AGRICULTURAL AND FOOD CHEMISTRY

Article pubs.acs.org/JAFC

Bioactive Components and Antioxidant and Antibacterial Activities of Different Varieties of Honey: A Screening Prior to Clinical Application

⁴ Patricia Combarros-Fuertes,[†] Leticia M. Estevinho,[‡] Luis G. Dias,[‡] José M. CASTRO,[§] ⁵ Francisco A. Tomás-Barberán,¹¹⁰ M. Eugenia Tornadijo,[†] and José M. Fresno-Baro^{*,†0}

⁶ [†]Department of Food Hygiene and Technology, Faculty of Veterinary Science, University of León, C/Profesor Pedro Cármenes s/n,
 ⁷ Campus de Vegazana, 24007 León, Spain

8 [‡]CIMO, Mountain Research Center, Polytechnic Institute of Bragança, Campus Santa Apolónia, 5301-855 Bragança, Portugal

⁹ Departmentof Molecular Biology, Faculty of Biological and Environmental Sciences, University of León, Campus de Vegazana, s/n,
 24007 León, Spain

11 Research Group on Quality, Safety and Bioactivity of Plant Foods, CEBAS-CSIC. P.O. Box 164, 30100 Espinardo, Murcia, Spain

12 Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: This study assessed 16 different honey samples in order to select the best one for therapeutic purposes. First, a 13 study of honey's main bioactive compounds was carried out. Then phenolic profiles were determined and specific compounds 14 quantified using a HPLC system coupled to a mass spectrometer. Then, antioxidant activity, by three in vitro methods, and 15 antibacterial activity against reference strains and clinical isolates were evaluated. Great variability among samples was observed 16 regarding ascorbic acid (between 0.34 \pm 0.00 and 75.8 \pm 0.41 mg/100 g honey; p < 0.001), total phenolic compounds 17 (between 23.1 \pm 0.83 and 158 \pm 5.37 mg/100 g honey; p < 0.001), and total flavonoid contents (between 1.65 \pm 0.11 and 5.93 18 \pm 0.21 mg/100 g honey; p < 0.001). Forty-nine different phenolic compounds were detected, but only 46 of them were 19 quantified by HPLC. The concentration of phenolic compounds and the phenolic profiles varied widely among samples 20 (between 1.06 \pm 0.04 and 18.6 \pm 0.73 mg/100 g honey; p < 0.001). Antioxidant activity also varied significantly among the 21 samples. All honey varieties exhibited antibacterial activity against both reference and clinical strains (effective concentrations 22 ranged between 0.05 and 0.40 g/mL depending on the honey sample and bacteria tested). Overall, samples with better 23 combinations of bioactive properties were avocado and chestnut honeys. 24 KEYWORDS: honey, bioactive compounds, antioxidant activity, antibacterial activity, phenolic profiles 25

26 INTRODUCTION

²⁷ Honey has been used as a medicinal remedy throughout the ²⁸ history of the human race: from ancient Egypt and the Classic ²⁹ civilizations (Greeks and Romans), who used honey in ³⁰ medicinal formulas, cosmetics, and perfumery or as embalming ³¹ substance, to the Arab people of the Middle Ages, for whom ³² honey was the basis of their pharmacy, as reflected in the ³³ Quran.¹ In modern medicine, with the advent of antibiotics ³⁴ and other drugs, the use of honey was abandoned, mainly due ³⁵ to the absence of scientific studies. However, in recent decades, ³⁶ several investigations have demonstrated the bioactive proper-³⁷ ties by which honey was empirically used.²

The miscellaneous composition of honey is responsible for 39 the attributable numerous bioactive properties. Certain 40 enzymes such as glucose oxidase and catalase, ascorbic acid, 41 carotenoids, and melanoidins (Maillard reaction products) as 42 well as phenolic acids and flavonoids are related to its 43 antioxidant activity.³ Antibacterial properties are associated 44 with intrinsic characteristics such as high osmolarity and 45 acidity and compounds such as hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), 46 methyl syringate and methylglyoxal, defensin-1, nitric oxide 47 metabolites, and phenolic acids and flavonoids.⁴⁻⁷ In addition, honey may increase lymphocytic and phagocytic activity and 48 likewise antibody production.⁵ 49

The majority of recent studies investigating the bioactivity 50 and the action mechanisms of honey have focused on well-51 characterized, standardized active manuka honey (MkH). 52 MkH is produced from the nectar of different *Leptospermum* 53 species, native to New Zealand and Australia. Its greater 54 activity is related to non-peroxide activity, due to the presence 55 of an abundant suite of phenolic compounds, such as methyl 56 glyoxal, methyl syringate, and leptosin, that distinguish them 57 from other types of honey.^{2,8,9} However, in recent years, more 58 and more studies are demonstrating the bioactive properties of 59 other varieties of honey different from MkH. 60

Unfortunately, honey composition is rather variable, 61 depending primarily on botanical origin,¹⁰ conditioning its 62 bioactive potential and hampering its further application for 63 clinical purposes.^{3,4,11} This fact highlights the importance of 64 selecting an adequate variety of honey to carry out clinical 65

Received:October 11, 2018Revised:December 20, 2018Accepted:December 21, 2018Published:December 21, 2018

66 assays,⁷ which means a previous screening is necessary in order 67 not only to quantify but also to determine profiles of bioactive 68 substances, especially phenolic compounds; thus, the variation 69 in these profiles might be responsible for the widely varying 70 medical abilities of honey.

The working hypothesis of this study is whether distinct varieties of Spanish honey exhibit rather variable composition, sepecially regarding bioactive compounds, and in consequence significantly different therapeutic potential. In order to validate to quantify bioactive compounds in different honey samples ro (including a Manuka honey (MkH) sample as control), (ii) to identify and quantify individual phenolic compounds as major bioactive components present in honey, (iii) to determine the antioxidant activity of honey samples, and (iv) to determine their antibacterial activity against reference strains and clinical sisolates. The overall goal was to compare different types of Spanish honey to select one that shows the best properties for potential therapeutic applications.

85 MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals. Acetonitrile, acetic acid, formic acid, methanol, sodium carbonate, hydrochloric acid, and metaphosphoric acid were supplied by VWR Chemicals-Prolabo (VWR International). 2,2piphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH[•]), 2,6-dichloroindophenol, caffeic on and gallic acids, flavonoid standards (rutin, quercetin, chrysin, and catechin), aluminum chloride, ferric chloride, and potassium ferricyanide were supplied by Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA). All other chemicals were obtained from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). All solvents were of analytical grade purity except for methanol, formic acid, and acetonitrile used in the identification and quantification of individual polyphenols, which were HPLC grade. Water was treated in a Milli-Q water purification system (Millipore, Molsheim, France).

Honey Samples. Fifteen samples of Spanish honey under quality to brands (protected designation of origin *Miel de Granada* and *Miel de* 101 *La Alcarria*, protected geographical indication *Miel de Galicia* and 102 organic honey) with different botanical and geographical origins, as 103 well as an MkH sample (MGO 550+; Manuka Health, Auckland, New 104 Zealand), as a control sample, were used. Spanish honey samples, 105 collected in two consecutive harvests, were previously characterized.¹² 106 Table 1 summarizes the information related to botanical and 107 geographical origin of honey samples, as well as the harvest year.

The samples were stored under dark conditions and refrigeration 109 until analysis (few months after harvesting). They were homogenized 110 by agitation before each determination.

Bioactive Compound Quantification. Vitamin C Content. 112 Ascorbic acid (AA) content was determined following the 113 recommended AOAC Official Titrimetric Method 967.21 for ascorbic 114 acid in vitamin preparations and juices.¹³ A 5 g portion of each sample 115 was diluted in 5 mL of metaphosphoric acid acetic acid solution. The 116 mixture was titrated with 2,6- dichloroindophenol dye solution. 117 Vitamin C content was expressed in milligrams of ascorbic acid 118 equivalents (AAE) per 100 g of honey.

119 **Total Phenolic Content.** Total phenolic content (TPC) was 120 quantified by the Folin–Ciocalteu method according to Silici, Sagdic, 121 and Ekici.¹⁴ Absorbance was measured at 765 nm after 90 min of 122 incubation at room temperature (UV–vis spectrophotometer; VWR 123 UV-3100 PC). TPC was determined using a standard curve (y =124 32.08x + 0.012; $R^2 = 0.9996$) of gallic acid (0–0.03 mg/mL). The 125 results were expressed as milligrams of gallic acid equivalents per 100 126 g of honey.

¹²⁷ Total Flavonoid Content. Total flavonoid content (TFC) was ¹²⁸ determined using the protocol described by Alvarez-Suarez et al.³ A ¹²⁹ cadmium chloride solution was replaced by an aluminum chloride ¹³⁰ (AlCl₃) solution (10% w/v). Absorbance was measured immediately ¹³¹ against the blank at 510 nm (UV–vis spectrophotometer; VWR UV-

Table 1. Confirmed Botanical Origin, Year of Harvest, Quality Brand, and Geographical Origin of Spanish Honey Samples

_				
sample identification	botanical origin	harvest year	quality brand	geographical origin
H1	multifloral	2010	PDO ^a Miel de Granada	Province of Granada
Hla	avocado (Persea americana)	2011	PDO Miel de Granada	Province of Granada
H2	chestnut (Castanea sativa)	2010	PDO Miel de Granada	Province of Granada
H2a	chestnut (Castanea sativa)	2011	PDO Miel de Granada	Province of Granada
H3	multifloral	2010	PDO Miel de La Alcarria	Province of Cuenca
H4	rosemary (Rosmari- nus officinalis)	2010	PDO Miel de La Alcarria	Province of Cuenca
H4a	multifloral	2011	PDO Miel de La Alcarria	Province of Cuenca
H5	multifloral	2010	PGI ^a Miel de Galicia	Province of Pontevedra
H5a	multifloral	2011	PGI Miel de Galicia	Province of Pontevedra
H6	eucalyptus (Euca- lyptus sp.)	2010	PGI Miel de Galicia	Province of Pontevedra
H6a	eucalyptus (Euca- lyptus sp.)	2011	PGI Miel de Galicia	Province of Pontevedra
H7	multifloral	2010	certified organ- ic honey	Province of León
H7a	thyme (Thymus sp.)	2011	certified organ- ic honey	Province of León
H8	chestnut (Castanea sativa)	2010	certified organ- ic honey	Province of León
H8a	multifloral	2011	certified organ- ic honey	Province of León
appo		c	DOI 1	

^{*a*}PDO, protected designation of origin; PGI, protected geographical indication.

3100 PC). TFC was determined using a standard curve (y = 10.99x + 132 0.0052; $R^2 = 0.9997$) of (+)-catechin (0–0.03 mg/mL). The results 133 were expressed as milligrams of catechin equivalents per 100 g of 134 honev.

Identification and Quantification of Individual Polyphe- 136 **nols.** The identification and quantification of phenolic compounds 137 were carried out following the protocol described by Truchado, 138 Ferreres, and Tomás-Barberán¹⁵ with slight modifications. 139

Polyphenolic Extract Preparation. Honey samples (20 g) were 140 fully dissolved in 8 parts of acidified deionized water (adjusted to pH 141 2 with HCl). The solutions were centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 10 min 142 (Eppendorf 5804R), and the supernatant was applied to a Sep-Pak 143 Classic C₁₈ cartridge (Waters, Medford, MA, USA) with a dropwise 144 flow rate to ensure an efficient adsorption of the phenolic compounds. 145 Phenolic content was eluted with HPLC grade methanol (2 mL). 146 This methanolic extract was filtered through a 0.45 μ m filter (Waters) 147 and stored at -20 °C until subsequent analysis by HPLC. 148

Identification and Quantification of Polyphenolic Compounds. 149 HPLC analyses were performed using an Agilent 1100 HPLC system 150 equipped with a photodiode-array UV-vis detector and an ion-trap 151 mass spectrometer detector in series (Agilent Technologies, 152 Waldbronn, Germany). Chromatographic separation was carried out 153 on a reverse phase Poroshell120 C₁₈ column (250 × 3.0 mm and 5 154 μ m particle size) (Agilent Technologies) using water with 1% of 155 formic acid (A) and acetonitrile (B) as mobile phases. The gradient 156 profile was as follows: 0–20 min, 5–30% B; 20–40 min, 30–70% B; 157 40–45 min, 70–95% B; 46–48 min, 95–5% B; maintained at 5% for 158 55 min. All analyses were carried out at room temperature, with an 159 injected volume of 20 μ L and a flow rate of 1 mL/min. UV spectra 160 were recorded from 210 to 600 nm, whereas chromatograms were 161 monitored at 280, 320, 340, and 360 nm wavelengths.

Table 2. Calibration Parameters for Phenolic Acids and Flavonoids Used As Standards (mg/mL) and Compound Class To Be	
Quantified by Each Standard	

compound	linearity range	equation	R^2	LOD ^a	LOQ ^a	hroup to be quantified
gallic acid	0.01-0.30	y = 49.39x	0.999	0.02	0.05	hydroxybenzoic acids
caffeic acid	0.002-0.20	y = 146.82x	0.999	0.01	0.03	hydroxycinammic acids
quercetin	0.002-0.20	y = 62.66x	0.999	0.01	0.04	flavonols
naringenin	0.01-0.20	y = 50.84x	0.999	0.01	0.03	flavanonols and flavanones
chrysin	0.01-0.30	y = 43.01x	0.999	0.01	0.03	flavones
rutin	0.01-0.30	y = 69.31x	0.999	0.01	0.03	flavonol glycosides
^{<i>a</i>} LOD, limit of dete	ction in mg/mL; LOQ	, limit of quantification	on in mg/mL.			

Table 3. Ascorbic Acid, Total Phenolic Compounds, Total Flavonoids and EC_{50} Values Obtained for the Antioxidant Activity of Honey Samples (Mean SD; n = 3)^{*a*}

	l	pioactive compound	ls		antioxidant activit	у
honey sample	AAE ^b	TPC ^c	TFC ^d	radical scavenging $\operatorname{activity}^{e}$	reducing potential ^f	β -carotene bleaching inhibition ^g
H1	9.11 ± 0.61^{b}	158 ± 5.37^{a}	5.93 ± 0.21^{a}	9.25 ± 0.32^{mn}	26.3 ± 1.29^{m}	32.9 ± 1.47^{ij}
H1a	5.95 ± 0.32^{cd}	117 ± 2.74^{d}	3.30 ± 0.08^{bc}	13.8 ± 0.07^{kl}	30.3 ± 0.04^{lm}	56.9 ± 0.99^{g}
H2	$3.64 \pm 0.30^{\rm f}$	$102 \pm 1.53^{\text{ef}}$	2.29 ± 0.14^{cde}	23.0 ± 0.38^{h}	55.3 ± 1.49^{i}	$66.8 \pm 1.76^{\rm ef}$
H2a	6.62 ± 0.05^{bc}	118 ± 3.50^{cd}	5.85 ± 0.21^{a}	9.83 ± 0.07^{lm}	43.0 ± 1.30^{j}	92.6 ± 0.58^{ab}
H3	$2.41 \pm 0.18^{\text{fg}}$	$67.9 \pm 1.48^{\text{gh}}$	4.06 ± 0.04^{ab}	$38.0 \pm 0.45^{\text{ef}}$	34.7 ± 0.70^{jk}	$58.4 \pm 1.40^{\text{fg}}$
H4	4.51 ± 0.00^{d}	23.1 ± 0.83^{1}	2.17 ± 0.11^{defg}	202 ± 5.53^{a}	215 ± 1.81^{a}	28.3 ± 1.09^{ik}
H4a	0.34 ± 0.00^{k}	27.7 ± 1.45^{kl}	2.02 ± 0.19^{efgh}	119 ± 0.02^{ab}	157 ± 1.47^{ab}	$38.0 \pm 0.44^{\rm hi}$
H5	1.35 ± 0.11^{hi}	67.5 ± 2.65^{gh}	$1.95 \pm 0.15^{\text{fgh}}$	$28.9 \pm 0.43^{\text{fg}}$	93.5 ± 0.35^{ef}	92.9 ± 0.52^{a}
H5a	0.88 ± 0.00^{ij}	56.6 ± 0.29^{hi}	1.89 ± 0.14^{gh}	28.2 ± 1.24^{g}	82.4 ± 0.95^{fg}	92.4 ± 0.28^{ab}
H6	0.34 ± 0.00^{k}	50.6 ± 1.64^{j}	1.65 ± 0.11^{h}	55.9 ± 0.35^{cd}	111 ± 1.02^{de}	71.8 ± 1.13^{de}
H6a	0.35 ± 0.00^{k}	50.5 ± 1.69^{j}	1.83 ± 0.22^{efgh}	74.1 ± 0.84^{bc}	118 ± 0.16^{cd}	82.1 ± 0.17^{bc}
H7	0.34 ± 0.00^{k}	51.3 ± 2.80^{ij}	2.25 ± 0.11^{cdef}	54.0 ± 0.81^{de}	147 ± 4.51^{bc}	$68.1 \pm 0.86^{\rm ef}$
H7a	75.9 ± 0.41^{a}	136 ± 2.50^{bc}	2.06 ± 0.22^{abc}	5.46 ± 0.05^{n}	54.1 ± 0.64^{i}	$-1.34 \pm 0.10^{\rm k}$
H8	$3.61 \pm 0.18^{\text{ef}}$	142 ± 4.70^{ab}	2.97 ± 0.19^{bcd}	21.6 ± 0.33^{ij}	$72.0 \pm 0.78^{\text{gh}}$	31.9 ± 1.51^{ij}
H8a	4.22 ± 0.32^{de}	114 ± 4.23^{de}	3.87 ± 0.04^{efgh}	15.1 ± 0.25^{jk}	63.7 ± 0.16^{h}	78.4 ± 0.84^{cd}
MkH	2.19 ± 0.13^{gh}	101 ± 1.92^{fg}	4.76 ± 0.26^{ab}	$22.6 \pm 0.50^{\text{hi}}$	32.8 ± 0.33^{kl}	$43.9 \pm 0.91^{\text{gh}}$

^{*a*}In each column different letters mean significant differences (p < 0.05). ^{*b*}AAE: ascorbic acid equivalents (mg per 100 g of honey). ^{*c*}TPC: total phenolic content equivalents of gallic acid (mg per 100 g of honey). ^{*d*}TFC: total flavonoids content equivalents of catechin (mg per 100 g of honey). ^{*e*}EC₅₀: extract concentration (mg/mL) providing 50% of radical scavenging activity. ^{*f*}EC₅₀: extract concentration (mg/mL) providing 0.5 of absorbance. ^{*g*}Antioxidant activity: percentage of inhibition of β -carotene oxidation.

The HPLC system was coupled in series to an Esquire 1100 ion-163 164 trap mass spectrometer (IT) equipped with an electrospray ionization 165 interface (ESI) (Bruker, Bremen, Germany) in negative mode. 166 Nitrogen was used as a drying gas with a flow of 9 L/min and 167 temperature of 350 °C and nebulizing gas at a pressure of 40 psi. The capillary voltage was set at 3500 V. Mass scan (MS) and daughter 168 169 (MS–MS) spectra were recorded in the range of m/z 100–1500 with control mass of m/z 700. The analyses were performed in duplicate. 170 а Honey phenolic acids and flavonoids were identified according to 171 172 their molecular weight (mass spectra), characteristic UV spectra, MS/ 173 MS fragmentations, and the wide information previously reported in 174 the literature. Hydroxybenzoic acids were quantified using UV 175 detection at 280 nm with the calibration curve obtained for gallic 176 acid, hydroxycinnamic acids at 320 nm with the calibration curve obtained for caffeic acid, flavonols at 360 nm with the calibration 177 curve of quercetin, flavanones at 280 nm with the calibration curve of 178 179 naringenin, and flavones and flavonol glycosides at 340 nm with the 180 calibration curves of chrysin and rutin, respectively. Calibration 181 parameters are shown in Table 2.

Antioxidant Activity. Radical Scavenging Activity Assay. The radical scavenging activity (RSA) of honey samples was evaluated the using the DPPH radical scavenging assay following the protocol described by Ferreira, Aires, Barreira, and Estevinho.¹⁶ The concentration of water honey solutions tested ranged between 0 rad 0.67 g/mL. Radical scavenging activity was calculated as a percentage of DPPH discoloration using the equation % RSA = $[(A_{DPPH} - A_S)/A_{DPH}] \times 100$. The extract concentration providing solutions calculated by

t2

interpolation from the graph of RSA percentage against extract 191 concentration. 192

Reducing Potential Assay. The ferric reduction power (RP) was 193 evaluated using the protocol described by Ferreira, Aires, Barreira, and 194 Estevinho.¹⁶ The concentration of water honey solutions tested 195 ranged between 0 and 0.11 g/mL. The extract concentration 196 providing 0.5 of absorbance (EC₅₀) was calculated by interpolation 197 from the graph of absorbance at 700 nm against extract concentration. 198

Inhibition of β -Carotene Bleaching Assay. The inhibition of β - 199 carotene bleaching by honey samples was evaluated following the $_{200}$ protocol described by Guerrini et al.¹⁷ with slight modifications. A 4 $_{201}$ mL portion of β -carotene in chloroform solution (0.2 mg/mL) was 202 pipetted into a round-bottom flask containing 80 µL of linoleic acid 203 and 800 μ L of Tween 40 as emulsifier. The mixture was shaken, and 204 chloroform was removed at 40 °C under vacuum. A 200 mL portion 205 of distilled water, previously swamped in O2, was added to the flask, 206 which was vigorously shaken. Aliquots of 4.8 mL of this emulsion 207 were transferred into different test tubes containing 0.2 mL of 300 208 mg/mL water-honey solutions. The tubes were shaken and 209 incubated in darkness at 55 °C. The absorbance was measured at 210 470 nm (VWR UV-3100 PC) at the moment of emulsion addition 211 and after 120 min. An emulsion without β -carotene was used as a 212 control. The antioxidant activity (AA) expressed as a percentage of 213 inhibition of β -carotene oxidation was calculated using the equation 214 AA = $[100(DR_{C} - DR_{S})]/DR_{C_{i}}$ where $DR_{C} = \ln(a/b)/120$ is the 215 percentage of degradation of β -carotene in the control and DR_S = 216 $\ln(a/b)/120$ is the percentage degradation of β -carotene in honey 217

Table 4. Peak Numbers, Target Compounds, Average Expected Retention Times (R_i) , and UV and MS Spectra of the Different Phenolic Compounds Identified in Honey Samples

peak no.	compound name	$R_{\rm t} \ ({\rm min})$	UV _{max} (nm)	$[M - H]^{-}(m/z)$	$-\mathrm{MS}^{\mathrm{n}}\left(m/z\right)$
1	UI 1	8.45	306 sh, 316, 328 sh	188	144
2	UI 2	10.29	318 sh, 330	188	144
3	kynurenic acid	10.77	308, 332, 335 sh, 340 sh	188	144
4	caffeic acid	11.59	238, 296 sh, 322	179	161, 135
5	leptosperin	11.84	266, 296 sh	581	323, 211
6	quercetin-3-O-hex $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ hex ^a	13.92	259, 265 sh, 299 sh, 355	625	445, 301
7	8-methoxykaempferol-3- <i>O</i> - hex $(1\rightarrow 2)$ hex ^{<i>a</i>}	14.87		639	624, 459, 315
8	kaempferol-3-O-hex $(1\rightarrow 2)$ hex ^a	15.39	265, 296 sh, 349	609	447, 429, 285
9	trans-cinnamic acid	15.75	276	147	119, 103
10	8-O-methoxykaempferol-3-O-neoh ^a	15.97	310 sh, 324, 362 sh	623	608, 459, 315
11	quercertin-3-O-rutinoside	16.25	258, 260 sh, 291 sh, 349	609	301
12	ellagic acid	16.50	253, 367	301	301, 257, 229
13	kaempferol-3- <i>O</i> -neoh ^a	16.62	248, 262 sh, 298 sh, 326	593	429, 285
13	4-methoxyphenyllactic acid	16.70	274	195	177, 149
15	UI 3	16.73	298 sh, 309, 319 sh	193	133
15	isorhamnetin-3- <i>O</i> -neoh ^a	16.83	298 81, 309, 319 81	623	459, 315
10	Chlorogenic acid		200 220	353	
		18.40	298, 328		191, 179
18	isorhamnetin-O-pentoside	18.97	253, 346	447	315, 300
19	rosmarinic acid	20.23	294, 329	359	329, 286, 234
20	myricetin	20.30	255, 267 sh, 301 sh, 375	317	179, 151
21	tricetin	21.07	248, 267 sh, 302 sh, 351	301	151
22	methyl syringate	21.30	274	211	181
23	quercetin-3-O-rham ^a	21.63		447	301
24	trans,trans-abscisic acid	21.87	266	263	219, 201
25	<i>cis,trans</i> -abscisic acid	23.52	266	263	219, 201
26	quercetin	24.46	255, 370	301	179, 151, 121
27	naringenin 7-methyl ether	25.25	288, 320 sh	285	267, 252, 239
28	pinobanksin-5-methyl ether	25.31	286	285	267, 252, 239
29	quercetin 3-methyl ether	25.70	256, 355	315	300, 271, 255
30	p-coumaric acid isoprenil ester	26.42	294, 310	231	163, 119
31	pinobanksin	27.42	292	271	253, 225, 151
32	kaempferol	27.72	266, 370	285	161, 151, 135
33	isorhamnetin	28.37	253, 370	315	300, 151, 107
34	kaempferol methyl ether	28.79	265, 352	299	284
35	kaempferide	28.80	265, 364	299	284, 228, 212, 151, 132
36	quercetin 3,3-dimethyl ether	29.43	253, 355	329	314, 299, 285, 271
37	rhamnetin	30.94	256, 367	315	300, 165, 121
38	quercetin 3,7-dimethyl ether	32.00	256, 355	329	314, 299, 285
39	caffeic acid isoprenyl ester	32.83	298, 325	247	179, 135
40	caffeic acid benzyl ester	33.17	298, 325	269	178, 161, 134
41	chrysin	33.31	268, 314 sh	253	181, 151, 101
42	pinocembrin	33.57	289	255	213, 211, 151
43	galangin	34.03	265, 360	269	269, 241, 151
44	caffeic acid phenylethyl ester	34.24	295, 325	283	179, 135
45	6-methoxychrysin	35.08	265, 300 sh, 346 sh	283	268, 239, 211
46	galangin 5-methyl ether isomer	35.11	266, 302 sh, 360	283	268, 239
40	caffeic acid cinnamyl ester	36.05	295, 324	295	178, 134
47	pinobanksin-3- <i>O</i> -butyrate or isomer	39.28	293, 324		271, 253
48 49	pinobanksin-3-O-pentenoate or isomer		292	341	
	philodaliksiii-3-O-pentelloate or isollier	41.43	17L	353	271, 253

 $_{218}$ samples: a = absorbance at time 0; b = absorbance after 120 min of 219 incubation.

Antibacterial Activity. Bacterial Strains, Drug Susceptibility, 220 221 and Growth Conditions. Staphylococcus aureus, Streptococcus pyogenes, 222 Escherichia coli, and Pseudomonas aeruginosa as the main bacteria 223 isolated from the oropharynx of patients suffering from oral mucositis 224 (University Assistance Complex of León, Spain), as well as strains of 225 these species from the Spanish Type Culture Collection (S. aureus 226 CECT 86, S. pyogenes CECT 985, E. coli CECT 515 and P. aeruginosa

CECT 110) were used. Clinical bacteria were identified using a 227 MicroScan panel by Siemens (Camberley, U.K.). 228

The susceptibility of bacteria to different antibiotics was assessed 229 by a plate microdilution method or a disk-plate diffusion method. 230 Breakpoints were determined according to values defined by the 231 Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute.¹⁸ Clinical strains, 232 excluding S. pyogenes, exhibited resistance to several antibiotics tested. 233 S. aureus was a methicillin-resistant strain (MRSA), E. coli was a 234

					- u		a						mi													د ،									-				Art	TCI
	MkH						0.07							<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>7.22</th><th></th><th>1.95 7 98</th><th></th><th>17.2^{a}</th><th></th><th><loq< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>0.14</th><th></th><th><loq< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>7.22</th><th></th><th>1.95 7 98</th><th></th><th>17.2^{a}</th><th></th><th><loq< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>0.14</th><th></th><th><loq< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>					7.22		1.95 7 98		17.2^{a}		<loq< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>0.14</th><th></th><th><loq< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></loq<></th></loq<>							0.14		<loq< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></loq<>					
	H8a		0.23	0.09	0.15								<loq< td=""><td>0.03</td><td><loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>5.12</td><td></td><td>010</td><td>01.0</td><td></td><td></td><td>5.80^{ab}</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.35</td><td></td><td></td><td>0.10</td><td></td><td>0.08</td><td>0.17</td><td></td><td></td><td>0.08</td><td><1.00</td></loq<></td></loq<>	0.03	<loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>5.12</td><td></td><td>010</td><td>01.0</td><td></td><td></td><td>5.80^{ab}</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.35</td><td></td><td></td><td>0.10</td><td></td><td>0.08</td><td>0.17</td><td></td><td></td><td>0.08</td><td><1.00</td></loq<>			5.12		010	01.0			5.80^{ab}						0.35			0.10		0.08	0.17			0.08	<1.00
	H8		0.22	0.31	0.45			0.34										2.77		500	17.0			4.36 ^b									0.08	0.06	0.13	0.07	0.09		0.15	0.07
	H7a		0.14		0.12																			0.26 ^{kl}					0.03				0.06	<loq< td=""><td>0.17</td><td>0.08</td><td><loq< td=""><td></td><td><loq< td=""><td><1.00</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	0.17	0.08	<loq< td=""><td></td><td><loq< td=""><td><1.00</td></loq<></td></loq<>		<loq< td=""><td><1.00</td></loq<>	<1.00
	H7		<loq< td=""><td>0.03</td><td>0.12</td><td>0.03</td><td></td><td>0.07</td><td>0.03</td><td>I</td><td></td><td><001></td><td>0.03</td><td></td><td><loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>0.13</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.44^{jk}</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.14</td><td></td><td>0.39</td><td></td><td>0.06</td><td></td><td>0.04</td><td>0.04</td></loq<></td></loq<>	0.03	0.12	0.03		0.07	0.03	I		<001>	0.03		<loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>0.13</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.44^{jk}</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.14</td><td></td><td>0.39</td><td></td><td>0.06</td><td></td><td>0.04</td><td>0.04</td></loq<>			0.13						0.44 ^{jk}									0.14		0.39		0.06		0.04	0.04
	H6a		<loq< td=""><td>0.08</td><td>0.10</td><td><loq< td=""><td>)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>2.09</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>2.27^{de}</td><td></td><td>0.07</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.04</td><td>0.07</td><td>0.10</td><td></td><td>0.04</td><td><loq< td=""><td></td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><1.00</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	0.08	0.10	<loq< td=""><td>)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>2.09</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>2.27^{de}</td><td></td><td>0.07</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.04</td><td>0.07</td><td>0.10</td><td></td><td>0.04</td><td><loq< td=""><td></td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><1.00</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>)											2.09						2.27 ^{de}		0.07					0.04	0.07	0.10		0.04	<loq< td=""><td></td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><1.00</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>		<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><1.00</td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><1.00</td></loq<>	<1.00
	9H		<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1.27</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>$1.27^{\rm fg}$</td><td></td><td>0.04</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.05</td><td>0.13</td><td>0.13</td><td></td><td>0.04</td><td></td><td></td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><1.00</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1.27</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>$1.27^{\rm fg}$</td><td></td><td>0.04</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.05</td><td>0.13</td><td>0.13</td><td></td><td>0.04</td><td></td><td></td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><1.00</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1.27</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>$1.27^{\rm fg}$</td><td></td><td>0.04</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.05</td><td>0.13</td><td>0.13</td><td></td><td>0.04</td><td></td><td></td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><1.00</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1.27</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>$1.27^{\rm fg}$</td><td></td><td>0.04</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.05</td><td>0.13</td><td>0.13</td><td></td><td>0.04</td><td></td><td></td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><1.00</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>)											1.27						$1.27^{\rm fg}$		0.04					0.05	0.13	0.13		0.04			<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><1.00</td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><1.00</td></loq<>	<1.00
sample	HSa		0.03	0.27	0.36	0.03		0.08						<loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td><loq< td=""><td>0.05</td><td></td><td>24.0</td><td>0.4.0</td><td></td><td></td><td>ء 1.27^{ار}</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.03</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.05</td><td></td><td>0.16</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td></td><td><loq< td=""><td><1.00</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>			<loq< td=""><td>0.05</td><td></td><td>24.0</td><td>0.4.0</td><td></td><td></td><td>ء 1.27^{ار}</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.03</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.05</td><td></td><td>0.16</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td></td><td><loq< td=""><td><1.00</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	0.05		24.0	0.4.0			ء 1.27 ^{ار}				0.03					0.05		0.16	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td></td><td><loq< td=""><td><1.00</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td></td><td><loq< td=""><td><1.00</td></loq<></td></loq<>		<loq< td=""><td><1.00</td></loq<>	<1.00
honey sample	HS	ates ^b	0.05	0.05	0.27	0.03		0.15						<loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td><loq< td=""><td>ıds^c</td><td>510</td><td>/ 1.0</td><td></td><td>Total Dhenolic Acids and Other Comnounds</td><td>0.72^{hi}</td><td>sd,e</td><td></td><td></td><td><loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.06</td><td></td><td>0.16</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td></td><td><loq< td=""><td>/100</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>				<loq< td=""><td>ıds^c</td><td>510</td><td>/ 1.0</td><td></td><td>Total Dhenolic Acids and Other Comnounds</td><td>0.72^{hi}</td><td>sd,e</td><td></td><td></td><td><loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.06</td><td></td><td>0.16</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td></td><td><loq< td=""><td>/100</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	ıds ^c	510	/ 1.0		Total Dhenolic Acids and Other Comnounds	0.72 ^{hi}	sd,e			<loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.06</td><td></td><td>0.16</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td></td><td><loq< td=""><td>/100</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>					0.06		0.16	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td></td><td><loq< td=""><td>/100</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td></td><td><loq< td=""><td>/100</td></loq<></td></loq<>		<loq< td=""><td>/100</td></loq<>	/100
	H4a	Cinnamic Acids and Derivates ^b				0.04						<000×	/	<loq< td=""><td></td><td>Abscisic Acid^c</td><td></td><td><loq< td=""><td>Other Phenolic Compounds^c</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>orther of</td><td>0.04ⁿ</td><td>Flavonols and Glycosides^{d,e}</td><td></td><td>0.03</td><td></td><td>0.08</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.05</td><td>0.04</td><td>0.14</td><td>0.04</td><td>0.04</td><td></td><td><loq< td=""><td>1001/</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>		Abscisic Acid ^c		<loq< td=""><td>Other Phenolic Compounds^c</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>orther of</td><td>0.04ⁿ</td><td>Flavonols and Glycosides^{d,e}</td><td></td><td>0.03</td><td></td><td>0.08</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.05</td><td>0.04</td><td>0.14</td><td>0.04</td><td>0.04</td><td></td><td><loq< td=""><td>1001/</td></loq<></td></loq<>	Other Phenolic Compounds ^c				orther of	0.04 ⁿ	Flavonols and Glycosides ^{d,e}		0.03		0.08				0.05	0.04	0.14	0.04	0.04		<loq< td=""><td>1001/</td></loq<>	1001/
	H4	namic Acids				<001>)			0.03		<001>	/	0.03		Abscisi			her Phenoli				olic Acide	0.06 ^{mn}	avonols and				<l0q< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.04</td><td><loq< td=""><td>0.15</td><td><loq< td=""><td>0.04</td><td></td><td><loq< td=""><td><1.00</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></l0q<>				0.04	<loq< td=""><td>0.15</td><td><loq< td=""><td>0.04</td><td></td><td><loq< td=""><td><1.00</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	0.15	<loq< td=""><td>0.04</td><td></td><td><loq< td=""><td><1.00</td></loq<></td></loq<>	0.04		<loq< td=""><td><1.00</td></loq<>	<1.00
	H3	Cin				0.11					0.03	<001>	/	<loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Ō</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Total Pher</td><td>0.14^{lm}</td><td>FI</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.11</td><td>0.05</td><td>0.18</td><td>0.08</td><td>0.04</td><td></td><td></td><td>0.04</td></loq<>					Ō				Total Pher	0.14 ^{lm}	FI								0.11	0.05	0.18	0.08	0.04			0.04
	H2a		0.09	0.31	0.40			0.26				<007>	/	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>001</td><td>1.00</td><td></td><td></td><td>2.06^{ef}</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.14</td><td></td><td>0.16</td><td>0.07</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>100</td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>001</td><td>1.00</td><td></td><td></td><td>2.06^{ef}</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.14</td><td></td><td>0.16</td><td>0.07</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>100</td></loq<>					001	1.00			2.06 ^{ef}									0.14		0.16	0.07				100
	H2		0.04	0.67	1.16	<001>)	0.74				<001>	/	<loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>2.61^{bc}</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.09</td><td></td><td>0.11</td><td>0.08</td><td><loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td><1001></td></loq<></td></loq<>										2.61 ^{bc}									0.09		0.11	0.08	<loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td><1001></td></loq<>			<1001>
	Hla																	0.97			2 VUL			$0.97^{ m gh}$					0.08				<loq< td=""><td></td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td><1.00</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>		<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td><1.00</td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td><1.00</td></loq<>				<1.00
	IH					0.18												1.62		67 0	co.0			2.43 ^{cd}									<loq< td=""><td></td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>/100</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>		<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>/100</td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>/100</td></loq<>				/100
	compound name		UI I	UI 2	kynurenic acid	, caffeic acid	trans- cinnamic acid	UI 3	chlorogenic acid	rosmarinic acid	<i>p</i> -coumaric acid isoprenyl ester	caffeic acid isoprenvl ester	caffeic acid benzyl ester	caffeic acid phenylethyl ester	caffeic acid cinnamyl ester		trans,trans-abscisic acid	cis,trans-abscisic acid		leptosperin allocio ocid		4-methoxyphenyllactic acid methyl svrinøate				quercetin-3-O-hex $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ hex ^h	kaempferol-3- <i>O</i> -hex $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ hex ^h	8-methoxykaempferol-3-0-neoh ⁿ	quercetin-3- <i>O</i> -rutinoside Premiferal 3-O neach ^h	isorhamnetin-O-pentoside	myricetin	tricetin	quercetin	quercetin 3-methyl ether	kaempferol	isorhamnetin	kaempferol methyl ether	kaempferide	quercetin 3,3-dimethyl ether	rhamnetin

Article

ъ
e
- 5
2
·B
7
2
2
0
v)
d)
р,
La
H

								honey sample	ample							
compound name	ΗI	Hla	H2	H2a	H3	H4	H4a	HS	HSa	H6	Нба	H7	H7a	H8	H8a	MkH
					FI	avonols and	Flavonols and Glycosides d,e	s,d,e								
galangin	<loq< td=""><td><pre><loq <loq="" <loq<="" pre=""></loq></pre></td><td></td><td>0.04</td><td>0.09</td><td>0.07</td><td>0.07</td><td>0.05</td><td>0.06</td><td>0.06</td><td>0.08</td><td>0.15</td><td>0.04</td><td>0.10</td><td>0.05</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	<pre><loq <loq="" <loq<="" pre=""></loq></pre>		0.04	0.09	0.07	0.07	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.08	0.15	0.04	0.10	0.05	<loq< td=""></loq<>
galangin 5-methyl ether isomer	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></loq<>			
						Flavar	Flavanonols ^f									
pinobanksin 5-methyl ether	0.55	0.50			0.35							0.41				0.93
pinobanksin	0.08	0.06	0.19	0.28	0.47	0.26	0.32	0.37	0.39	0.55	0.64	0.56	0.25	1.20	0.25	0.03
pinobanksin-3-0-butyrate or isomer					<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td><loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td><loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></loq<></td></loq<>						<loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></loq<>				
pinobanksin-3-0-pentenoate or isomer					<loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td><loq< td=""><td></td><td></td></loq<></td></loq<>									<loq< td=""><td></td><td></td></loq<>		
						Flavai	Flavanones									
naringenin 7-methyl ether						0.16	0.17									
pinocembrin	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>0.10</td><td>0.12</td><td><loq< td=""><td>0.29</td><td>0.21</td><td>0.18</td><td>0.23</td><td>0.28</td><td>0.34</td><td>0.56</td><td>0.12</td><td>0.53</td><td>0.21</td><td>0.14</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>0.10</td><td>0.12</td><td><loq< td=""><td>0.29</td><td>0.21</td><td>0.18</td><td>0.23</td><td>0.28</td><td>0.34</td><td>0.56</td><td>0.12</td><td>0.53</td><td>0.21</td><td>0.14</td></loq<></td></loq<>	0.10	0.12	<loq< td=""><td>0.29</td><td>0.21</td><td>0.18</td><td>0.23</td><td>0.28</td><td>0.34</td><td>0.56</td><td>0.12</td><td>0.53</td><td>0.21</td><td>0.14</td></loq<>	0.29	0.21	0.18	0.23	0.28	0.34	0.56	0.12	0.53	0.21	0.14
						Flav	Flavones ^g									
chrysin	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.14	0.24	0.15	0.20	0.05	0.15	0.09	0.21	0.33	0.04	0.34	0.13	0.14
6-methoxychrysin			<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>0.04</td><td><pre>>COQ <loq< pre=""></loq<></pre></td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td></td><td>0.03</td><td><loq< td=""><td>0.03</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>0.04</td><td><pre>>COQ <loq< pre=""></loq<></pre></td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td></td><td>0.03</td><td><loq< td=""><td>0.03</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	0.04	<pre>>COQ <loq< pre=""></loq<></pre>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td></td><td>0.03</td><td><loq< td=""><td>0.03</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td></td><td>0.03</td><td><loq< td=""><td>0.03</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td></td><td>0.03</td><td><loq< td=""><td>0.03</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td></td><td>0.03</td><td><loq< td=""><td>0.03</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>		0.03	<loq< td=""><td>0.03</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	0.03	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""></loq<>
						Total Fl	Total Flavonoids									
	0.69^{lm}	0.89^{jk}	$0.64^{\rm m}$	0.95 ^{ij}	$1.74^{\rm bc}$	1.25 ^h 1.45 ^{de}	1.45^{de}	0.88^{jk}	1.08^{hi}	1.38^{fg}	1.60 ^{cd}	2.75 ^{ab}	0.80^{kl}	2.90^{a}	1.42^{ef}	1.38^{fg}
						otal Phenoli	Total Phenolic Compounds	spu								
	3.12^{de}	3.12 ^{de} 1.86 ^{jk}	3.25^{cd}	3.01^{fg}	1.88^{jk}	1.29^{mn}	$1.47^{\rm lm}$	1.50 ^{kl}	2.35^{hi}	2.65^{gh}	$3.87^{\rm bc}$	$3.19^{\rm ef}$	1.06^{n}	7.26^{ab}	7.22^{ab}	18.6^{a}
^{<i>a</i>} Different letters in the same line indicate significantly different values ($p < 0.05$). ^{<i>b</i>} Calculated using the calibration curve of caffeic acid at λ 320 nm. ^{<i>c</i>} Calculated using the calibration curve of gallic acid at λ 280 nm. ^{<i>d</i>} Flavonols were calculated using the calibration curve of quercetin at λ 360 nm. ^{<i>f</i>} Flavonol glycosides were calculated using the calibration curve of attent using the calibration curve of quercetin at λ 360 nm. ^{<i>f</i>} Flavonol glycosides were calculated using the calibration curve of rutin at λ 340 nm. ^{<i>f</i>} Calculated using the calibration curve of naringenin at λ 280 nm. ^{<i>s</i>} Calculated using the calibration curve of num second control of the calibration curve of chrysin at λ 340 nm. ^{<i>t</i>} Inex (1→2) hex, hexosyl (1→2) hexoide; neoh, neohesperidoside; rham, rhamnoside.	cate signific ed using th 80 nm. ${}^{g}C$	cantly diffé e calibratio alculated 1	erent values on curve of using the c	p < 0.05 f quercetin alibration). ^b Calcul t at λ 360 1 curve of c	ated using nm. ^e Flavo .hrysin at ,	the calibra nol glycosi À 340 nm.	ation curve ides were $(1 \rightarrow h hex (1 \rightarrow h hex))$	of caffeic calculated •2) hex, h	acid at λ : using the exosyl (1-	320 nm. °C calibration →2) hexoi	Calculated to curve of r de; neoh,	using the c utin at λ 3 neohesperi	alibration 40 nm. ^f C idoside; rł	curve of g alculated u am, rham	allic acid Ising the noside.

F

235 producer of β -lactamases, and *P. aeruginosa* showed resistance against 236 9 of 14 antibiotics tested (Supporting Information).

All bacteria were grown in Mueller Hinton broth (MH; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) at 37 °C with shaking (180 rpm) until the exponential growth phase (JP Selecta, Barcelona, Spain). Prior to experiments, bacteria were subcultured twice in MH agar to ensure the purity of cultures.

Honey Susceptibility. The minimal inhibitory concentration
(MIC) was determined according to the M07-A9 protocol.¹⁸
Honey concentrations between 400 and 6.25 mg/mL were tested.
MIC values were defined after 24 and 48 h of incubation. MIC was
the lowest concentration that prevented any discernible growth.

247 The minimal lethal concentration (MLC) was also determined by 248 inoculating on MH agar plates 20 μ L of each concentration tested 249 from 96-well microtiter plates in which no growth was observed. MLC 250 was defined as the lowest concentration that prevented any bacterial 251 growth and reduced the viability of the initial inoculum by at least 252 99.9%. The tests were carried out in triplicate.

Statistical Analyses. Statistical analysis was performed using tifferent packages (car, HH, agricolae, psych) of the open source statistical program R (version 3.3.3).¹⁹ All variables were tested for test applying Bonferroni correction was utilized to compare the results test applying Bonferroni correction was utilized to be significant. In the samples p < 0.05 was considered to be significant. In the studies were to study the relationship between bioactive compounds and bioactivity.

262 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Bioactive Compound Quantification (Vitamin C, TPC and TFC). The main bioactive compounds were quantified in the different honey samples. Vitamin C in honey comes essentially from nectar or honeydew and pollen, whereas phenolic compounds come from propolis in addition to nectar phenolic.²⁰ Thus, depending on honey botanical and geographical sources, bioactive compounds content might phenolic considerably, as hereby reported (Table 3).

Vitamin C was detected in all honey samples. However, 272 contents deeply differed among them, ranging from 0.34 to 273 75.9 mg/100 g of honey (p < 0.001). Sample H7a, a thyme 274 honey, registered significantly higher values of AA, which 275 corroborates that described in previous studies for this variety 276 of honey.²¹ On the other hand, two eucalyptus (H6 and H6a) 277 and two polyfloral honey samples (H4a and H7) showed the 278 lowest contents.

Similarly, the amounts of TPC and TFC varied considerably among samples (p < 0.001). TPC ranged between 23.1 and 158 mg/100 g of honey and TFC between 1.65 and 5.93 mg/ 282 100 g of honey. H1, a polyfloral honey, presented the highest 283 values for both TPC and TFC, but in not all cases were the 284 two parameters correlated. The lowest values of TPC and TFC 285 were found in eucalyptus honey (H6 and H6a).

In addition, it is important to take into account that ast although the Folin–Ciocalteu assay is widely used to ass determine TPC in food extracts, it is not specific for phenolic and quantification, considering that other types of compounds present in honey such as reducing sugars and amino acids can and anion acids can also reduce the Folin–Ciocalteu reagent.²² In the present action factor for interfering substances in the ast determination of TPC was not used because sugars, as principal interaction components in honey, present low solubility in methanol.²³ Nevertheless, it is necessary to consider that TPC determined may have values higher than provide the real ones. Similar circumstances occur with TFC; results may show an overestimation as some nonflavonoid compounds can exhibit absorbance at 510 nm.²² However, despite the 299 limitations posed, these methods allow a rapid and estimated 300 evaluation of the availability of these compounds and their 301 potential antioxidant activity.²⁴ 302

Identification and Quantification of Individual Poly- 303 **phenols.** Characterization of phenolic compounds and other 304 bioactive components in honey intended for medical uses is 305 essential, since these minor substances might be responsible for 306 many of their health protective effects.³ 307

The HPLC-ESI/MS analysis of honey extracts permitted 308 identification of 49 different phenolic compounds on the basis 309 of their UV and mass spectra and their MS/MS fragmentations 310 (Table 4). However, only 46 of these were quantified due to 311 t4 some compounds coeluting under a single chromatographic 312 peak with the same retention time (Table 5). 313 t5

Cinnamic acids and their derivatives were the main phenolic 314 acids found. Three compounds (UI 1, UI 2, and UI 3) were 315 considered unknown but were tentatively identified. UI 3 (UV 316 spectrum 319 sh, 309, 298 sh nm; MS m/z 144; MS² m/z 133) 317 was previously described by Tomás-Barberán, Martos, 318 Ferreres, Radovic, and Anklam²¹ as marker of chestnut 319 honey. UI 1 (UV spectrum 328 sh, 316, 306 sh nm; MS m/ 320 z 188; MS² m/z 144) and UI 2 (UV spectrum 330, 318 sh nm; 321 MS m/z 188; MS² m/z 144) compounds are probably 322 kynurenic acid derivatives in view of the similarities among 323 the UV spectra and MS fragmentations of the three 324 compounds (Table 4). Interestingly, the samples in which 325 Castanea sativa was the predominant or secondary pollen 326 (samples H2, H2a, H5, H5a, H8, and H8a), presented higher 327 amounts of UI 1, UI 2, and UI 3, as well as kynurenic acid, 328 which suggests the relationship between these compounds and 329 a chestnut source. Furthermore, both isomers of abscisic acid 330 previously described in other varieties of honey²⁶ were 331 detected but only cis, trans-abscisic acid could be quantified in 332 some samples. Other phenolic compounds, characteristic of 333 MkH, as well as ellagic acid were identified. 334

Concerning flavonoids, four subclasses of compounds were 335 discriminated: flavonols, flavanonols, flavanones, and flavones, 336 as well as some flavonol glycosides mainly from quercetin, 337 kaempferol, isorhamnetin, and 8-methoxykaempferol, which 338 were previously described in different types of honey.¹⁵ 339 Moreover, specific floral markers were found in monofloral 340 samples: myricetin and tricetin in eucalyptus honey,¹⁵ 341 kaempferol and derivatives in rosemary honey,²⁵ kynurenic 342 acid in chestnut honey,²⁷ and leptosperin, 4-methoxyphenyl- 343 lactic acid, and methyl syringate in MkH.²⁸

The wide variability of honey samples was reflected in the 345 phenolic profiles (Table 5). MkH was very different from the 346 rest, and among other honey samples only eight compounds 347 (quercetin, kaempferol, rhamnetin, quercetin 3,7-dimethyl 348 ether, galangin, pinobanksin, pinocembrin, and chrysin) were 349 common to all of them, as could be expected from their 350 propolis origin and presence in beeswax. Furthermore, results 351 evidenced three types of honey samples: those characterized by 352 profiles dominated by phenolic acids (H1, H2, H2a, H8, H8a, 353 and MkH in which phenolic acids represent between 60.1 and 354 92.6% of total phenolic compounds quantified), others in 355 which flavonoids prevailed (H3, H4, H4a, H7, and H7a, in 356 which flavonoids represent between 67.6 and 97.3% of total 357 phenolic compounds quantified), and finally, those in which 358 none of these compounds stood out (H1a, H5, H5a, H6, and 359 H6a, in which phenolic acids and flavonoids represent around 360 50% of total phenolic compounds quantified). 361

aal Lethal Concentrations (MLC) (g/mL) of Honey Samples against Reference and Clinical Strains of Bacteria a	Gram-negative bacteria	EC clinical PA CECT110 PA clinical statistical analysis ^b	
und Clinical		ical	MIC D/
ceference a		PA clin	MIC
against R	I		VII U
Samples	ıtive bacteria	PA CE	VIIC
of Honey	Gram-nega	clinical	MIC
(g/mL)		EC	MIC
ns (MLC)		EC CECT515	MIC
entration		EC C	VIIU
thal Conc		SP clinical	MIC
nimal Let		SP	VIIU
) and Mir	ria	SP CECT985	MIC
ns (MIC)	Fram-positive bacteria	SP (VIIU
centratio	Gram-po	ARSA	MIC
ory Cone		I	VIIC
l Inhibit		A CECT86	MIC
Table 6. Minimal Inhibitory Concentrations (MIC) and Minin		SA (JIM
Table 6			

		·9·												• •					
	sis ^b	[M]	NS	SN	NS	NS	NS	ins; G ⁺ /											
	statistical analysis ^b	G^+/G^-	NS	NS	NS	NS	*	*	*	*	*	*	NS	NS	*	NS	NS	*	clinical stra
	stal	R/C	NS	trains vs e															
	PA clinical	MLC	0.20	0.20	0.10	0.20	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.25	0.25	0.20	0.10	0.20	eference s 001.
	PA d	MIC	0.20	0.20	0.10	0.20	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.25	0.25	0.20	0.10	0.20	$h^{b}R/C, r$ **, $p < 0$.
	CT110	MLC	0.25	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.25	0.35	0.30	0.25	0.20	0.30	0.20	0.20	0.35	0.20	0.10	0.20	aeruginoso < 0.01; *:
	PA CECT110	MIC	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.25	0.30	0.30	0.25	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.25	0.20	0.10	0.20	udomonas 05; **, p
o	nical	MLC	0.20	0.10	0.10	0.20	0.30	0.25	0.30	0.25	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.25	0.35	0.20	0.20	0.20	li; PA, Pse ; *, $p < 0$.
	EC clinical	MIC	0.20	0.10	0.10	0.20	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.25	0.30	0.10	0.10	0.20	<i>herichia co</i> observed _j
	CT515	MLC	0.25	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.35	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.40	0.20	0.10	0.20	25; EC, <i>Esc</i> nces were
	EC CECT515	MIC	0.25	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.35	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.35	0.20	0.10	0.20	<i>us pyogen</i> ant differe
	nical	MLC	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.25	0.20	0.30	0.25	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.25	0.25	0.20	0.20	uus; SP, Streptococcus pyogenes; EC, Escherichia coli; PA, Pseudomonas aeruginosa. ^b R/C, refere C; NS, no significant differences were observed; *, $p < 0.05$; **, $p < 0.01$; ***, $p < 0.001$.
	SP clinical	MIC	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.25	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.25	0.20	0.20	0.20	ureus; SP, ILC; NS,
	CT985	MLC	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.25	0.25	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.25	0.20	0.20	0.20	ylococcus a MIC vs N
	SP CECT985	MIC	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.25	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.10	0.20	tant <i>Staph</i> eria; [M],
-	SA	MLC	0.20	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.25	0.20	0.25	0.25	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.25	0.20	0.10	0.05	0.10	icillin resis ative bacte
	MRSA	MIC	0.20	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.25	0.20	0.20	0.25	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.25	0.20	0.10	0.05	0.10	tSA, methi Gram-neg
	CT86	MLC	0.20	0.05	0.05	0.10	0.25	0.30	0.20	0.20	0.10	0.10	0.20	0.10	0.20	0.10	0.05	0.10	<i>iureus</i> ; MR acteria vs
	SA CECT86	MIC	0.10	0.05	0.05	0.10	0.25	0.25	0.20	0.20	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.20	0.10	0.05	0.10	<i>ylococcus a</i> positive b
			ΗI	Hla	H2	H2a	H3	H4	H4a	HS	HSa	9H6	H6a	H7	H7a	H8	H8a	MkH	^a SA, Staphylococcus aureus; MRSA, methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus; SP, Streptococcus pyogenes; EC, Escherichia coli; PA, Pseudomonas aeruginosa. ^b R/C, reference strains vs clinical strains; G^+ , G^- , Gram-positive bacteria vs Gram-negative bacteria; [M], MIC vs MLC; NS, no significant differences were observed; *, $p < 0.05$; **, $p < 0.01$; ***, $p < 0.001$.

The content of total phenolic compounds ranged between 363 1.06 and 18.6 mg/100 g of honey in H7a and MkH, 364 respectively. No correlation between total content of phenolic 365 compounds quantified by HPLC and Folin–Ciocalteu assay 366 was observed. This disparity might be explained because not all 367 phenolic compounds present in honey samples were identified 368 and/or quantified by HPLC and quantification of TPC 369 through a Folin–Ciocalteu assay is only an estimation which 370 was probably overvalued.²⁹

In addition to their antioxidant and free radical scavenging attack polyphenols possess anti-inflammation, modulation of signal transduction, antimicrobial, and antiproliferation activactivactive and antiproliferation activtities.³⁰ In addition to quantity, the specific phenolic profile may be a key factor, as particular polyphenols could function individually or act synergistically with other components to increase bioactive properties.¹⁰ This standpoint highlights the mortance of understanding the polyphenol composition of phoney samples intended for medical uses.

Antioxidant Activity. Owing to the complex nature of matrix and involvement of multiple reaction characteristics and mechanisms, the antioxidant capacity of honey cannot be evaluated accurately by any single method. Therefore, a combination of assays will provide more information on the ast antioxidant properties.^{31,32} In the current study three sectrophotometric methods were used.

Regarding the RSA assay, the sample H7a displayed the swell lowest concentration able to scavenger 50% of the free radicals (Table 3). The high concentration of vitamin C detected in this honey sample could explain the greater activity observed. AA has been described as a reducing agent capable of rapidly catching several reactive oxygen and nitrogen species (ROS and RNS).^{30,33} However, no correlation between AA and RSA was observed. The absence of linear relations between variables does not exclude the presence of other nonlinear associations. Moreover, considering phenolic quantification by HPLC, H7a was the sample with the lowest concentration, which suggests that vitamin C is responsible for the antioxidant effects.

Similarly, phenolic compounds (TPC and TFC) may 399 400 elucidate the results regarding the RP assay. On their behalf, 401 phenolic compounds are capable of scavenging free radicals 402 through electron and proton transfer mechanisms, as much as 403 chelating metals,³⁰ which could explain the significant 404 correlation observed between TPC and TFC with honey 405 reducing capacity (R = -0.80, -0.64; p < 0.01, respectively). 406 H1 was the sample that exhibited the highest values of TPC 407 and TFC and likewise the best antioxidant activity in this assay. Conversely, in a β -carotene inhibition bleaching assay no 408 409 correlation was observed with bioactive compounds. The 410 difficulties in finding relationships between data may be due to 411 the lipid/water matrix used, especially because of the emulsifier 412 introduced in the system against phase separation. The 413 emulsifier may change the antioxidant distribution in the emulsified medium, and in turn the antioxidant activity, 414 415 making it more difficult to interpret the results. Moreover, 416 emulsifiers form micelles, which may trap antioxidants in these 417 self-assembled structures and carry them to the water phase.³⁴ 418 In this assay, samples H2a, H5, and H5a presented similarly 419 high antioxidant activities (more than 90% inhibition). In 420 contrast, the H7a sample, which presented the best results in 421 the RSA assay, acted as a pro-oxidant. This performance is 422 apparently due to the high content of AA detected in this 423 sample, which indeed exhibited a negative correlation with the 424 inhibition of β -carotene bleaching (R = -0.61; p < 0.05). The pro-oxidant behavior of AA has been previously described^{30,35} 425 as a result of the formation of an ascorbyl radical during the 426 oxidation reaction.³⁵ 427

A correlation between TPC and antioxidant activity was 428 observed, suggesting that phenolic compounds are some of the 429 main species responsible for the antioxidant capacity of 430 honey.³³ However, for some samples, similar contents in 431 TPC and TFC did not correspond to similar antioxidant 432 capacities. This suggests that, although phenols remain the 433 largest class of antioxidants found in nature, the overall 434 antioxidant capacity of each sample results from the combined 435 activity of other nonphenolic compounds.³²

Among those compounds are proteins, amino acids, peptide 437 inhibitors of oxidative enzymes, enzymes such as catalase 438 orand glucose oxidase, and organic acids such as gluconic, 439 citric, and malic that could act by chelating metals and thus 440 favor the action of other antioxidants such as polyphenols.^{11,29} 441 Moreover, the antioxidant properties of melanoidins (high- 442 molecular-weight polymers formed in the final stage of the 443 Maillard reaction)³⁶ have been described. Finally, because of 444 the complex composition of honey, the interactions among the 445 different compounds with antioxidant capacity and the possible 446 synergies between them can also play an important role in the 447 overall antioxidant capacity.^{29,31,37}

Different assays provided different results, since each test 449 assessed diverse action mechanisms in which a great variety of 450 phytochemicals take part. 451

Antibacterial Activity. Honey antibacterial activity is 452 associated with its physicochemical properties, as much as 453 multiple compounds originating from the nectar of plants, 454 pollen, propolis, and from the honeybee itself.³⁸ All honey 455 samples exhibited antibacterial capacity against reference and 456 clinical strains. However, effective concentrations ranged 457 between 0.05 and 0.40 g/mL depending on honey variety 458 and microorganism (Table 6). 459 to

Overall, *S. aureus* strains seemed to be the most sensitive 460 bacteria, whereas *E. coli* strains were the most resistant. The 461 outer membrane surrounding the peptidoglycan layer of Gram- 462 negative bacteria offers a greater resistance to the entrance of 463 antimicrobials.^{31,39} However, in the current study, significant 464 differences between Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria 465 were not observed for all samples. Being a water-soluble 466 substance, is feasible that honey was capable of accessing the 467 periplasmic space of the bacteria through the porins, which act 468 as hydrophilic conduits, as happens with other water-soluble 469 molecules such as lactic acid.³⁹ 470

Significant differences between clinical and reference strains 471 were not observed (p > 0.05), suggesting that honey samples 472 were effective even against drug-resistant bacteria. New 473 therapeutic options against emerging multi-drug-resistant 474 pathogens are necessary, even more considering that some 475 common infections have recently become extremely difficult or 476 even impossible to treat.⁴⁰ Due to its peculiarities, honey might 477 be a good option,^{20,31} with little chance to resistance 478 development by acting in a multifactorial way upon several 479 bacteria target sites.⁴¹ However, this natural substance remains 480 underestimated in mainstream healthcare, in part due to the 481 lack of comprehensive scientific evidence supporting its clinical 482 use.²

Furthermore, honey samples exhibited not only bacterio- 484 static but also bactericidal effects. MLC values were similar or 485 slightly higher than MIC values, and no significant differences 486 between the concentrations were observed (p > 0.05). 487

488 Honey antimicrobial activity has been related to phys-489 icochemical properties such as high osmolarity, low water 490 activity, and acidity. Moreover, recent studies revealed that 491 polyphenols are key components on antimicrobial effects of 492 honey,^{10,32} on their own or by reacting with H_2O_2 . Thereby, 493 benzoic acid can react with H2O2, resulting in peroxy acids, 494 which are more stable and powerful than hydrogen peroxide 495 and are capable of producing bacteria DNA degradation.^{4,6} 496 Conversely, in the present study no significant correlations 497 between phenolic compounds and antibacterial activity were 498 observed, as has been described in other studies.^{42,43} Honey 499 compounds interact among themselves, displaying an additive, 500 synergistic, or antagonistic activity⁷ which might not be explained by a simple linear relation. 501

To sum up, considering antioxidant activity, the honey 502 503 samples with greatest potential were H1 and H2a, correspond-504 ing to a polyfloral and a chestnut honey, respectively. However, 505 when the antibacterial capacity was analyzed, the best samples 506 were H1a, H2, and H8,a corresponding to an avocado, a 507 chestnut, and a polyfloral honey, respectively. Nevertheless, 508 bioactivity needs to be understood as a combination of 509 beneficial effects, and from this standpoint, H1a, H2, and H8a 510 were the best samples; in addition to a greater antibacterial capacity, their antioxidant potential was appropriate. Although 511 512 MkH bioactivity is well-known, in this study other varieties of 513 honey were demonstrated to possess greater activity. 514 Curiously, the phenolic profile seems to be a key factor, 515 since honey samples with greater activity were not related with 516 higher phenolic contents by HPLC, as occurred with H1a. No 517 specific phenolic compounds have been described in avocado 518 honey. Nevertheless, evidence encourages the study of possible 519 markers characteristic of this variety, which could explain its 520 higher bioactive functions.

Moreover, it is essential to underline that although exhibited polyfloral honey good bioactivity, its composition is even more variable than monofloral honeys due to the contribution, in the contribution, in several floral origins without any of the predominating. Not only the major but also a secondary floral source might considerably affect the composition and, consequently, bioactive properties.

Finally, considering that the potential therapeutic application of honey might result in dilution depending on the malady to treat, in vivo concentrations must be greater than those obtained as optimal in vitro, in order to maintain high levels of bioactive compounds in the lesion environment. For some sid drugs, cytotoxicity may then become a limitation, but this sid should not be an issue with honey, which could be used sis undiluted. Defining a correct posology for honey application sid will be essential for clinical success.

537 In conclusion, bioactive component contents and related 538 bioactive activities among distinct varieties of honey were 539 rather variable and depended primarily on their botanical 540 origin, which confirms the initial hypothesis. The great 541 variability observed reinforces the necessity to choose a proper 542 type of honey for clinical application. Therefore, screening of a 543 particular honey type composition, as well as its antioxidant 544 and antimicrobial properties, is necessary prior to studies 545 assessing in vivo the therapeutic potential of this natural 546 product.

547 TPC and TFC provide a rapid and cheap estimation of 548 phenolic compounds present in honey and their potential 549 biological activity. However, these methods could overestimate 550 phenolic content when other interference substances are 574

present; therefore, other techniques that are more precise, 551 such as HPLC-MS, are mandatory. In addition, knowing the 552 phenolic profile is essential in order to identify the association 553 between specific phenolic compounds and particular bio- 554 activity properties. 555

ASSOCIATED CONTENT	556
Supporting Information	557
The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the	558
ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.jafc.8b05436.	559
MIC values for the various honey samples (PDF)	560
AUTHOR INFORMATION	561
Corresponding Author	562
*J.M.FB.: e-mail, jmfreb@unileon.es; tel, +0034 987291850.	563
ORCID 😑	564
Francisco A. Tomás-Barberán: 0000-0002-0790-1739	565
José M. Fresno-Baro: 0000-0002-3438-0852	566
Funding	567
This work was supported by the Consejeria de Sanidad of	
Junta de Castilla y León (Spain); under grant GRS 551/A/10.	
P.CF. was funded by the Consejeria de Educación of Junta de	570
Castilla y León and European Social Fund.	571
Notes	572
The authors declare no competing financial interest.	573

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to Isabel Fernández Natal (Chief of 575 the Clinical Microbiology Service in the University Assistance 576 Complex of León, Spain) for providing us the clinical strains 577 used in this work and drug susceptibility information. 578

579
5

(1) Eteraf-Oskouei, T.; Najafi, M. Traditional and Modern Uses of 580 Natural Honey in Human Diseases: A Review. *Iran. J. Basic Med. Sci.* 581 **2013**, *16* (6), 731–742. 582

(2) Carter, D. A.; Blair, S. E.; Cokcetin, N. N.; Bouzo, D.; Brooks, P.; 583 Schothauer, R.; Harry, E. J. Therapeutic Manuka Honey: No Longer 584 So Alternative. *Front. Microbiol.* **2016**, *7*, 569. 585

(3) Alvarez-Suarez, J. M.; Tulipani, S.; Díaz, D.; Estevez, Y.; 586 Romandini, S.; Giampieri, F.; Damiani, E.; Astolfi, P.; Bompadre, S.; 587 Battino, M. Antioxidant and Antimicrobial Capacity of Several 588 Monofloral Cuban Honeys and Their Correlation with Color, 589 Polyphenol Content and Other Chemical Compounds. *Food Chem.* 590 *Toxicol.* **2010**, *48* (8–9), 2490–2499. 591

(4) Oryan, A.; Alemzadeh, E.; Moshiri, A. Biological Properties and 592 Therapeutic Activities of Honey in Wound Healing: A Narrative 593 Review and Meta-Analysis. *J. Tissue Viability* **2016**, *25*, 98–118. 594

(5) Al-Waili, N. S.; Salom, K.; Butler, G.; Al Ghamdi, A. A. Honey 595 and Microbial Infections: A Review Supporting the Use of Honey for 596 Microbial Control. J. Med. Food **2011**, 14 (10), 1079–1096. 597

(6) Brudzynski, K.; Abubaker, K.; Miotto, D. Unraveling a 598 Mechanism of Honey Antibacterial Action: Polyphenol/H2O2- 599 Induced Oxidative Effect on Bacterial Cell Growth and on DNA 600 Degradation. *Food Chem.* **2012**, *133* (2), *329–336*. 601

(7) Kwakman, P. H. S.; Zaat, S. A. J. Antibacterial Components of 602 Honey. *IUBMB Life* **2012**, 64 (1), 48–55. 603

(8) Bong, J.; Loomes, K. M.; Lin, B.; Stephens, J. M. New Approach: 604 Chemical and Fluorescence Profiling of NZ Honeys. *Food Chem.* 605 **2018**, 267, 355–367. 606

(9) Lin, B.; Loomes, K. M.; Prijic, G.; Schlothauer, R.; Stephens, J. 607 M. Lepteridine as a Unique Fluorescent Marker for the 608 Authentication of Manuka Honey. *Food Chem.* **2017**, *225*, 175–180. 609 (10) Fyfe, L.; Okoro, P.; Paterson, E.; Coyle, S.; McDougall, G. J.
Compositional Analysis of Scottish Honeys with Antimicrobial
Activity against Antibiotic-Resistant Bacteria Reveals Novel Antimicrobial Components. *LWT - Food Sci. Technol.* 2017, 79, 52–59.
(11) Hossen, M. S.; Ali, M. Y.; Jahurul, M. H. A.; Abdel-Daim, M.
M.; Gan, S. H.; Khalil, M. I. Beneficial Roles of Honey Polyphenols
against Some Human Degenerative Diseases: A Review. *Pharmacol.*Rep. 2017, 69 (6), 1194–1205.

618 (12) Combarros-Fuertes, P.; Valencia-Barrera, R. M.; Estevinho, L. 619 M.; Dias, L. G.; Castro, J. M.; Tornadijo, M. E.; Fresno, J. M. Spanish 620 Honeys with Quality Brand: A Multivariate Approach to Phys-621 icochemical Parameters Microbiological Quality and Floral Origin. *J.* 622 Apic. Res. **2018**, 1.

623 (13) AOAC. Official Method 967.21. Ascorbic Acid in Vitamin 624 Preparations and Juices, 18th ed.; AOAC International: Gaithersburg, 625 MD, USA, 2006.

626 (14) Silici, S.; Sagdic, O.; Ekici, L. Total Phenolic Content, 627 Antiradical, Antioxidant and Antimicrobial Activities of Rhododen-628 dron Honeys. *Food Chem.* **2010**, *121* (1), 238–243.

629 (15) Truchado, P.; Ferreres, F.; Tomas-Barberan, F. A. Liquid 630 Chromatography-tandem Mass Spectrometry Reveals the Wide-631 spread Occurrence of Flavonoid Glycosides in Honey, and Their 632 Potential as Floral Origin Markers. *Adv. Sep. Methods Food Anal.* 633 **2009**, *1216* (43), 7241–7248.

(16) Ferreira, I. C. F. R.; Aires, E.; Barreira, J.; Estevinho, L. M.
Antioxidant Activity of Portuguese Honey Samples: Different
Contributions of the Entire Honey and Phenolic Extract. *Food Chem.* 2009, *114* (4), 1438–1443.

638 (17) Guerrini, A.; Bruni, R.; Maietti, S.; Poli, F.; Rossi, D.; 639 Paganetto, G.; Muzzoli, M.; Scalvenzi, L.; Sacchetti, G. Ecuadorian 640 Stingless Bee (Meliponinae) Honey: A Chemical and Functional 641 Profile of an Ancient Health Product. *Food Chem.* **2009**, *114* (4), 642 1413–1420.

643 (18) CLSI. Methods for Dilution Antimicrobial Susceptibility Tests for 644 Bacteria That Grow Aerobically; Approved Standard, 9th ed.; CLSI 645 Document M07-A9; Wayne, PA, 2012.

646 (19) R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical 647 Computing; R Foundation for Statistical Computing: Vienna, Austria, 648 2016.

649 (20) Da Silva, I. A. A.; Da Silva, T. M. S.; Camara, C. A.; Queiroz, 650 N.; Magnani, M.; de Novais, J. S.; Soledade, L. E. B.; Lima, E. de O.; 651 de Souza, A. L.; de Souza, A. G. Phenolic Profile, Antioxidant Activity 652 and Palynological Analysis of Stingless Bee Honey from Amazonas, 653 Northern Brazil. *Food Chem.* **2013**, *141* (4), 3552–3558.

(21) León-Ruiz, V.; Vera, S.; González-Porto, A. V.; San Andrés, M.
P. Vitamin C and Sugar Levels as Simple Markers for Discriminating
Spanish Honey Sources. J. Food Sci. 2011, 76 (3), C356–C361.

657 (22) Silva, K. D. R. R.; Sirasa, M. S. F. Antioxidant Properties of 658 Selected Fruit Cultivars Grown in Sri Lanka. *Food Chem.* **2018**, 238, 659 203–208.

660 (23) Montañés, F.; Olano, A.; Ibáñez, E.; Fornari, T. Modeling 661 Solubilities of Sugars in Alcohols Based on Original Experimental 662 Data. *AIChE J.* **2007**, *53* (9), 2411–2418.

663 (24) Nguyen, H. T. L.; Panyoyai, N.; Paramita, V. D.; Mantri, N.; 664 Kasapis, S. Physicochemical and Viscoelastic Properties of Honey 665 from Medicinal Plants. *Food Chem.* **2018**, *241*, 143–149.

666 (25) Tomás-Barberán, F. A.; Martos, I.; Ferreres, F.; Radovic, B. S.;
667 Anklam, E. HPLC Flavonoid Profiles as Markers for the Botanical
668 Origin of European Unifloral Honeys. J. Sci. Food Agric. 2001, 81 (5),
669 485–496.

670 (26) Ferreres, F.; Andrade, P.; Tomás-Barberán, F. A. Natural 671 Occurrence of Abscisic Acid in Heather Honey and Floral Nectar. *J.* 672 Agric. Food Chem. **1996**, 44 (8), 2053–2056.

673 (27) Truchado, P.; Martos, I.; Bortolotti, L.; Sabatini, A. G.; 674 Ferreres, F.; Tomas-Barberan, F. A. Use of Quinoline Alkaloids as 675 Markers of the Floral Origin of Chestnut Honey. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 676 **2009**, 57 (13), 5680–5686.

677 (28) Kato, Y.; Fujinaka, R.; Ishisaka, A.; Nitta, Y.; Kitamoto, N.; 678 Takimoto, Y. Plausible Authentication of Manuka Honey and Related Products by Measuring Leptosperin with Methyl Syringate. J. Agric. 679 Food Chem. 2014, 62 (27), 6400–6407. 680

(29) Gheldof, N.; Engeseth, N. J. Antioxidant Capacity of Honeys 681 from Various Floral Sources Based on the Determination of Oxygen 682 Radical Absorbance Capacity and Inhibition of in Vitro Lipoprotein 683 Oxidation in Human Serum Samples. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2002**, *50* 684 (10), 3050–3055. 685

(30) Oroian, M.; Escriche, I. Antioxidants: Characterization, Natural 686 Sources, Extraction and Analysis. *Food Res. Int.* **2015**, *74*, 10–36. 687

(31) Tenore, G. C.; Ritieni, A.; Campiglia, P.; Novellino, E. 688 Nutraceutical Potential of Monofloral Honeys Produced by the 689 Sicilian Black Honeybees (Apis Mellifera Ssp. Sicula). *Food Chem.* 690 *Toxicol.* **2012**, 50 (6), 1955–1961. 691

(32) Sousa, J. M.; de Souza, E. L.; Marques, G.; Meireles, B.; de 692 Magalhães Cordeiro, Â. T.; Gullón, B.; Pintado, M. M.; Magnani, M. 693 Polyphenolic Profile and Antioxidant and Antibacterial Activities of 694 Monofloral Honeys Produced by Meliponini in the Brazilian Semiarid 695 Region. *Food Res. Int.* **2016**, *84*, 61–68. 696

(33) Perna, A.; Intaglietta, I.; Simonetti, A.; Gambacorta, E. A 697
Comparative Study on Phenolic Profile, Vitamin C Content and 698
Antioxidant Activity of Italian Honeys of Different Botanical Origin. 699
Int. J. Food Sci. Technol. 2013, 48 (9), 1899–1908. 700

(34) Shahidi, F.; Zhong, Y. Measurement of Antioxidant Activity. J. 701 Funct. Foods **2015**, 18, 757–781. 702

(35) Hassimotto, N. M. A.; Genovese, M. I.; Lajolo, F. M. 703 Antioxidant Activity of Dietary Fruits, Vegetables, and Commercial 704 Frozen Fruit Pulps. J. Agric. Food Chem. **2005**, 53 (8), 2928–2935. 705

(36) Brudzynski, K.; Miotto, D. Honey Melanoidins: Analysis of the 706
Compositions of the High Molecular Weight Melanoidins Exhibiting 707
Radical-Scavenging Activity. *Food Chem.* 2011, 127 (3), 1023–1030. 708
(37) Escuredo, O.; Míguez, M.; Fernández-González, M.; Carmen 709
Seijo, M. Nutritional Value and Antioxidant Activity of Honeys 710

Produced in a European Atlantic Area. Food Chem. 2013, 138 (2–3), 711 851–856.

(38) Salonen, A.; Virjamo, V.; Tammela, P.; Fauch, L.; Julkunen- 713 Tiitto, R. Screening Bioactivity and Bioactive Constituents of Nordic 714 Unifloral Honeys. *Food Chem.* **2017**, 237, 214–224. 715

(39) Alakomi, H. L.; Skyttä, E.; Saarela, M.; Mattila-Sandholm, T.; 716 Latva-Kala, K.; Helander, I. M. Lactic Acid Permeabilizes Gram- 717 Negative Bacteria by Disrupting the Outer Membrane. *Appl. Environ.* 718 *Microbiol.* **2000**, 66 (5), 2001–2005. 719

(40) Prestinaci, F.; Pezzotti, P.; Pantosti, A. Antimicrobial 720 Resistance: A Global Multifaceted Phenomenon. *Pathog. Global* 721 *Health* **2015**, *109* (7), 309–318. 722

(41) Cooper, R. A.; Jenkins, L.; Henriques, A. F. M.; Duggan, R. S.; 723 Burton, N. F. Absence of Bacterial Resistance to Medical-Grade 724 Manuka Honey. *Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* **2010**, 29 (10), 725 1237–1241. 726

(42) Isidorov, V. A.; Bagan, R.; Bakier, S.; Swiecicka, I. Chemical 727 Composition and Antimicrobial Activity of Polish Herbhoneys. *Food* 728 *Chem.* **2015**, *171*, 84–88. 729

(43) Kobya Bulut, H.; Güdücü Tüfekci, F. Honey Prevents Oral 730 Mocositis in Children Undergoing Chemotherapy: A Quasi-731 Experimental Study with a Control Group. *Complement. Ther. Med.* 732 **2016**, *29*, 132–140. 733