INTRODUCTION

Viral haemorrhagic septicaemia virus (VHSV) and infectious haematopoietic necrosis virus (IHNV) are important viral agents affecting a range of fish species, and disease outbreaks cause major losses in European aquaculture. There are many similarities between the 2 viruses and the diseases they cause. Both VHSV and IHNV are enveloped non-segmented negative-strand RNA viruses belonging to the genus *Novirhabdovirus* of the *Rhabdoviridae* family (Walker et al. 2000), they have a similar order of genes and possibly share some cross-reacting epitopes sufficiently alike to be recognised by fish antibodies (Vestergård Jørgensen et al. 1991). Specific poly- and monoclonal antibodies as well as various molecular methods, however, provide possibilities for easy differentiation between them (Lorenzen et al. 1988, Ris-
cases of farmed rainbow trout being co-infected with VHSV and IHNV (J. Castric & G. Bovo pers. comm.).

Interactions between viruses during double or simultaneous infection have been studied thoroughly in mammals (Younger & Whitaker-Dowling 1994). These studies have shown that viruses can interact during co-infection, resulting in stimulatory or inhibitory effects on viral replication. However, in fish, a limited number of viral co-infection interference studies have been reported and they are mainly concerned with co-infection of IHNV and infectious pancreatic necrosis virus (IPNV) (Chinchar et al. 1998, Alonso et al. 1999, 2003, Tafalla et al. 2006, Byrne et al. 2008). To our knowledge the only studies that characterize the interactions between VHSV and IHNV during co-infection were conducted by Brudeseth et al. (2002) and de las Heras et al. (2008). These studies showed that simultaneous exposure to both viruses results in some degree of interaction at the cellular level and that IHNV replication was affected by the presence of VHSV. No similar studies have, however, been published on the putative interaction in the antibody response after dual infections with VHSV and IHNV.

Studies on antibody response against VHSV and IHNV in rainbow trout have demonstrated the efficacy of different serological techniques for the detection and characterization of antibodies against salmonid rhabdoviruses under experimental and field conditions (Olesen & Vestergård Jørgensen 1986, Hattenberger-Baudouy et al. 1989, Olesen et al. 1991, Vestergård Jørgensen et al. 1991). Hattenberger-Baudouy et al. (1989) demonstrated that the same fish could harbour neutralizing antibodies against both VHSV and IHNV. More recently, Boudinot et al. (1998) showed that after a combined DNA immunization with the glycoprotein gene of VHSV and IHNV the fish developed an antibody reaction to both viruses whose kinetics and intensity were similar to those observed by single immunization.

The aim of this research was to study the kinetics of antibody response in rainbow trout experimentally infected with either VHSV, IHNV or with the 2 viruses, to determine if the viruses in single or double infection have different effects on antibody response.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Experimental infection.** Juvenile rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss* (Walbaum) of approximately 50 g were obtained from a certified VHS- and IHN-free trout farm and were acclimatised to laboratory conditions for 2 wk. The fish were approximately 8 mo at the start of infection. For the challenge experiment, the fish were divided into 4 groups (50 fish group<sup>–1</sup>). Each group was infected by immersion for 2 h with either VHSV isolate DK-201433-40 (harvested after 2 cell culture passages in BF-2 cells) obtained from an infected rainbow trout farm (Fregeneda-Grandes & Olesen 2007) or the first French IHNV isolate 32.87 (Hattenberger-Baudouy et al. 1989) (harvested after 8 cell culture passages in EPC cells). Group 1 was infected with 2 × 10<sup>3</sup> TCID<sub>50</sub> ml<sup>–1</sup> water of VHSV, Group 2 with 6.5 × 10<sup>4</sup> TCID<sub>50</sub> ml<sup>–1</sup> water of IHNV and Group 3 with 6.5 × 10<sup>4</sup> TCID<sub>50</sub> ml<sup>–1</sup> water of IHNV and 6 d later with 2 × 10<sup>5</sup> TCID<sub>50</sub> ml<sup>–1</sup> water of VHSV. The non-infected control group (Group 4) was immersed in water supplemented with 20 ml cell culture medium and handled as the infected groups. The experiment was carried out in 120 l glass tanks. Water temperature was maintained at 12 ± 2°C with constant aeration, the photoperiod was set for 12 h of daylight and the fish were fed daily with a commercial pellet diet. Mortality among the different groups was recorded daily and organ samples (head kidney, spleen and heart) were collected from dead fish for virological examination.

**Virus detection.** Organ samples were prepared and processed according to Mortensen et al. (1999). In case of cytopathic effect the virus collected from cell culture supernatants was identified by ELISA. VHSV-ELISA was done according to Olesen & Jørgensen (1991) with modifications described by Mortensen et al. (1999). IHNV-ELISA was done as described for VHSV-ELISA with the modification that the wells were coated with protein-A purified rabbit anti-IHNV and instead of the anti-VHSV monoclonal antibody (MAb) IP5B11, anti-IHNV MAb hyb 136-3 was used. Titration of the viruses was carried out according to the 50% tissue culture infective dose method (Kärber 1931) onto BF-2 and EPC cells in 96-well plates and expressed as TCID<sub>50</sub> ml<sup>–1</sup> water for infection doses and as TCID<sub>50</sub> g<sup>–1</sup> in fish tissues.

**Antibody detection.** Blood samples were collected by puncture of the caudal vein. Up to 25 rainbow trout were sampled from each group. The fish were bled 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10 wk after the first infection such that in the case of Group 3, infected with the 2 viruses, the fish were actually sampled 1, 3, 5, 7 and 9 wk after VHSV infection. After clotting overnight at 4°C the blood samples were centrifuged at 1000 × g for 45 min at 4°C to obtain the serum. The sera were heat treated at 45°C for 30 min and stored at –20°C until use.

Neutralizing antibodies, directed against VHSV and IHNV, present in the trout sera were detected by 50% plaque neutralization tests (50%PNT). The tests were performed in 96-well microtitre plates as described previously (Olesen & Vestergård Jørgensen 1986) and modified by Fregeneda-Grandes & Olesen (2007) using the same virus isolates in the 50%PNT as in the experimental infection. Briefly, 0.05 ml (well<sup>–1</sup>) of serial 2-
fold dilutions of trout serum in dilution medium (Eagle’s MEM with Tris buffer and 5% foetal bovine serum), beginning with a dilution ratio of 1:10, were mixed in round bottom, 96-well microplates (Nunc) with an equal volume of trout complement diluted to 1:30. After incubation for 30 min at 15°C on a rocker platform, 0.1 ml of virus dilution adjusted to \(8 \times 10^4\) PFU ml\(^{-1}\) was added to each well and the plate was incubated overnight at 15°C on a rocker platform. Each serum-complement-virus mixture was then adsorbed to 2 replicate wells (0.01 ml well\(^{-1}\)) with monolayer EPC cells in 96-well microplates (Life Technologies) for 1 h at 15°C. The cell cultures were then overlaid with 1% methyl cellulose in plaque medium (double concentrated Eagle’s MEM with Tris buffer and 4% foetal bovine serum) and incubated for 5 d at 15°C. Finally, the cells were fixed in 10% P-buffered formaldehyde (0.15 ml well\(^{-1}\), 3 h at room temperature), followed by 10 washes with tap water and staining with 0.5% crystal violet (0.05 ml well\(^{-1}\), 30 min at room temperature). After 10 more washes, the microplates were air-dried and the plaques counted by placing the plates on a light table. The 50% PNT titre was calculated as the reciprocal value of the highest trout serum dilution causing 50% reduction of the average number of plaques in control cultures inoculated with normal trout serum, complement and virus. Since it has been reported that some sera exhibit unspecific reaction at low titres (Olesen & Vestergård Jørgensen 1986), only PNT titres of 160 or higher were considered positive.

Statistics. Differences in cumulative mortality and the presence of neutralizing antibodies between the single or double infected groups were analysed statistically by the chi-square (\(\chi^2\)) test. For statistical analysis, titres were transformed to a logarithmic scale and differences in mean \(\log_{10}\) titres for positive fish against homologous virus between the single or double infected groups, were analysed using the parametric ANOVA test or non-parametric Kruskall-Wallis test, depending on whether the conditions for the classical analysis of variance were fulfilled or not. True comparison was only possible for groups infected with IHNV (Groups 2 and 3) because in the case of VHSV infected groups (Groups 1 and 3), weekly samplings between the 2 groups were not the same. All statistical tests were done with Epi Info (TM) software for Windows, Version 3.3 (Dean et al. 2002) and \(p < 0.05\) was taken as the level of significance.

RESULTS

Rainbow trout in the 3 infected groups showed the classic signs of virus infection such as lethargy, darkening of the body, abnormal swimming, exophthalmia, ascites and haemorrhages in the skin. While attempts were made to make the infection dose \(10^5\) TCID\(_{50}\) ml\(^{-1}\) for both viruses, titration of the doses yielded viral titres of 4.8 and 5.3 \(\log_{10}\) for IHNV and VHSV, respectively. Cumulative mortality at the end of the experiment (70 d post-infection [p.i.]) was 58% and 52% for the fish infected with VHSV and IHNV, respectively (Fig. 1). A lower mortality (46%) was observed in the group infected with both viruses, but the difference was not statistically significant. Cumulative mortality in the control group was 10% and all fish in this group were negative by virological examination. In fish infected with VHSV or IHNV, the homologous virus was isolated and identified by ELISA (Table 1). From single virus infected rainbow trout, VHSV was isolated from 15 out of 29 fish (52%) and IHNV from 11 out of 26 fish (42%). In fish infected with both viruses, IHNV was only detected up to 17 d p.i. hereafter only VHSV was detected. Both viruses were not isolated from any of the fish at the same time. In this group, 3 out of 23 (13%) were positive for IHNV, 9 out of 23 (39%) for VHSV and, in total, virus was isolated from 12 out of 23 fish (52%). Individual virus titres varied significantly among fish in the 3 groups (ranging from \(2.7 \times 10^3\) to \(2.7 \times 10^9\) TCID\(_{50}\) g\(^{-1}\)) but no statistical differences in mean \(\log_{10}\) titres were found when double infected fish were compared with single infected fish despite the fact that mean titres were 2 logarithmic units lower for IHNV in the double infected group (Table 1).

The first antibody response was found in the IHNV infected Group 2 wk p.i. in 8% of the fish. The first antibody response against VHSV was detected 4 wk p.i. in 40% of the fish in the single infected group. In

![Fig. 1. Cumulative mortality (%) of 4 groups of 50 rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss* single or double infected with viral haemorrhagic septicemia virus (VHSV) or infectious haematopoietic necrosis virus (IHNV). Group 1: infected with \(2 \times 10^3\) TCID\(_{50}\) ml\(^{-1}\) water of VHSV; Group 2: infected with \(6.5 \times 10^4\) TCID\(_{50}\) ml\(^{-1}\) water of IHNV; Group 3: infected with \(6.5 \times 10^4\) TCID\(_{50}\) ml\(^{-1}\) water of IHNV and \(2 \times 10^8\) TCID\(_{50}\) ml\(^{-1}\) water of VHSV 6 d later; Group 4: not infected
the double infected group, the first antibody response against IHNV was found 4 wk p.i. in 24% of the fish, and against VHSV 5 wk after VHSV infection (6 wk after IHNV infection) in 20% of the fish (Table 2). In all samples taken during the experiment 41% (43/105) were positive in Group 1, infected with VHSV, and 21% (25/117) in Group 2, infected with IHNV. Maximum numbers of positives were found in samples taken 10 wk p.i. for Group 1 and in the samples taken 6 wk p.i. for Group 2. No cross-reacting antibodies were found in samples taken 10 wk p.i. for Group 1 and in the samples taken 6 wk p.i. for Group 2. No cross-reacting antibodies were found in these 2 groups (Table 1). In the group infected with both viruses (Group 3), 30% (38/125) of the samples had neutralizing antibodies against VHSV, 21% (26/125) against IHNV and 12% (15/125) had neutralizing antibodies against both viruses. Maximum numbers of positives against each or both viruses in Group 3 were found 10 wk p.i. (Table 2). All the samples in the control group were negative (results not shown). Differences in the number of antibody positive fish between the single and double infected IHNV groups were not statistically significant.

The antibody titres of positive fish varied among individuals in the 3 groups from 160 to 20480. In general, higher titres were found against IHNV than against VHSV and the titres in Group 3 were lower than in Groups 1 and 2. However, the mean log_{10} titres of single or double infected positive fish were similar and differences in mean titres between Group 2 and 3 were significant only for anti-IHNV antibodies at Week 4 (F = 5.38, p = 0.043) (Fig. 2). The highest mean titres were found 8 wk p.i. except for anti-VHSV antibodies in Group 3 that were highest at 9 wk after VHSV infection (10 wk after IHNV infection).

## DISCUSSION

In the present study we challenged rainbow trout with either VHSV, IHNV or both viruses 1 wk apart and compared the differences between single and double infected groups mainly in relation to the production of specific neutralizing antibodies. To avoid a synergistic effect on mortality and to create more ‘realistic’ infection conditions the double infected group was challenged with VHSV 1 wk after IHNV infection, i.e. starting with the usually less pathogenic IHNV.

The mortality observed in the control group was higher than expected and may be due to repeated blood sampling and handling. Mortality in the infected
groups was approximately 50% and, in part, was likely to be due to handling rather than to infection as the viruses were isolated from less than half of the fish which died during the trials (Table 1). We observed a reduced cumulative mortality in the double infected group compared to the single-infected groups, although the difference was not statistically significant. A similar protective effect has also been demonstrated by other authors both in naturally or artificially viral co-infected fish (LaPatra et al. 1993a, 1995, Hedrick et al. 1994, Alonso et al. 2003, Parkingking et al. 2003, Byrne et al. 2008). Previous studies showed that IHNV-DNA vaccinated rainbow trout were protected against both IHNV and VHSV infection as early as 1 d after vaccine injection due to strong stimulation of the non-specific innate immune system (LaPatra et al. 2001, Lorenzen et al. 2002). Thus, in our study, the first IHNV infection might have stimulated non-specific defence mechanisms against VHSV reducing the mortality rate in the double infected group.

By simultaneous infection of rainbow trout with VHSV and IHNV, Brudeseth et al. (2002) found a more restricted distribution of IHNV in internal organs compared to the single infected fish, supporting the conclusion that viral co-infection results in some degree of interaction at the cellular level. Alonso et al. (1999) demonstrated that IPNV interfered with IHNV replication in vitro in BF-2 cells, as the titre of IHNV decreased 3 logarithmic units in the presence of IPNV and was no longer detected in the cultures after several passages. Recently, de las Heras et al. (2008) examined the cellular interactions of IPNV, VHSV and IHNV in co-infections at the early stages of the infective cycle and showed that IHNV attachment to BF-2 cells was always reduced in the presence of the other viruses and could also be decreased by treatment with chemicals that did not affect VHSV binding. In our study, IHNV was detected in the double infected fish up until 17 d p.i. Hereafter only VHSV was detected from dead fish in Group 3 both in BF-2 and EPC cells. Moreover, in the organs lower IHNV titres were observed in the double infected group compared with the IHNV single infected group, whereas, the double infection appeared not to have any influence on VHSV replication (Table 1). Various possibilities for the interference of viral replication in co-infections with 2 viruses have been proposed including (1) directly, as a consequence of their own replication and (2) indirectly, due to the induction of anti-viral factors such as interferon or cytokines (Chinchar et al. 1998, Tafalla et al. 2006).

The antibody response of the fish in the single infected groups was similar to that reported previously. In rainbow trout infected by cohabitation under experimental conditions at 10°C and 13°C anti-VHSV antibodies were observed 4 wk post-exposure and reached a peak at 6 to 10 wk, when the mortality had ceased (Olesen & Vestergård Jørgensen 1986, Olesen et al. 1991). Rainbow trout (mean weight 16 g) exposed to waterborne IHNV, which resulted in a 50% cumulative mortality, exhibited low prevalence and titres of neutralizing antibodies 1 wk p.i., but at 6 wk p.i. 59% of the fish tested had titres of 2560 (LaPatra et al. 1993b).

The results obtained under experimental conditions corroborated those obtained with sera from rainbow trout fingerlings and adults surviving natural outbreaks of VHS or IHN. (Vestergård Jørgensen et al. 2003).
1991, Hattenberger-Baudouy et al. 1989). In this later study, the authors demonstrated that 1 fish could harbour neutralizing antibodies to both IHNV and VHSV. In our study, 12% of the fish tested in the double infected group showed antibodies against each of the 2 viruses at the same time. We also demonstrated that fish infected with both VHSV and IHNV developed a specific antibody reaction against each of the viruses, with kinetics and intensity (mean of log10 titres) similar to the antibody response in the groups infected with only one of the viruses. Likewise, Boudinot et al. (1998) demonstrated that rainbow trout receiving a combined immunization with plasmids expressing the G protein of VHSV and IHNV developed a double-specific antibody reaction with kinetics and intensity similar to that obtained with the single plasmid immunization. Byrne et al. (2008) studied the interference between IPNV and IHNV in rainbow trout and found no difference either in the kinetics of appearance or in the titres of the antibodies between co-infected fish and fish having received only IPNV. On the contrary, Hedrick et al. (1994) described an increase in the antibody response with respect to IHNV in trout pre-exposed to an avirulent cutthroat trout virus (CTV) compared with fish only infected with IHNV. These results indicate that the fish immune system can process multiple antigens and thus open the way for development of multivalent antiviral vaccines for fish.

This study provides support for the conclusion that the humoral immune response in rainbow trout double infected with VHSV and IHNV is similar to that observed in single infections, and that the fish are able to raise antibodies against both viruses at the same time.
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