., & Nerín, C. (2010). New approach to study the
406 mechanism of antimicrobial protection of an active packaging. *Foodborne Pathogens*
407 *and Disease*, 7(9), 1063-1069.
- 408 Han, J. H. (2005). Introduction to active food packaging technologies, In J. H. Han
409 (Ed.), *Innovations in Food Packaging* (pp. 80-107). London: Academic Press.

- 410 Iseppi, R., Pilati, F., Marini, M., Toselli, M., de Niederhäusern, S., Guerrieri, E., et al.
411 (2008). Anti-listerial activity of a polymeric film coated with hybrid coatings doped
412 with Enterocin 416K1 for use as bioactive food packaging. *International Journal of*
413 *Food Microbiology*, 123(3), 281-287.
- 414 López, P., Sánchez, C., Batlle, R., & Nerín, C. (2007a). Development of flexible
415 antimicrobial films using essential oils as active agents. *Journal of Agricultural and*
416 *Food Chemistry*, 55(21), 8814-8824.
- 417 López, P., Sánchez, C., Batlle, R., & Nerín, C. (2007b). Vapor-phase activities of
418 cinnamon, thyme, and oregano essential oils and key constituents against foodborne
419 microorganisms. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 55(11), 4348-4356.
- 420 Marino, M., Bersani, C., & Comi, G. (2001). Impedance measurements to study the
421 antimicrobial activity of essential oils from *Lamiaceae* and *Compositae*. *International*
422 *Journal of Food Microbiology*, 67(3), 187-195.
- 423 Meng, J., Lejeune, J. T., Zhao, T., & Doyle, M. P. (2013). Enterohemorrhagic
424 *Escherichia coli*, In M. P. Doyle, & R. L. Buchanan (Eds.), *Food Microbiology:*
425 *Fundamentals and Frontiers* (pp. 287-310). Washington, D.C.: ASM Press.
- 426 Muriel-Galet, V., López-Carballo, G., Gavara, R., & Hernández-Muñoz, P. (2012a).
427 Antimicrobial food packaging film based on the release of LAE from EVOH.
428 *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 157(2), 239-244.
- 429 Muriel-Galet, V., Cerisuelo, J. P., López-Carballo, G., Lara, M., Gavara, R., &
430 Hernández-Muñoz, P. (2012b). Development of antimicrobial films for microbiological

- 431 control of packaged salad. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 157(2), 195-
432 201.
- 433 Nataro, J. P., & Kaper, J. B. (1998). Diarrheagenic *Escherichia coli*. *Clinical*
434 *Microbiology Reviews*, 11(1), 142-201.
- 435 Nerin, C. (2012). Essential oils in active packaging, In L. Valgimigli (Ed.), *Essential*
436 *Oils as Natural Food Additives: Composition, Applications, Antioxidant and*
437 *Antimicrobial Properties* (pp. 397-412). Nova Science Inc.
- 438 Nerin, C., & Garcés, O. (2006). Antimicrobial packaging based on the use of natural
439 extracts and the process to obtain this packaging. *European Patent Application EP 1*
440 *657 181 A1*.
- 441 Quintavalla, S., & Vicini, L. (2002). Antimicrobial food packaging in meat industry.
442 *Meat Science*, 62(3), 373-380.
- 443 Rey, J., Sánchez, S., Blanco, J. E., Hermoso de Mendoza, J., Hermoso de Mendoza, M.,
444 García, A., et al. (2006). Prevalence, serotypes and virulence genes of Shiga toxin-
445 producing *Escherichia coli* isolated from ovine and caprine milk and other dairy
446 products in Spain. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 107(2), 212-217.
- 447 Rodriguez, A., Nerin, C., & Batlle, R. (2008). New cinnamon-based active paper
448 packaging against *Rhizopusstolonifer* food spoilage. *Journal of Agricultural and Food*
449 *Chemistry*, 56(15), 6364-6369.
- 450 Rodriguez, E., Seguer, J., Rocabayera, X., & Manresa, A. (2004). Cellular effects of
451 monohydrochloride of l-arginine, N^α-lauroyl ethylester (LAE) on exposure to

- 452 *Salmonella typhimurium* and *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*,
453 96(5), 903-912.
- 454 Rooney, M. L. (2005). Introduction to active food packaging technologies, In J. H. Han
455 (Ed.), *Innovations in Food Packaging* (pp. 63-79). London: Academic Press.
- 456 Sacchetti, G., Maietti, S., Muzzoli, M., Scaglianti, M., Manfredini, S., Radice, M., et al.
457 (2005). Comparative evaluation of 11 essential oils of different origin as functional
458 antioxidants, antiradicals and antimicrobials in foods. *Food Chemistry*, 91(4), 621-632.
- 459 Suppakul, P., Miltz, J., Sonneveld, K., & Bigger, S. W. (2003). Active packaging
460 technologies with an emphasis on antimicrobial packaging and its applications. *Journal*
461 *of Food Science*, 68(2), 408-420.
- 462 Theinsathid, P., Visessanguan, W., Kruenate, J., Kingcha, Y., & Keeratipibul, S. (2012).
463 Antimicrobial Activity of Lauric Arginate-Coated Polylactic Acid Films against *Listeria*
464 *monocytogenes* and *Salmonella Typhimurium* on Cooked Sliced Ham. *Journal of Food*
465 *Science*, 77(2), M142-M149.
- 466 Vidovic, S., Mangalappalli-Illathu, A. K., & Korber, D. R. (2011). Prolonged cold stress
467 response of *Escherichia coli* O157 and the role of rpoS. *International Journal of Food*
468 *Microbiology*, 146(2), 163-169.

Table captions

Table 1. MIC^a and MBC^b values for two *E. coli* O157:H7 strains in broth dilution assays

Table 2. Antimicrobial effect of the atmosphere derived from different concentrations of OR incorporated in two packaging films against two *E. coli* O157:H7 strains

Table 3. Antimicrobial activity tested by a direct contact assay^a of different concentrations of OR^b (4%, 6% and 8%) incorporated in two packaging films against two *E. coli* O157:H7 strains

Table 4. Antimicrobial activity tested by a direct contact assay^a of different concentrations of LAE incorporated in two packaging films against two *E. coli* O157:H7 strains

Table 5. Effects of two antimicrobial packaging films against two *E. coli* O157:H7 strains inoculated on slices of Zamorano cheese

Table 1.

Antimicrobial activity	OR ^c		LAE ^d	
	Strain M364VO ^e	Strain CECT ^f 5947	Strain M364VO	Strain CECT 5947
MIC (mg/l)	400	200	25	25
MBC (mg/l)	400	200	25	25

^aMinimum inhibitory concentration.

^bMinimum bactericidal concentration.

^cOregano essential oil obtained from *Origanum vulgare*.

^dEthyl Lauroyl Arginate HCl.

^eStrain from sheep milk.

^fCECT, Spanish Type Culture Collection.

Table 2.

Films	Strain M364VO ^a	Strain CECT 5947 ^b
0% OR ^c PP ^d	- ^e	-
0% OR PET ^f	-	-
4% OR PP	-	-
4% OR PET	-	-
6% OR PP	+	+
6% OR PET	+	+
8% OR PP	++	++
8% OR PET	+	++

^aStrain from sheep milk.

^bCECT, Spanish Type Culture Collection.

^cOregano essential oil obtained from *Origanum vulgare*.

^dPP, Polypropylene.

^e-, no inhibition; +, partial inhibition; ++, total inhibition.

^fPET, Polyethylene terephthalate.

Table 3.

Strain	Film	OR concentration	Film control after inoculation (cfu/ml)	Film control after 24 h (cfu/ml)	Coated films after 24 h (cfu/ml)	R-value ^c	
M364VO ^g		Varnish ATOX ^d	1.02 x 10 ^{5e}	5.40 x 10 ⁶			
	PP ^f	4%			1.40 x 10 ⁷	-0.41	
		6%			9.20 x 10 ⁶	-0.23	
		8%			4.47 x 10 ⁵	1.08	
	PET ⁱ	4%		1.90 x 10 ⁵	7.00 x 10 ⁴	NG ^h	
		6%				NG	
8%					NG		
CECT ^j 5947	PP	Varnish ATOX	1.33 x 10 ⁵	1.50 x 10 ⁷			
		4%			9.43 x 10 ⁶	0.20	
		6%			NG		
	PET	8%				NG	
		4%		1.57 x 10 ⁵	1.20 x 10 ⁵	NG	
		6%				NG	
		8%			NG		

^aActivity tested by using Japanese standard JIS Z 2801:2000.

^bOregano essential oil obtained from *Origanum vulgare*.

^cR-value was calculated using the formula $R = \log(B/C)$ where, B: average of colony forming units (cfu) on the untreated films and C: average of the cfu found on the treated ones after 24h incubation at 37 °C.

^dFilms coated only with the varnish (0% OR).

^eThe values are means for counts obtained for three independent sample pieces.

^fPP, Polypropylene.

^gStrain from sheep milk.

^hNG, no growth. Viable cells were not recovered from the inoculated coated films after 24h incubation at 37 °C.

ⁱPET, Polyethylene terephthalate.

^jCECT, Spanish Type Culture Collection.

Table 4.

Strain	Film	LAE ^b concentration	Inhibition zone (mm) ^c
M364VO ^f	PP ^e	Varnish ACRIL ^d	0
		4%	0
		6%	1
		8%	2.5
	PET ^g	Varnish ACRIL	0
		4%	0
		6%	1.5
		8%	2.5
CECT 5947 ^h	PP	Varnish ACRIL	0
		4%	0
		6%	1
		8%	2.5
	PET	Varnish ACRIL	0
		4%	0
		6%	1.5
		8%	2.5

^aActivity tested by using an agar diffusion method as described by Iseppi et al. (2008).

^bEthyl Lauroyl Arginate HCl.

^cClear zone of inhibition around the plastic film.

^dFilms coated only with the varnish (0% LAE).

^ePP, Polypropylene.

^fStrain from sheep milk.

^gPET, Polyethylene terephthalate.

^hCECT, Spanish Type Culture Collection.

Table 5.

Fil	Antimicr	Concentr	<i>E.coli</i> O157:H7 strain			<i>E.coli</i> O157:H7 strain		
			Day 0	Day 1	Day 7	Day 0	Day 1	Day 7
PP	OR ^d	Varnish	4.37±0 ^{ef}	4.42±0.	4.26±0	4.33±0.	4.37±0.	4.44±0
		0%	4.31±0.1	4.26±0.	4.07±0	4.40±0.	4.19±0.	3.95±0
		4%	4.32±0.2	4.35±0.	4.18±0	4.38±0.	4.48±0.	4.32±0
		8%	4.16±0.1	4.23±0.	4.16±0	4.36±0.	4.13±0.	4.30±0
	LAE ^g	Varnish	4.41±0.0	4.30±0.	4.22±0	4.30±0.	4.29±0.	4.28±0
		0%	4.23±0.0	4.12±0.	4.24±0	4.33±0.	4.37±0.	4.19±0
		4%	4.47±0.1	4.25±0.	4.22±0	4.44±0.	4.30±0.	4.24±0
		6%	4.38±0.1	4.29±0.	4.31±0	4.47±0.	4.44±0.	4.53±0
		8%						
PE	OR	Varnish	4.44±0.0	4.44±0.	4.38±0	4.50±0.	4.32±0.	4.31±0
		0%	4.21±0.0	4.12±0.	4.29±0	4.45±0.	4.28±0.	3.99±0
		4%	4.46±0.2	4.39±0.	4.07±0	4.53±0.	4.42±0.	4.23±0
		8%	4.29±0.1	4.26±0.	4.01±0	4.36±0.	4.26±0.	4.11±0
	LAE	Varnish	4.35±0.0	4.39±0.	4.21±0	4.32±0.	4.30±0.	4.21±0
		0%	4.30±0.0	4.27±0.	4.12±0	4.35±0.	4.43±0.	4.21±0
		4%	4.47±0.0	4.22±0.	4.13±0	4.44±0.	4.32±0.	4.17±0
		6%	4.50±0.0	4.23±0.	4.30±0	4.44±0.	4.40±0.	4.28±0
		8%						

^aStrain from sheep milk.

^bCECT, Spanish Type Culture Collection.

^cPP, Polypropylene.

^dOregano essential oil obtained from *Origanum vulgare*.

^eEach mean±standard deviation represents an average of six determinations.

^fmeans on the same line with different superscript numbers are significantly different (p < 0.05).

^gEthyl Lauroyl Arginate HCl.

^hPET, Polyethylene terephthalate.

Two antimicrobial packaging films were tested against two *E. coli* O157:H7 strains
The films were Polypropylene (PP) and polyethylene terephthalate (PET)
Antimicrobials were essential oil from oregano (OR) and ethyl lauroyl arginate (LAE)
Overall, *in vitro* tests showed that coated films were effective against both strains
In a ripened sheep cheese model, only PET films coated with $\geq 6\%$ LAE might be useful

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT