

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

IJP: Drugs and Drug Resistance



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijpddr

Antileishmanial activity of terpenylquinones on *Leishmania infantum* and their effects on *Leishmania* topoisomerase IB



Yolanda Pérez-Pertejo^a, José M. Escudero-Martínez^a, Rosa M. Reguera^a, Rafael Balaña-Fouce^a, Pablo A. García^b, Pablo G. Jambrina^c, Arturo San Feliciano^b, María-Ángeles Castro^{b,*}

^a Departamento de Ciencias Biomédicas. Campus de Vegazana, University of León, León, Spain

^b Departamento de Ciencias Farmacéuticas, Área de Química Farmacéutica, Facultad de Farmacia, CIETUS, IBSAL, Campus Miguel de Unamuno, University of Salamanca, Salamanca, Spain

^c Departamento de Química Física. Facultad de Ciencias Químicas, University of Salamanca, Salamanca, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Leishmania infantum Terpenylquinones Naphthoquinones Anthraquinones Acridinequinone DNA-Topoisomerase IB

ABSTRACT

Leishmania is the aethiological agent responsible for the visceral leishmaniasis, a serious parasite-borne disease widely spread all over the World. The emergence of resistant strains makes classical treatments less effective; therefore, new and better drugs are necessary. Naphthoquinones are interesting compounds for which many pharmacological properties have been described, including leishmanicidal activity. This work shows the antileishmanial effect of two series of terpenyl-1,4-naphthoguinones (NQ) and 1,4-anthraquinones (AQ) obtained from natural terpenoids, such as myrcene and myrceocommunic acid. They were evaluated both in vitro and ex vivo against the transgenic iRFP-Leishmania infantum strain and also tested on liver HepG2 cells to determine their selectivity indexes. The results indicated that NQ derivatives showed better antileishmanial activity than AQ analogues, and among them, compounds with a diacetylated hydroquinone moiety provided better results than their corresponding quinones. Regarding the terpenic precursor, compounds obtained from the monoterpenoid myrcene displayed good antiparasitic efficiency and low cytotoxicity for mammalian cells, whereas those derived from the diterpenoid showed better antileishmanial activity without selectivity. In order to explore their mechanism of action, all the compounds have been tested as potential inhibitors of Leishmania type IB DNA topoisomerases, but only some compounds that displayed the quinone ring were able to inhibit the recombinant enzyme in vitro. This fact together with the docking studies performed on LTopIB suggested the existence of another mechanism of action, alternative or complementary to LTopIB inhibition. In silico druglikeness and ADME evaluation of the best leishmanicidal compounds has shown good predictable druggability.

1. Introduction

Visceral leishmaniasis (VL) is a serious parasite-borne disease widely spread all over the World and responsible of ca. 400,000 new cases per year, mostly in Eastern Africa and Indian subcontinent (Dorlo et al., 2017). *Leishmania donovani* and *L. infantum* are the pathogens responsible of VL in the Old World, whereas *L. (Viannia) infantum chagasi* is the pathogen responsible in the New World. The first-line treatment against VL is based on compounds of pentavalent antimony (Sb^V), as meglumine antimonate and sodium stibogluconate, whose activity is decreasing due to its massive use and other environmental

factors in the Indian subcontinent (Burza et al., 2018). Therefore, the emergence of resistant strains of *L. donovani* in the northeastern Indian state of Bihar, recommended the substitution of Sb^V-based drugs by the administration of a single dose of the polyene antifungal amphotericin B (AMB) formulated in liposomes (Sundar et al., 2010). Nowadays, despite the efforts made by all the stakeholders involved, there is not an antileishmanial drug free of side effects, easily administrable and affordable for the impoverished economies of the endemic countries (Monge-Maillo and López-Vélez, 2013; Shakya et al., 2011; Aït-Oudhia et al., 2011). Drugs for Neglected Diseases initiative (DNDi), an International organization founded by "Médecins sans Frontières" and the

E-mail address: macg@usal.es (M.-Á. Castro).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpddr.2019.10.004

Received 25 July 2019; Received in revised form 1 October 2019; Accepted 22 October 2019 Available online 22 October 2019

2211-3207/ © 2019 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of Australian Society for Parasitology. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/BY-NC-ND/4.0/).

Abbreviations: VL, Visceral Leishmaniasis; LTopIB, Leishmania Type IB DNA Topoisomerase; AMB, Amphotericin B deoxycholate; MTF, Miltefosine; CPT, Camptothecin; iRFP, Infrared Fluorescent Protein; FBS, Foetal Bovine Serum; SI, Selectivity Index; NQ, Naphthoquinone; AQ, Anthraquinone; BAcQ, Benzoacridinequinone

^{*} Corresponding author. Departamento de Ciencias Farmacéuticas, Área de Química Farmacéutica, University of Salamanca, Facultad de Farmacia, CIETUS, IBSAL, Campus Miguel de Unamuno s/n, 37007, Salamanca, Spain.

public sector of some endemic countries, has promoted the application of several treatments based on the specific target profiles of the disease in Africa and India, using combinations of the current drugs in clinical use. Far to be a definitive solution, novel and more friendly medicines are urgently needed to face this disease (Barrett and Croft, 2012).

The identification of primary and selective drug targets is in the pipeline of early drug discovery against VL (Reguera et al., 2014; Balaña-Fouce et al., 2019). Naphthoquinones (NQs) are natural compounds found in different families of plants, including Bignoniaceae and Verbenaceae. These substances contain a double conjugated α_{β} dienedione (quinone) system in a base skeleton of naphthalene. Lapachol, α -lapachone and β -lapachone are well known NOs used in medicinal chemistry studies (De Moura et al., 2001; Pinto and de Castro, 2009). Such compounds, besides being obtained from natural sources, can be easily synthesized and have inspired the synthesis of many other substances with potential pharmacological activities, including some drug candidates against neglected diseases (Ferreira et al., 2011; Castro et al., 2013b). The oxidant stress activity of quinonebearing compounds is well-known and can partly explain the leishmanicidal of these compounds (Araújo et al., 2017; Teixeira et al., 2001; Ali et al., 2011). However, it has been reported that certain NQs can primary interact with eukaryotic type IB DNA topoisomerases (TopIB), which may lead to apoptosis-like death in Leishmania (Araújo et al., 2019).

Two reasons support the robustness of TopIB as an attractive druggable target in Leishmania (Bodley et al., 2003): i) Leishmania TopIB (LTopIB) is differentially expressed during the infection process within the host, and more striking ii) LTopIB differs in structure from its human counterpart (Balaña-Fouce et al., 2014; Reguera et al., 2006; Villa et al., 2003). Fig. 1A shows the active site of LTopIB superimposed to human TopIB (hTopIB) in the presence of camptothecin (CPT, Fig. 1B) a specific TopIB poison (Ioanoviciu et al., 2005; Pommier et al., 2010).

Several reports show that NOs, such as the anticancer drugs of natural origin shikonin and plumbagin (Fig. 1B) are good inhibitors of TopIB preventing the formation of the enzyme-DNA covalent complex and inducing apoptosis (Chen et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2013; Beretta



et al., 2017). Similarly, β-lapachone and lapachol inhibited TopIB but did not stabilize the cleavable complex, indicating a mechanism of action associated to catalytic inhibition (Li et al., 1993; Xu et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2016).

Thus, the present study aims to evaluate the leishmanicidal activity of terpenyl-1,4-NQ, 1,4-anthraquinone (1,4-AQ) and benzoacridinequinone (BAcQ) derivatives and several related naphthalene and anthracene analogues previously synthesized at our laboratory (Castro et al., 2013a; Castro et al., 2015; Miguel del Corral et al., 1998; Miguel del Corral et al., 2001; Miguel del Corral et al., 2007; Chamorro, 2002; Rodríguez, 2006). In this study, we assess the antileishmanial effects of these compounds against both stages - free-living promastigotes and intracellular amastigotes – of *L. infantum*, one of the aethiological agent responsible for VL in humans and dogs in the Old World. For this purpose, an intracellular screening on macrophages isolated from infected BALB/c mice with an infrared-emitting L. infantum strain was used (Calvo-Álvarez et al., 2015b). This method has the advantage of using host-infected cells under natural conditions, where the immune cells of spleen are still playing their role (Calvo-Álvarez et al., 2015a). Furthermore, we have explored the potential role played by LTopIB as putative target of these compounds.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Chemistry

The structures of quinone and hydroquinone derivatives evaluated in this work are summarized in Tables 1 and 2. The syntheses of these compounds were made according to previous reports. Compounds showed in Table 1 are derived from myrcene. Derivatives 1-20 and 25 were obtained after an initial catalysed Diels-Alder condensation between myrcene and p-benzoquinones (Castro et al., 2013a; Castro et al., 2015; Miguel del Corral et al., 1998), generating terpenyl-1,4-naphthoquinones (NQ, 1-6), terpenyl-1,4-naphthohydroquinones (NHO, 7-11), 1,4-anthraquinones (1,4-AQ, 12-17), 1,4-anthrahydroquinones (1,4-AHQ, 18-20) and compound 25. Similar condensation between 1,4-NQ and myrcene led to 9,10-anthraquinone derivatives (9,10-AQ,

> Fig. 1. A) Structure of LTopIB interaction complexes. LTopIB, unlike human's, is atypically composed of two different subunits, which have to be assembled in the pathogen to reconstitute the enzyme activity. One of the subunits contains the four amino acids of the active site and the other contains the catalytic amino acid (Tyr222), which acts by breaking one DNA strand into a specific nucleotide sequence (Villa et al., 2003). Left: Structure of the Leishmania TopIB-DNA-CPT ternary complex. The model was built based on PDB: 1T8I structure (See methods for further details). The two subunits are displayed with different colors (salmon and blue) and CPT, which is intercalated between base pairs of DNA, is shown as a grey surface. The active site of LTopIB is located inside the yellow rectangle. Right: Structure of the active site of LTop1B. The important catalytic residues are displayed atomistically, and CPT is displayed as a grey surface. The color scheme is the same used for the left panel. To show the structural similarity between the active site of hTopIB and LTopIB, the structure of the human isoform is shown in yellow (PDB 1TL8). Tyr222 is phosphorylated in our model, the same as in the hTopIB structure. B) Structures of several inhibitors of topoisomerase IB. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)

Table 1

Structures and bioactivity data for terpenyl-quinone/hydroquinone derivatives obtained from myrcene.

		Myrcene	$\frac{1}{1}$		$ \xrightarrow{R^1}_{3R^2} \xrightarrow{A^2}_{NHQ} \xrightarrow{A^2}_{A^2} \xrightarrow{A^2}_{NHQ} \xrightarrow{A^2}_{NHQ} $	$ \begin{array}{c} $	$ \begin{array}{c} $	-AQ		
Comp.	Туре	R	R ¹	R ²	EC ₅₀ (μM)		СС ₅₀ (µМ)	SI _p SI _a	SIa	IC ₅₀ (μM)
					L. infantum promastigotes	L. infantum amastigotes	Human HepG2	_		Leishmanial TopIB
1	NQ	I	Cl	KNC OCH3	$22.8~\pm~0.6$	> 100	50.1 ± 2.4	2.2	< 0.50	23.5 ± 1.3
2 3	NQ NQ	I I	Br Br	H Br	11.7 ± 0.1 > 100	90.0 ± 4.3 12.8 ± 1.5	14.7 ± 0.8 160 \pm 12	1.3 < 1.6	0.16 12	13.5 ± 0.6 > 100
4	NQ	Ι	* ⁰ € ^{0CH3}	H U	$0.57 ~\pm~ 0.04$	7.74 ± 0.07	$10.8~\pm~0.8$	18.9	1.4	50.1 ± 5.0
5 6	NQ NQ	П П	^{осн} а Cl Cl	H ≮ _N ∕∕	18.8 ± 0.6 11.4 ± 0.5	> 100 28.8 ± 1.4	$\begin{array}{r} 104 \ \pm \ 8 \\ 131 \ \pm \ 6 \end{array}$	5.5 11.5	< 1.0 4.5	> 100 73.5 ± 3.4
7 8 9 10 11	NHQ NHQ NHQ NHQ (5,8-dihydro) NHQ		H CH ₃ OCH ₃ CH ₃ H	Н Н Н Н	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{7.19} \ \pm \ \textbf{0.17} \\ 12.7 \ \pm \ \textbf{0.3} \\ 15.3 \ \pm \ \textbf{0.3} \\ 10.9 \ \pm \ \textbf{0.5} \\ \textbf{6.19} \ \pm \ \textbf{0.03} \end{array}$	$7.18 \pm 0.28 \\ 5.71 \pm 0.59 \\ 50.3 \pm 0.3 \\ 3.61 \pm 0.69 \\ 17.8 \pm 1.2$	$\begin{array}{r} 4.33 \ \pm \ 0.28 \\ 28.0 \ \pm \ 1.2 \\ 28.6 \ \pm \ 1.3 \\ > \ 200 \\ 7.66 \ \pm \ 0.26 \end{array}$	0.6 2.2 1.9 > 18.3 1.2	0.60 4.9 0.57 > 55 0.43	> 100 > 100 > 100 > 100 > 100 > 100
12 13 14 15 16 17	1,4-AQ 1,4-AQ 1,4-AQ 1,4-AQ 1,4-AQ 1,4-AQ	- - - -	H OCH ₃ OEt NHEt NHEt * ^H COCH ₃	H H H Cl H	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$\begin{array}{r} 23.0 \ \pm \ 2.1 \\ 14.2 \ \pm \ 2.5 \\ 14.8 \ \pm \ 1.9 \\ 33.8 \ \pm \ 5.2 \\ 66.6 \ \pm \ 3.3 \\ 16.3 \ \pm \ 2.1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 13.4 \ \pm \ 1.3 \\ 29.7 \ \pm \ 1.3 \\ 199 \ \pm \ 14 \\ 149 \ \pm \ 9 \\ > \ 200 \\ > \ 200 \end{array}$	11.2 3.9 12.4 7.5 16.0 14.8	0.58 2.1 13 4.4 > 3.0 > 12	$\begin{array}{c} 10.7 \pm 2.2 \\ 91.8 \pm 8.2 \\ > 100 \\ > 100 \\ > 100 \\ > 100 \\ > 100 \end{array}$
18	1,4-AHQ (9,10-	_	Н	н	15.9 ± 1.1	$1.22~\pm~0.01$	37.0 ± 2.1	2.3	30	> 100
19 20	dihydro) 1,4-AHQ 1,4-AHQ	-	H OCH3	Н Н	3.3 ± 0.1 16.6 ± 0.4	2.64 ± 0.01 19.3 \pm 1.6	5.94 ± 0.31 54.6 ± 5.3	1.8 3.3	2.3 2.8	> 100 > 100
21 22	9,10-AQ 9,10-AQ	II	H F H	H H	$\begin{array}{r} 18.2 \ \pm \ 1.1 \\ 57.5 \ \pm \ 0.2 \end{array}$	21.5 ± 1.1 44.8 ± 1.6	57.8 ± 1.7 147 ± 11	3.2 2.5	2.7 3.3	57.9 ± 4.1 > 100
23	9,10-AQ (4a,9a-	о́н П	н	н	69.6 ± 8.4	> 100	82.9 ± 5.3	1.2	> 0.83	> 100
24	dihydro) 9,10-AQ (4a,9a- dihydro)	- An	н	н	85.4 ± 2.5	$21.6~\pm~2.4$	> 200	2.3	> 9.3	> 100
25	BAcQ	°∽́H			39.0 ± 4.3	24.9 ± 0.30	124 ± 8	3.2	5.0	41.4 ± 7.4
MTF AMB					5.9 ± 1.4 0.77 ± 0.15	$\begin{array}{r} 2.40\ \pm\ 0.21\\ 0.32\ \pm\ 0.05\end{array}$	50.4 ± 4.3 nt	8.5 un	21 un	nt nt

SI₀: Selectivity Index for promastigotes (CC₅₀ HepG2/EC₅₀ promastigotes); SI_a: Selectivity Index for amastigotes (CC₅₀ HepG2/EC₅₀ amastigotes); MTF: Miltefosine; AMB: Amphotericin B deoxycholate; *nt*: not tested; *un*: undefined. Significant values (EC₅₀: $\leq 10 \,\mu$ M, SI: ≥ 10 , and CC₅₀ $\geq 100 \,\mu$ M) are bolded for comparison purposes.

21–24). Compounds shown in Table 2 were similarly obtained from the Diels-Alder condensation between the diterpenoid myrceocommunic acid and p-benzoquinone or 1,4-NQ generating diterpenylnaphthohydroquinone derivatives (DNHQ, 26-37) (Miguel del Corral et al., 2001; Miguel del Corral et al., 2007; Chamorro, 2002; Rodríguez, 2006).

2.2. In vitro assays

All compounds were assayed in vitro against L. infantum BCN150

iRFP promastigotes (iRFP-L. infantum), a genetically modified strain that constitutively produces the infrared fluorescent protein (iRFP) for near infrared detection (Calvo-Álvarez et al., 2015b). Promastigotes were cultured in M199 medium (Gibco), supplemented with 25 mM 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid (HEPES) pH 6.9, 7.6 mM hemin, 10 mM glutamine, 0.1 mM adenosine, 0.01 mM folic acid, 1xRPMI 1640 vitamin mix (Sigma), 10% (v/v) heat inactivated foetal bovine serum (FBS) (Gibco), 50 U/mL penicillin and 50 µg/mL streptomycin. Cultures of iRFP-L. infantum promastigotes with a density

Table 2

Structures and bioactivity data for terpenyl-quinone/hydroquinone derivatives obtained from diterpenoids.



Comp.	Туре	R	ЕС ₅₀ (µ	СС ₅₀ (µМ)	SIp	SIa	IC ₅₀ (μM)	
			L. infantum promastigotes	L. infantum amastigotes	Human HepG2			Leishmanial TopIB
26	DNHQ	NHQ-1	11.7 ± 0.3	4.01 ± 0.50	10.5 ± 0.7	0.9	2.6	> 100
27	DNHQ	NHQ-1	$0.33~\pm~0.01$	4.34 ± 0.49	1.95 ± 0.26	5.9	0.45	> 100
28	DNHQ		$0.29~\pm~0.01$	2.56 ± 0.16	3.38 ± 0.33	11.6	1.3	> 100
29	DNHQ		0.66 ± 0.04	$1.23~\pm~0.05$	2.24 ± 0.38	3.4	1.8	78.4 ± 17.1
30	DNHQ	NHQ-1	3.45 ± 0.03	1.43 ± 0.06	1.59 ± 0.08	0.5	1.1	> 100
31	DNHQ	NHQ-1	0.29 ± 0.01	4.24 ± 0.53	3.40 ± 0.36	11.7	0.80	> 100
32	DNHQ	NHQ-1	$2.28~\pm~0.02$	2.46 ± 0.10	$0.95~\pm~0.06$	0.4	0.39	> 100
33	DNHQ	NHQ-1	2.95 ± 0.20	1.92 ± 0.01	11.3 ± 2.0	3.8	5.9	> 100
34	DNHQ	NHQ-2	76.0 ± 8.1	54.6 ± 5.6	182 ± 6	2.4	3.3	> 100
35	DNHQ	NHQ-3	5.97 ± 0.13	11.8 ± 1.6	10.4 ± 0.9	1.7	0.88	> 100
36	DAQ		> 100	30.9 ± 3.7	96.6 ± 5.9	< 1	3.1	> 100
37	HetNQ	* COOCH3	14.0 ± 0.5	7.23 ± 0.23	87.6 ± 4.3	6.3	12	> 100
MTF AMB		∽ COOCH ₃	5.9 ± 1.4 0.77 ± 0.15	$\begin{array}{rrrr} \textbf{2.40} \ \pm \ \textbf{0.21} \\ \textbf{0.32} \ \pm \ \textbf{0.05} \end{array}$	50.4 ± 4.3 nt	8.5 un	21 un	nt nt

 SI_p : Selectivity Index for promastigotes (CC_{50} HepG2/ EC_{50} promastigotes); SI_a : Selectivity Index for amastigotes (CC_{50} HepG2/ EC_{50} amastigotes); MTF: Miltefosine; AMB: amphotericin B deoxycholate; *nt*: not tested; *un*: undefined. Significant values (EC_{50} : $\leq 10 \mu$ M, SI: ≥ 10 and $CC_{50} \geq 100 \mu$ M) are bolded for comparison purposes.

of 1×10^{6} cells/mL, were dispensed into 96-well optical bottom black plates (Thermo Scientific), 180 µL per well. Each compound was tested adding 20 µL of different stock solutions to the inoculated wells. Stock solutions were prepared in dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) and serially diluted in M199 media (0.01–200 µM final concentrations). The viability of promastigotes to calculate the 50% effective concentration (EC₅₀) values was assessed measuring their fluorescence at 713 nm in an Odyssey (Li-Cor) infrared imaging system after 72 h exposure at 26 °C. All compounds and controls were assayed by triplicate. Plots were fitted by nonlinear analysis using the Sigma Plot 10.1 statistical package.

2.3. Ex vivo murine splenic explant cultures

Primary infected splenic explants were obtained inoculating intraperitoneally 108 iRFP-L. infantum metacyclic promastigotes to female BALB/c mice. After five weeks, mice were sacrificed and spleens were aseptically extracted, washed with cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), cut in small pieces and incubated with 5 mL of 2 mg/mL collagenase D (Sigma) prepared in buffer (10 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl₂ and 1.8 mM CaCl₂) for 20 min, so as to obtain a single cell suspension. The cell suspension was passed through a 100 μ m-mesh cell strainer, harvested by centrifugation (500 \times g for 7 min at 4 °C), washed twice with PBS and resuspended in RPMI medium (Gibco), supplemented with 10 mM HEPES, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 1xRPMI 1640 vitamin mix, 10% (v/v) FBS, 50 U/mL penicillin and 50 µg/mL streptomycin. Different concentrations of the tested compounds (0.01–200 $\mu\text{M})$ were added to these cells seeded in 384-well black optical bottom plates at 37 °C under 5% CO₂ atmosphere. The viability of iRFP-L. infantum amastigotes infecting macrophages was calculated by recording the fluorescence at 713 nm by an Odyssey (Li-Cor) infrared imaging system. The EC₅₀ value was calculated by plotting the infrared fluorescence emitted by viable amastigotes against different concentrations of the tested compounds after 72 h of exposure. Plots were fitted by nonlinear analysis using the Sigma Plot 10.1 statistical package.

2.4. Selectivity index (SI) determination

Each compound was tested on human hepatocarcinoma cell HepG2 line (ATCC HB-8065) as a suitable in vitro toxicity model system of human hepatocytes. HepG2 cells were seeded in 96-well plates at 37 °C under 5% CO2 atmosphere. The Glutamax Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM, Gibco) was used as cultured medium, supplemented with 10% (v/v) FBS, 50 U/mL penicillin and $50 \,\mu\text{g/mL}$ streptomycin. Serial dilutions of each compound ranging from 0.01 to $200\,\mu\text{M}$ were added to the cultures and the viability of HepG2 cells after 72 h of exposure was measured using the Alamar Blue staining method, according to manufacturer's recommendations (Invitrogen). The resulting plots of cell viability vs the concentration of each compound were adjusted by non-linear analysis using the Sigma Plot 10.1 statistical package and used to calculate the 50% cytotoxic concentration (CC₅₀). Selectivity indexes (SI) for each compound were calculated as the ratio between the CC₅₀ values obtained for HepG2 cells and the EC₅₀ values for promastigotes (SI_p) and for amastigotes obtained with ex vivo murine splenic explant cultures (SI_a).

2.5. Purification of leishmanial DNA topoisomerase IB (LTopIB)

Expression and purification of LTopIB was carried out as described previously (Villa et al., 2003). Briefly, LTopIB was purified from yeast strain EKY3 deficient in TopIB activity (*MATa*, *ura*3-52, *his*3 Δ 200, *leu*2 Δ 1, *trp*1 Δ 63, *top*1 Δ :*TRP*1), that carries the bicistronic expression vector pESC-URA containing both subunits of LTopIB. Yeast were grown in yeast synthetic drop-out medium without uracil (Sigma) supplemented with 2% raffinose (w/v) to OD₆₀₀: 0.8–1 and induced for 10 h with 2% galactose (w/v). Cells were harvested, washed with cold TEEG buffer (50 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.4, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 10% glycerol) and resuspended in 15 mL of 1 x TEEG buffer supplemented with 0.2 M KCl and a protease inhibitors cocktail (Thermo Scientific). Protein extract, obtained lysing yeast cells, was loaded on a 5 mL P-11 phosphocellulose column (Whatman International Ltd. England). LTopIB protein was eluted at 4 °C with a discontinuous gradient of KCl (0.2, 0.4, 0.6 M) in TEEG buffer. The fractions eluted with 0.6 M KCl in TEEG buffer were supplemented with 50% glycerol and stored at -20 °C prior to using in enzyme assays.

2.6. TopIB relaxation activity assay

LTopIB activity was measured by the relaxation of supercoiled plasmid DNA. One unit of purified LTopIB (enzyme needed to relax 0.5 µg of supercoiled DNA during 30 min at 37 °C) was incubated with different concentrations of each compound for 15 min at 4 °C. Then, in a final volume of 20 µL was added the reaction mixture containing 0.5 µg of supercoiled pBluescript SK(-) plasmid, 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer pH 7.5, 5 mM MgCl₂, 0.1 mM EDTA, 15 µg/mL bovine serum albumin and 150 mM KCl. Reaction mixtures were incubated 30 min at 37 °C and stopped by the addition of 4 µL loading buffer (5% sarkosyl, 0.12% bromophenol blue, 25% glycerol). The topoisomers were resolved in 1% agarose gels by electrophoresis in 0.1 M Tris borate EDTA buffer (pH 8.0) at 2 V/cm for 16 h and visualized with UV illumination after ethidium bromide ($0.5\,\mu g/mL$) staining. The 50% inhibition concentration (IC₅₀) values of LTopIB inhibition were determined as the 50% reduction of supercoiled DNA, plotting the percentage of supercoiled DNA vs drug concentrations. Plots were fitted by nonlinear analysis using the Sigma Plot 10.1 statistical package.

2.7. Molecular docking studies

Our model for LTopIB was based on the PDB structure 1T8I (Staker et al., 2005) corresponding to the ternary complex of hTopIB-DNA-CPT. Homology modeling of the two subunits of LTopIB was carried out using SWISS-Model (Bienert et al., 2017; Waterhouse et al., 2018) and the aforementioned 1T8I structure as a template. The LTopIB-DNA-CPT complex was placed in the centre of a cubic water box large enough to contain the protein complex and at least 15 Å of solvent on all sides. To mimic the intracellular conditions, $K^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$ and $Cl^{\scriptscriptstyle -}$ were added to the system to account for a 0.15 M KCl concentration. Coordinates of the hydrogen atoms were generated with CHARMM (Brooks et al., 2009) using standard protonation states for all the titrable residues, and molecular dynamics (MD) simulations were run using NAMD (Phillips et al., 2005), the CHARMM36 force-field (Hart et al., 2012; Best et al., 2012), and Particle Mesh Ewald method to account for the electrostatics of the periodic boundary conditions (Darden et al., 1993). A 2 fs time step and the ShakeH algorithm were used (Ryckaert et al., 1977). Throughout the 20 ns of MD simulations at constant temperature (303.15 K) and pressure (1 bar) the structure was stable, and CPT did not leave its pocket, intercalated between the DNA chains. The coordinates of the protein and DNA chains of last frame of the MD simulations were extracted for the subsequent docking stage.

Docking of compounds 1–25 was carried out using Autodock-Vina (Trott and Olson, 2010). To further validate our model, we extracted the coordinates or the most stable poses for AQ 12 and AQ 17 to carry out further 50 ns MD simulations for the AQ 12 (or AQ 17)-TopIB-DNA complex at the same conditions described above. Throughout these simulations the ligands remained in the active site, close to their docking conformations (in particular AQ 17 as its size is significantly larger). Parameters for CPT, AQ 12, and AQ 17 were assigned using ParamChem (accessible at https://cgenff.umaryland.edu) (Vanommeslaeghe et al., 2010).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Activity of terpenylquinones against L. infantum

A family of thirty-seven NQ, AQ and BAcQ derivatives synthesized and previously evaluated as antifungals and antineoplastics (Castro et al., 2013a; Castro et al., 2015; Miguel del Corral et al., 1998; Miguel del Corral et al., 2001; Miguel del Corral et al., 2007; Chamorro, 2002; Rodríguez, 2006) were assessed *in vitro* against free-living axenic promastigotes and *ex vivo* against amastigotes infecting mouse splenic cells. In this regard, we used a recombinant *iRFP-L. infantum* strain that constitutively produces the infrared fluorescent protein (iRFP) and emits near infrared fluorescence at 713 nm without the necessity of adding external substrates. The ability of this parasitic strain to evaluate the *in vitro* and *ex vivo* efficacy of other series of compounds has been proven in previous works by the authors (Escudero-Martínez et al., 2017).

To go further into the potential usefulness of these quinone/hydroquinones as antileishmanial agents, the viability of mammalian HepG2 cancer cell line, a human model for studies of liver drug toxicity, was assessed after exposing the cells to the compounds and the corresponding cytotoxic concentration CC_{50} values were determined. *Ex vivo* infected splenocytes, free-living promastigotes and HepG2 liver cells were incubated with the testing compounds as described in Materials and methods. After drug treatments, the parasite viability was determined by the infrared fluorescence emitted by living parasites, whereas Alamar Blue was added to assess the percentage of viable hepatic cells. The EC_{50} values, defined as the concentrations of the compounds that resulted in 50% parasite growth arrest, were compared to the CC_{50} values obtained from HepG2 cells to calculate selectivity index values (SI) (Tables 1 and 2).

Compounds tested are listed in Tables 1 and 2 grouped according their terpenic precursor (monoterpenoid or diterpenoid) and their quinone/hydroquinone nature. Table 1 includes terpenylnaphtho-quinones/hydroquinones (NQ/NHQs 1–11), anthra-quinones/hydroquinones (1,4-AQ/1,4-AHQs 12–20, 9,10-AQs 21–24) and the benzoacridinequinone (BAcQ) 25, all of them obtained from the monoterpenoid myrcene. Considering as potential "hit" those compounds that inhibit the 50% growth of intra-macrophage amastigotes at concentrations below 10 μ M, six compounds out of twenty-five (4, 7, 8, 10, 18 and 19) were able to arrest the growth of this parasite form. In addition, derivatives 4, 7 and 19 together with three other compounds (11–13) showed EC₅₀ values below 10 μ M for promastigotes.

Considering the oxidation degree of the quinone moiety, the diacetylated hydroquinone derivatives, NHQs and 1,4-AHQs, provided better results than those analogues with an unmodified quinone ring, NQs, 1,4-AQs and 9,10-AQs. Five out of those six hits mentioned above belong to the NHQ and 1,4-AHQ groups. The NHQs 7 $(EC_{50} = 7.18 \,\mu\text{M})$, 8 $(EC_{50} = 5.71 \,\mu\text{M})$ and 10 $(EC_{50} = 3.61 \,\mu\text{M})$ and more actively, the 1,4-AHQs 18 ($EC_{50} = 1.22 \,\mu M$) and 19 (EC_{50} = 2.64 μM) reduced the amastigotes growth below 10 μM and, in addition, compound 18 was twice as potent as miltefosine (MTF) used as reference drug against amastigotes. Interestingly, the 2-methyl-NHQ 10 and the 1,4-AHQ 18 were relatively safe with $CC_{50} > 200 \,\mu\text{M}$ and $37.0 \,\mu\text{M}$ respectively, for host's HepG2 cells, yielding SI_a values > 55 and 30. In addition to their significance about the relative toxicity of the compounds tested, the SI values turn out to be useful to make comparisons of bioactivity within each and between both series of compounds. Compounds with SI greater than 10 can be considered possible candidates to be optimized in order to improve their efficacy and selectivity, and thus be included in future biopharmaceutical and preclinical studies (Katsuno et al., 2015).

Among the NQ and AQ derivatives, only NQ 4, bearing a dimethoxyphenoxy substituent, had an EC_{50} below 10 μ M, being much more potent against promastigotes ($EC_{50} = 0.57 \mu$ M) than against intracellular amastigotes ($EC_{50} = 7.74 \mu$ M). In fact, the potency of this

compound against promastigotes was in the same range as that of AMB, the most potent antileishmanial reference drug used in the study.

Regarding the size of the quinone moiety, the introduction of an additional ring, from NQ to AQ systems either 1,4-AQ or 9,10-AQ, did not enhance the antiparasitic activity. In general, the compounds tested were barely toxic on HepG2 hepatocytes, being of interest those compounds with SI values over 10, as the already mentioned compounds **10** and **18** with SI_a > 55 and 30 on the amastigote form of the parasite, respectively. Other interesting derivatives were the NQ **3** and the 1,4-AQs **14** and **17** with SI_a values of 12, 13 and > 12 respectively, despite presenting EC₅₀ values on amastigotes over 10 μ M (EC₅₀ = 12.8, 14.8 and 16.3 μ M, respectively); so they could be considered relatively safe for human HepG2 cells (CC₅₀ 160, 199 and > 200 μ M). Compounds **10**, **14** and **17** had also a SI > 10 on promastigotes with values of SI_p > 18.3, 12.4 and 14.8, respectively.

The structures of those naphthohydroquinone derivatives obtained from the diterpenoid myrceocommunic acid are listed in Table 2 (DNHQs **26–37**). Different modifications were introduced in the diterpenyl moiety, mainly in the carboxylic group at C-4 position, in the double bond $\Delta^{8(17)}$ and in the chain joining the decaline rest to the naphthohydroquinone core.

With the exception of **36**, the only AQ derivative in this series, all of them were active against both promastigotes and amastigotes within the μ M or lower range. Unfortunately, the cytotoxicity of these compounds for host HepG2 cells was undifferentiable from the effect on the parasite forms, yielding SI values around one. Only the DNHQ **28** and **31** showed a SI_p values close to 12. Table 2 include also the pyrazole-fused NQ **37**, which is the only derivative in this series with an interesting SI_a value of 12.

3.2. Inhibition of leishmanial DNA topoisomerase IB

The presence of polycyclic systems in the structure of these compounds aimed us to assess their inhibitory potential on purified recombinant LTopIB measuring the relaxation of supercoiled plasmid DNA as described in Material and methods section. In this regard, all terpenylquinone derivatives were assessed for LTopIB inhibition through the prevention of DNA relaxation of a circular plasmid DNA. All compounds were tested at a single concentration of 100 μ M, to discard those compounds that did not prevent DNA relaxation by LTopIB. After this initial test, potential inhibitors dose/response curves were performed to obtain their IC₅₀ values.

First, as it could be expected, only some compounds that conserve the oxidized quinone system were able to prevent the relaxation of supercoiled DNA, but none of the diacetylated hydroquinones inhibited the enzyme (Tables 1 and 2). Considering the terpenylquinone derivatives obtained from myrcene, the group with more inhibitors found was the integrated by NQ derivatives. Within this group, four out of six molecules were LTopIB inhibitors, getting the best IC₅₀ value for the dibrominated NQ 2 (IC₅₀ = $13.5 \,\mu$ M) followed by the anilino-chloro disubstituted NQ 1 (IC₅₀ = $23.5 \,\mu$ M). Among the compounds with some LTopIB inhibition effect, the lowest IC50 value corresponded to the unsubstituted 1,4-AQ 12 (IC₅₀ = $10.7 \,\mu$ M). Any structural modifications applied to this molecule led to a reduction of the LTopIB inhibition. The NO 4, the 9,10-AO 21 and the BAcO 25 weakly inhibited LTopIB in vitro, being the weakest inhibitors NQ 6 and 1,4-AQ 13. Several efforts to find correlations between antileishmanial activity and LTopIB inhibition were unsuccessful, with only NQ 4 showing a good leishmanicidal activity with weak LTopIB inhibition. These facts suggest the probable existence of alternative mechanisms of action or different targets that need further research.

3.3. Molecular docking study on LTopIB

Attempting to prove if the planar or quasi-planar quinonic compounds could intercalate into DNA and simultaneously inhibit the

Table 3

Docking interactions, calculated free energy values and *in vitro* inhibition values on LTopIB for selected terpenylquinones.

Compd.	H-bonds	Other	binding	contacts	$\Delta G (kcal/$	IC ₅₀ (μM)	
		А	В	С	D	mory	
1	Arg190	+	-	+	+	-9.5	23.5 ± 1.3
2	-	+	-	+	-	-8.8	$13.5~\pm~0.6$
4	-	+	-	+	+	-10.6	50.1 ± 5.0
6	Arg190	-	-	+	-	-10.2	73.5 ± 3.4
12	Arg190	+	-	+	-	-9.6	10.7 ± 2.2
13	Arg190	+	-	+	-	-10.2	91.8 ± 8.2
14	Arg190	+	-	+	-	-10.3	> 100
15	Arg190	+	-	+	-	-10.3	> 100
17	Arg190,	+	+	+	+	-11.6	> 100
	Asn221						
21	Arg190	-	-	+	-	-8.7	57.9 ± 4.1
25	Arg190	-	+	-	+	-11.5	41.4 ± 7.4
	(dual)						
СРТ	Arg190, Asp353	-	+	-	+	-12.2	2.8*

^a Enzyme fragments involved in LTopIB - quinone contacts: region A: Ala177-Lys200; region B: Thr217-Asn221; region C: Met250-Pro257; region D: Lys352-Asp353. See Suppl. Material, Table S1 and Fig. S1, for more detailed information.

 $^{\rm b}$ Best free energy values and best experimental results are in bold for better comparisons. *CPT IC_{50} was obtained from reference (Roy et al., 2008).

catalytic effect of LTopIB, and trying to understand the lack of correlations between the antileishmanial activity and the LTopIB inhibition, the terpenylquinones derived from myrcene **1–25** were subjected to a molecular docking analysis on a model system of LTopIB. This model was built using the ternary complex of TopIB-DNA-CPT as a template (PDB code: 1T8I (Staker et al., 2005), and was further equilibrated through molecular dynamics (MD) simulations. Details of the system preparation are described in Material and methods section.

Docking of the terpenylquinones 1–25 was carried out using Autodock-Vina (Trott and Olson, 2010), obtaining the results displayed in Table 3 and Table S1 (Supplementary material) and Figs. 2–5 and Figs. S1–S2. Most of the compounds behaved as virtual intercalating agents similar as CPT, where the aromatic rings of the terpenylquinones interact strongly with the DNA base pairs through π -stacking interactions. Accordingly, terpenylquinones could be considered as enzymatic poisons. Moreover, the ligands also interact with the protein through H-



Fig. 2. Conformation of the CPT pocket. CPT structure is shown as a cyan surface and, around them, the different regions that interact with the terpenylquinones have been highlighted. Region A, residues between Ala177 and Lys200, is shown as green cartoon. Region B includes Thr217 and Asn221, which are shown as blue sticks. Region C, residues between Met250 and Pro257, is shown as yellow cartoon. Region D is formed by the residues Lys352 and Asp353, that are shown as pink sticks. It should be noticed that all these residues belong to the large subunit of LTop1B, but Thr217 and Asn221 belong to the small subunit. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)

bonds and other binding contacts as shown in Table 3, in which the binding free energy values have also been compiled, and in the interaction complexes 2D maps shown in Fig. S1. Overall, the terpenylquinones interact strongly with the DNA-LTopIB complex showing binding energies (ΔG) between -8.3 and -11.6 kcal/mol. The average ΔG is 10.1 ± 0.9 kcal/mol, and the largest deviations could be explained based on the different molecular weight of the ligands. Indeed, ligands with larger π -systems show larger ΔG .

In Fig. 2 and Table 3, the amino acids involved in H-bonding interactions with the quinones can be observed, while complete specific interactions are shown in Table S1 and in the 2D maps (Fig. S1). As it is illustrated in Fig. 2, the ligands and the enzyme interact through four different regions: the Ala177 - Lys200 region (region A), in which Hbonds between Arg190 and most of the quinones stand out; the region delimited by Thr217 - Asn221 (region B), with a H-bond between Asn221 and the trimethoxyphenyl derivative AQ **17**, while displaying only soft contacts with CPT; the Met250 - Pro257 region (region C), which generates binding contacts with several NQs and AQs, but not with CPT; and finally, the region constituted by Lys352 and Asp353 (region D), associated by H-bonding to CPT and by other contacts to several NQs and AQs.

The compounds with the highest docking binding energies (Δ G) were the NQs **4** and **6**, the AQs **13**, **14**, **15** and **17**, and the BAcQ **25**, however most of them showed low or null experimental inhibition of LTopIB despite having similar interactions as CPT, which is used as model. Actually, the differences in the docking energies are caused by the strength of the ligand-DNA interaction (π - π stacking formed between the aromatic rings of the DNA basis and the ligands). Accordingly, we cannot rule out the possibility that the ligand intercalate between the DNA strands without binding to LTopIB. The most stable docking poses for the selected quinones in the CPT site of the DNA-LTopIB complex are shown in Figs. 3–5 and Fig. S2.

Fig. 3 contains the docking complexes for NQs 1-6 and CPT. It can be observed that the NQ moiety common to all the NQs intercalates into DNA, in a disposition almost coplanar with the polycyclic system of CPT, though with differences in the accommodation of the flexible lipophilic and less interactive aliphatic prenyl side-chain, and most notably in the case of NQ 1, due to the presence of the bulkier and more rigid *p*-methoxyanilino substituent at the quinone ring.

Fig. 4 contains the ternary complexes of several AQs and CPT. It can be observed that the molecules are fairly displaced with respect to the polycyclic system of CPT, and even somewhat outside the intercalating area of DNA, due to the hindrance caused by the dimethyl substitution at the third non-planar ring.

In the left column of Fig. 5, the differences between the most stable docking poses of 1,4- and 9,10-AQs can be observed. The isoprenyl chain of AQ **21**, more flexible than the dimethyl substitution of AQ **17**, though lying outside the DNA helix, allows a better and more centered intercalation of the AQ into DNA. Nevertheless, the binding energy of AQ **17** (11.6 kcal/mol) results much stronger than that of AQ **21** (8.7 kcal/mol), surely due to the presence of the trimethoxyphenyl group in the former and its expected interactions with the nucleic bases, reinforced by the H-bonding between one methoxy group and the TopIB amino acid Asn221 (Table 3). In the right column of Fig. 5, the complexes of CPT and the BAcQ **25** can be observed, to show the similarity of docking of both compounds, with a good fitting of BAcQ **25** into the CPT surface. This fact, together with the actual leishmanicidal activity of **25**, would support the interest for the opening of a new research line with BAcQ **25** as the lead compound.

As another relevant fact, docking studies predicted that AQ **17** should be a better LTopIB inhibitor than AQ **12**. However, our measurements showed that a significant experimental inhibition was found for AQ **12** but not for AQ **17**. To find out the origin of this discrepancy, we calculated throughout the MD simulation the interaction energy between the ligands and the DNA-protein-solvent system by computing the non-bonding interaction using the force field parameters.



Fig. 3. Superimposed docking complexes of naphthoquinones NQs 1–6 and CPT with DNA-LTopIB complex. The structures shown correspond to the most stable pose predicted by Autodock-VINA for NQs 1–6. Both CPT and the tested ligands are shown atomistically, with CPT displayed as transparent wheat sticks. The regions A-D (see Fig. 2), are shown as green, blue, yellow, and pink cartoons, with the rest of the protein shown as grey cartoons. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)

Throughout the simulations the ligands did not move outside the active site, and the interaction energies calculated showed that the interaction between AQ 17 and the DNA-LTopIB complex is stronger than between AQ 12 and the complex (104 \pm 9 kcal/mol vs- 60 \pm 5 kcal/mol respectively). We compared these results with the interaction energies obtained for a model system where the ligand was located in the centre of a cubic box of water. In the latter case the interaction energies between the ligands and water were -88 ± 9 kcal/mol for AQ 17 and only -44 ± 5 kcal/mol for AQ 12. The large difference between these two values could be explained in terms of the relatively large difference of size between the two ligands. If we calculate the ratio between the interaction energies in LTopIB and water we obtain 1.18 for AQ 17 and 1.35 for AQ 12, showing that the equilibrium is more displaced towards the formation of the complex for AQ 12 than for AQ 17. This simple analysis does not consider the effect of the entropy. To take it into account we should carry out binding free energy simulations that are beyond the scope of the present work. In addition, we must consider that there could be another, yet undefined, alternative or complementary mechanism of action for those quinones experimentally active as LTopIB inhibitors, but with low calculated binding energy values, as NQs 1, 2 and 12; whereas for those inactive and less active quinones displaying high binding energy values, as AQ 17 and BAcQ 25, possible difficulties of accessing to the Top IB-DNA interaction site could be the reason. In all, further virtual and experimental confirming studies, including the consideration red-ox and Michael electron-acceptor properties of quinones, should be done in order to characterize completely the mechanism of action for this family of compounds.

3.4. In silico pharmacokinetic and toxicity evaluation

Compounds with best leishmanicidal activity and weak cytotoxic effect on HepG2 cells (SI > 10) were submitted to in silico pharmacokinetic properties and adverse effects prediction. To predict the druggability of the selected hits, we analysed the parameters related to Lipinski's rule of five, absorption, metabolism and toxicity, provided by preADMET SwissADME (http://www.swissadme.ch/index.php), (https://preadmet.bmdrc.kr/) and admetSAR (http://lmmd.ecust.edu. cn/admetsar1/predict/) servers, freely accessible web-based applications. The data obtained are collected in Table S2 (supplementary material). All the compounds showed good druggability since they fulfilled Lipinski's rule of five and showed acceptable non-rotatable bond (n-ROTB) values (≤ 10). Predicted human intestinal absorption (HIA) and Caco2 absorbability were positive for all compounds. In the case of metabolism, various isoforms of cytochrome P450 (CYP) were evaluated, showing different patterns for NQ 3, NHQ 10, AQs 14 and 17 than for AHQ 18 and pyrazole-fused NQ 37. In terms of toxicity, none of the compounds showed mutagenic toxicity in the AMES test nor rodent carcinogenic effects.

4. Conclusions

In summary, the antileishmanial properties of a family of



Fig. 4. Superimposed docking complexes of 1,4-anthraquinones 12 and 13–15 and CPT with DNA-LTopIB complex. The structures shown correspond to the most stable pose predicted by Autodock-VINA for AQs 12–15. Structures are shown and colored as in Fig. 3.



Fig. 5. Superimposed docking complexes of the 1,4-AQ 17, the 9,10-AQ 21 and CPT (left column) and BAcQ 25 and CPT (right column) with DNA-LTOpIB complex. Structures are colored according to Figs. 3–4. Images in the bottom row represent the CPT as a surface to better observe the arrangement of the three different quinones 17, 21 and 25 in the space delimited by the docked reference drug.

terpenylquinone/hydroquinone derivatives were examined both *in vivo* and *ex vivo* against the transgenic *iRFP-L. infantum* strain. As a general conclusion, the NQ/NHQ derivatives showed better antileishmanial activity than the larger AQ/AHQ derivatives, and among them, those compounds with an acetylated hydroquinone moiety provided better results than those corresponding unaltered quinones. Regarding the size of the terpenic precursor, those compounds obtained from the commercial monoterpenoid myrcene displayed good antiparasitic efficiency and low cytotoxicity for mammalian cells, whereas those derived from the isolated natural diterpenoid myrceocommunic acid showed better antileishmanial activity, but without selectivity.

Parallel studies were carried out to explore their mechanism of action. To this end, all the compounds were tested as potential inhibitors of LTopIB, but only several quinones were able to inhibit the recombinant enzyme in vitro. The compounds that inhibited LTopIB were those that displayed less safety in vitro, thus pointing to a common interaction target in both Leishmania and host cells. Attempts to correlate the antileishmanial properties of the compounds tested with the LTopIB inhibition were unsuccessful, and only NQ 4 resulted as good antileishmanial against both stage of L. infantum and weak LTopIB inhibitor simultaneously. Several docking studies performed on LTopIB concluded that those terpenylquinones with the best binding energies were the NQs 4 and 6, the AQs 13, 14, 15 and 17, and the BAcQ 25. Regrettably most of them showed low or null experimental inhibition of LTopIB despite having similar interactions at the active site compared with CPT used as model. These results clearly suggest that inhibition of LTopIB is just a complementary/additional target in killing Leishmania parasites and a major mechanism of action, probably affecting the parasite anti-oxidant toolbox, is involved (García-Barrantes et al., 2013; Bolton et al., 2000; Awasthi et al., 2016).

Moreover, the compounds of choice displayed good predictable druggability and low *in vivo* toxicity, as reflected by the absence of genotoxicity and carcinogenicity and the fulfilment of the ADMET properties. Finally, it should to be mentioned that further studies are necessary to determine their exact mechanisms of action and consider the possibility to improve efficiency using them in combined therapies.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article (Table S1, Table S2, Fig. S1 and Fig. S2) can be found online.

Note

Supplementary data associated with this article.

Acknowledgments

Financial support came from Spanish MINECO (CTQ2015-68175-R, AGL2016-79813-C2-1-R, AGL2016-79813-C2-2-R and SAF2017-83575-R), ISCIII-RICET Network (RD12/0018/0002) and Consejería de Educación de la Junta de Castilla y León (LE020P17) co-financed by the Fondo Social Europeo of the European Union (FEDER-EU). P. G. J. acknowledges funding by Fundación Salamanca Ciudad de Cultura y Saberes ("Programme for attracting scientific talent to Salamanca")

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpddr.2019.10.004.

References

- Aït-Oudhia, K., Gazanion, E., Vergnes, B., Oury, B., Sereno, D., 2011. Leishmania antimony resistance: what we know what we can learn from the field. Parasitol. Res. 109, 1225–1232.
- Ali, A., Assimopoulou, A.N., Papageorgiou, V.P., Kolodziej, H., 2011. Structure/antileishmanial activity relationship study of naphthoquinones and dependency of the mode of action on the substitution patterns. Planta Med. 77, 2003–2012.
- Araújo, I.A.C., de Paula, R.C., Alves, C.L., Faria, K.F., Oliveira, M.M., Mendes, G.G., Dias, E.M.F.A., Ribeiro, R.R., Oliveira, A.B., Silva, S.M.D., 2019. Efficacy of lapachol on treatment of cutaneous and visceral leishmaniasis. Exp. Parasitol. 199, 67–73.
- Araújo, M.V., David, C.C., Neto, J.C., de Oliveira, L.A., da Silva, K.C., dos Santos, J.M., da Silva, J.K., de A Brandão, V.B., Silva, T.M., Camara, C.A., Alexandre-Moreira, M.S., 2017. Evaluation on the leishmanicidal activity of 2-*N*,*N*'dialkylamino-1,4-naphthoquinone derivatives. Exp. Parasitol. 176, 46–51.
- Awasthi, B.P., Kathuria, M., Pant, G., Kumari, N., Mitra, K., 2016. Plumbagin, a plantderived naphthoquinone metabolite induces mitochondria mediated apoptosis-like cell death in *Leishmania donovani*: an ultrastructural and physiological study. Apoptosis 21, 941–953.
- Balaña-Fouce, R., Alvarez-Velilla, R., Fernández-Prada, C., García-Estrada, C., Reguera, R.M., 2014. Trypanosomatids topoisomerase re-visited. New structural findings and role in drug discovery. Int. J. Parasitol. Drugs Drug Resist. 4, 326–337.
- Balaña-Fouce, R., Pérez Pertejo, M.Y., Domínguez-Asenjo, B., Gutiérrez-Corbo, C., Reguera, R.M., 2019. Walking a tightrope: drug discovery in visceral leishmaniasis. Drug Discov. Today 24, 1209–1216.
- Barrett, M.P., Croft, S.L., 2012. Management of trypanosomiasis and leishmaniasis. Br. Med. Bull. 104, 175–196.
- Beretta, G.L., Ribaudo, G., Menegazzo, I., Supino, R., Capranico, G., Zunino, F., Zagotto,

G., 2017. Synthesis and evaluation of new naphthalene and naphthoquinone derivatives as anticancer agents. Arch. Pharm. (Weinheim) 350, e1600286.

- Best, R.B., Zhu, X., Shim, J., Lopes, P.E.M., Mittal, J., Feig, M., MacKerell Jr., A.D., 2012. Optimization of the additive CHARMM all-atom protein force field targeting improved sampling of the backbone ϕ , ψ and side-chain χ 1 and χ 2 dihedral angles. J. Chem. Theory Comput. 8, 3257–3273.
- Bienert, S., Waterhouse, A., de Beer, T.A.P., Tauriello, G., Studer, G., Bordoli, L., Schwede, T., 2017. The SWISS-MODEL Repository—new features and functionality. Nucleic Acids Res. 45, D313–D319.
- Bodley, A.L., Chakraborty, A.K., Xie, S., Burri, C., Shapiro, T.A., 2003. An unusual type IB topoisomerase from African trypanosomes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 100, 7539–7544.
- Bolton, J.L., Trush, M.A., Penning, T.M., Dryhurst, G., Monks, T.J., 2000. Role of quinones in toxicology. Chem. Res. Toxicol. 13, 135–160.
- Brooks, B.R., Brooks 3rd, C.L., Mackerell Jr., A.D., Nilsson, L., Petrella, R.J., Roux, B., Won, Y., Archontis, G., Bartels, C., Boresch, S., Caflisch, A., Caves, L., Cui, Q., Dinner, A.R., Feig, M., Fischer, S., Gao, J., Hodoscek, M., Im, W., Kuczera, K., Lazaridis, T., Ma, J., Ovchinnikov, V., Paci, E., Pastor, R.W., Post, C.B., Pu, J.Z., Schaefer, M., Tidor, B., Venable, R.M., Woodcock, H.L., Wu, X., Yang, W., York, D.M., Karplus, M., 2009. CHARMM: the biomolecular simulation program. J. Comput. Chem. 30, 1545–1614.
- Burza, S., Croft, S.L., Boelaert, M., 2018. Leishmaniasis. Lancet 392, 951-970.
- Calvo-Álvarez, E., Álvarez-Velilla, R., Fernández-Prada, C., Balaña-Fouce, R., Reguera, R.M., 2015a. Trypanosomatids see the light: recent advances in bioimaging research. Drug Discov. Today 20, 114–121.
- Calvo-Álvarez, E., Stamatakis, K., Punzón, C., Álvarez-Velilla, R., Tejería, A., Escudero-Martínez, J.M., Pérez-Pertejo, Y., Fresno, M., Balaña-Fouce, R., Reguera, R.M., 2015b. Infrared fluorescent imaging as a potent tool for *in vitro*, *ex vivo* and *in vivo* models of visceral leishmaniasis. PLoS Neglected Trop. Dis. 9, e0003666.
- Castro, M.A., Gamito, A.M., Tangarife-Castaño, V., Roa-Linares, V., Miguel del Corral, J.M., Mesa-Arango, A.C., Betancur-Galvis, L., Francesch, A.M., San Feliciano, A., 2015. New 1,4-anthracenedione derivatives with fused heterocyclic rings: synthesis and biological evaluation. RSC Adv. 5, 1244–1261.
- Castro, M.A., Gamito, A.M., Tangarife-Castaño, V., Zapata, B., Miguel del Corral, J.M., Mesa-Arango, A.C., Betancur-Galvis, L., San Feliciano, A., 2013a. Synthesis and antifungal activity of terpenyl-1,4-naphthoquinone and 1,4-anthracenedione derivatives. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 67, 19–27.
- Castro, S.L., Emery, F.S., da Silva Jr., E.N., 2013b. Synthesis of quinoidal molecules: strategies towards bioactive compounds with an emphasis on lapachones. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 69, 678–700.
- Chamorro, P., 2002. Síntesis, reordenamiento y evaluación citotóxica de nuevas diterpenyl-1,4-naftohidroquinonas. PhD Thesis. University of Salamanca, Salamanca, Spain.
- Chen, C., Shanmugasundaram, K., Rigby, A.C., Kung, A.L., 2013. Shikonin, a natural product from the root of *Lithospermum erythrorhizon*, is a cytotoxic DNA-binding agent. Eur. J. Pharm. Sci. 49, 18–26.
- Darden, T., York, D., Pedersen, L., 1993. Particle mesh Ewald: an N·log(N) method for Ewald sums in large systems. J. Chem. Phys. 98, 10089–10092.
- De Moura, K.C.G., Emery, F.S., Neves-Pinto, C., Pinto, M.C.F.R., Dantas, A.P., Salomão, K., De Castro, S.L., Pinto, A.V., 2001. Trypanocidal activity of isolated naphthoquinones from *Tabebuia* and some heterocyclic derivatives: a review from an interdisciplinary study. J. Braz. Chem. Soc. 12, 325–338.
- Dorlo, T.P.C., Kip, A.E., Younis, B.M., Ellis, S.J., Alves, F., Beijnen, J.H., Njenga, S., Kirigi, G., Hailu, A., Olobo, J., Musa, A.M., Balasegaram, M., Wasunna, M., Karlsson, M.O., Khalil, E.A.G., 2017. Visceral leishmaniasis relapse hazard is linked to reduced miltefosine exposure in patients from Eastern Africa: a population pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic study. J. Antimicrob. Chemother, 72, 3131–3140.
- Escudero-Martínez, J.M., Pérez-Pertejo, Y., Reguera, R.M., Castro, M.A., Rojo, M.V., Santiago, C., Abad, A., García, P.A., López-Pérez, J.L., San Feliciano, A., Balaña-Fouce, R., 2017. Antileishmanial activity and tubulin polymerization inhibition of podophyllotoxin derivatives on *Leishmania infantum*. Int. J. Parasit. Drugs Drug Resist. 7, 272–285.
- Ferreira, S.B., Salomão, K., de Carvalho da Silva, F., Pinto, A.V., Kaiser, C.R., Pinto, A.C., Ferreira, V.F., de Castro, S.L., 2011. Synthesis and anti-*Trypanosoma cruzi* activity of β-lapachone analogues. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 46, 3071–3077.
- García-Barrantes, P.M., Lamoureux, G.V., Pérez, A.L., García-Sánchez, R.N., Martínez, A.R., San Feliciano, A., 2013. Synthesis and biological evaluation of novel ferrocenenaphthoquinones as antiplasmodial agents. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 70, 548–557.
- Hart, K., Foloppe, N., Baker, C.M., Denning, E.J., Nilsson, L., MacKerell Jr., A.D., 2012. Optimization of the CHARMM additive force field for DNA: improved treatment of the BI/BII conformational equilibrium. J. Chem. Theory Comput. 8, 348–362.
- Ioanoviciu, A., Antony, S., Pommier, Y., Staker, B.L., Stewart, L., Cushman, M., 2005. Synthesis and mechanism of action studies of a series of norindenoisoquinoline topoisomerase I poisons reveal an inhibitor with a flipped orientation in the ternary DNA-enzyme-inhibitor complex as determined by X-ray crystallographic analysis. J. Med. Chem. 48, 4803–4814.
- Katsuno, K., Burrows, J.N., Duncan, K., van Huijsduijnen, R.H., Kaneko, T., Kita, K., Slingsby, B.T., 2015. Hit and lead criteria in drug discovery for infectious diseases of

the developing world. Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. 14, 751-758.

- Li, C.J., Averboukh, L., Pardee, A.B., 1993. Beta-lapachone, a novel DNA topoisomerase I inhibitor with a mode of action different from camptothecin. J. Biol. Chem. 268, 22463–22468.
- Miguel del Corral, J.M., Castro, M.A., Rodríguez, M.L., Chamorro, C., Cuevas, C., San Feliciano, A., 2007. New cytotoxic diterpenylnaphthohydroquinone derivatives obtained from a natural diterpenoid. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 15, 5760–5774.
- Miguel del Corral, J.M., Gordaliza, M., Castro, M.A., Mahiques, M.M., San Feliciano, A., García-Grávalos, M.D., 1998. Further antineoplastic terpenylquinones and terpenylhydroquinones. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 6, 31–41.
- Miguel del Corral, J.M., Gordaliza, M., Castro, M.A., Mahiques, M.M., Chamorro, P., Molinari, A., García-Grávalos, M.D., Broughton, H.B., San Feliciano, A., 2001. New selective cytotoxic diterpenylquinones and diterpenylhydroquinones. J. Med. Chem. 44, 1257–1267.
- Monge-Maillo, B., López-Vélez, R., 2013. Therapeutic options for visceral leishmaniasis. Drugs 73, 1863–1888.
- Phillips, J.C., Braun, R., Wang, W., Gumbart, J., Tajkhorshid, E., Villa, E., Chipot, C., Skeel, R.D., Kalé, L., Schulten, K., 2005. Scalable molecular dynamics with NAMD. J. Comput. Chem. 26, 1781–1802.
- Pinto, A.V., de Castro, S.L., 2009. The trypanocidal activity of naphthoquinones: a review. Molecules 14, 4570–4590.
- Pommier, Y., Leo, E., Zhang, H., Marchand, C., 2010. DNA topoisomerases and their poisoning by anticancer and antibacterial drugs. Chem. Biol. 17, 421–433.
- Reguera, R.M., Calvo-Álvarez, E., Alvarez-Velilla, R., Balaña-Fouce, R., 2014. Targetbased vs. phenotypic screenings in *Leishmania* drug discovery: a marriage of convenience or a dialogue of the deaf? Int. J. Parasitol. Drugs Drug Resist. 4, 355–357.
- Reguera, R.M., Redondo, C.M., Gutierrez de Prado, R., Pérez-Pertejo, Y., Balaña-Fouce, R., 2006. DNA topoisomerase I from parasitic protozoa: a potential target for chemotherapy. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1759, 117–131.
- Rodríguez, M.L., 2006. Síntesis de nuevas naftoquinonas citotóxicas a partir de diterpenoides naturales. PhD Thesis. University of Salamanca, Salamanca, Spain.
- Roy, A., Das, B.B., Ganguly, A., Bose-Dasgupta, S., Khalkho, N.V.M., Pal, C., Dey, S., Giri, V.S., Jaisankar, P., Dey, S., Majumder, H.K., 2008. An insight into the mechanism of inhibition of unusual bi-subunit topoisomerase I from Leishmania donovani by 3,3'di-indolylmethane, a novel DNA topoisomerase I poison with a strong binding affinity to the enzyme. Biochem. J. 409, 611–622.
- Ryckaert, J.P., Ciccotti, G., Berendsen, H.J.C., 1977. Numerical integration of the cartesian equations of motion of a system with constraints: molecular dynamics of n-alkanes. J. Comput. Phys. 23, 327–341.
- Shakya, N., Bajpai, P., Gupta, S., 2011. Therapeutic switching in leishmania chemotherapy: a distinct approach towards unsatisfied treatment needs. J. Parasit. Dis. 35, 104–112.
- Staker, B.L., Feese, M.D., Cushman, M., Pommier, Y., Zembower, D., Stewart, L., Burgin, A.B., 2005. Structures of three classes of anticancer agents bound to the human topoisomerase I – DNA covalent complex. J. Med. Chem. 48, 2336–2345.
- Sundar, S., Chakravarty, J., Agarwal, D., Rai, M., Murray, H.W., 2010. Single-dose liposomal amphotericin B for visceral leishmaniasis in India. N. Engl. J. Med. 362, 504–512.
- Teixeira, M.J., de Almeida, Y.M., Viana, J.R., Holanda Filha, J.G., Rodrigues, T.P., Prata Jr., J.R., Coêlho, I.C., Rao, V.S., Pompeu, M.M., 2001. *In vitro* and *in vivo* leishmanicidal activity of 2-hydroxy-3-(3-methyl-2-butenyl)-1,4-naphthoquinone (lapachol). Phytother Res. 15, 44–48.
- Trott, O., Olson, A.J., 2010. AutoDock Vina: improving the speed and accuracy of docking with a new scoring function, efficient optimization, and multithreading. J. Comput. Chem. 31, 455–461.
- Vanommeslaeghe, K., Hatcher, E., Acharya, C., Kundu, S., Zhong, S., Shim, J., Darian, E., Guvench, O., Lopes, P., Vorobyov, I., MacKerell Jr., A.D., 2010. CHARMM general force field: a force field for drug-like molecules compatible with the CHARMM allatom additive biological force fields. J. Comput. Chem. 31, 671–690. CGenFF interface is accessible at paramchem.org: https://cgenff.umaryland.edu.
- Villa, H., Otero-Marcos, A.R., Reguera, R.M., Balaña-Fouce, R., García-Estrada, C., Pérez-Pertejo, Y., Tekwani, B.L., Myler, P.J., Stuart, K.D., Bjornsti, M.A., Ordóñez, D., 2003. A novel active DNA topoisomerase I in *Leishmania donovani*. J. Biol. Chem. 278, 3521–3526.
- Waterhouse, A., Bertoni, M., Bienert, S., Studer, G., Tauriello, G., Gumienny, R., Heer, F.T., de Beer, T.A.P., Rempfer, C., Bordoli, L., Lepore, R., Schwede, T., 2018. SWISS-MODEL: homology modelling of protein structures and complexes. Nucleic Acids Res. 46, W296–W303.
- Xu, H., Chen, Q., Wang, H., Xu, P., Yuan, R., Li, X., Bai, L., Xue, M., 2016. Inhibitory effects of lapachol on rat C6 glioma *in vitro* and *in vivo* by targeting DNA topoisomerase I and topoisomerase II. J. Exp. Clin. Cancer Res. 35, 178.
- Zhang, C., Qu, Y., Niu, B., 2016. Design, synthesis and biological evaluation of lapachol derivatives possessing indole scaffolds as topoisomerase I inhibitors. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 24, 5781–5786.
- Zhang, F.L., Wang, P., Liu, Y.H., Liu, L.B., Liu, X.B., Li, Z., Xue, Y.X., 2013. Topoisomerase I inhibitors, shikonin and topotecan, inhibit growth and induce apoptosis of glioma cells and glioma stem cells. PLoS One 8, e81815.